

# THE INSOLUBLE AND ILLOGICAL DARK MATTER PARADOX

## THE END OF DARK TIMES IN COSMOLOGY

June 22, 2014

By

Natural Philosopher

Ivar Nielsen

nielsen.ivar (at) gmail.com

### Contents

01. Abstract

02. Quotation on "dark matter" and distribution of matter.

03. New cyclical and circuital cosmology paradigm.

### 01. Abstract

This paper discards the very idea of dark matter and reveals the illogical and inconsistent consensus hypothesis of gravity.

Astrophysicists hypothesized dark matter because of discrepancies between the mass of large astronomical objects determined from their gravitational effects and the mass calculated from the "luminous matter" they contain: stars, gas, and dust.

Dark matter was first postulated by Jan Oort in 1932 to account for the orbital velocities of stars in the Milky Way and by Fritz Zwicky in 1933 to account for evidence of "missing mass" in the orbital velocities of galaxies in clusters.

Subsequently, many other observations have indicated the presence of dark matter in the universe, including the rotational speeds of galaxies by Vera Rubin.

### Remarks:

Cosmological scientist assume that when finally finding and with any doubts proving "dark matter", this will solve one of the biggest problems in modern cosmology.

When asking cosmologists and astrophysicists the obvious and logical question:

As objects in our Solar System orbits differently from the orbital objects in galaxies, how can this be gravitational THE INSOLUBLE AND ILLOGICAL DARK MATTER PARADOX explained? The answer is: "This is because "dark matter" rules the motion of the galactic objects".

This "answer" and "explanation" is completely and utterly illogical nonsense.

Regardless of factual findings of "dark matter" with any doubts and with instrumental observations, scientists still have the obvious and logical standing question left: How is it then that objects orbits differently around an assumed center of gravity in our Solar System compared to the orbital motion in galaxies – of which our Solar System even is an integrated orbital part?

This question of cosmic orbital motions can and never will be solved since it is based on obvious incoherent and inconsistent assumptions and theories.

The standing theories of gravity should have been judged lawless for centuries and decades ago and it surely shall be relieved by modern inclusion of the known fundamental forces, which is more logical and stronger than the weakest cosmological link of them all, the assumed and nonexistent "Gravity – The Ruler of the Universe".

02. Quotation on "dark matter" and assumed distribution of matter in the Universe - from <http://science.nasa.gov/astrophysics/focus-areas/what-is-dark-energy/>

"By fitting a theoretical model of the composition of the Universe to the combined set of cosmological observations, scientists have come up with the composition that we described above, ~68% dark energy, ~27% dark matter, ~5% normal matter.

What is dark matter?

We are much more certain what dark matter is not than we are what it is. First, it is dark, meaning that it is not in the form of stars and planets that we see. Observations show that there is far too little visible matter in the Universe to make up the 27% required by the observations. Second, it is not in the form of dark clouds of normal matter, matter made up of particles called baryons. We know this

because we would be able to detect baryonic clouds by their absorption of radiation passing through them. Third, dark matter is not antimatter, because we do not see the unique gamma rays that are produced when antimatter annihilates with matter. Finally, we can rule out large galaxy-sized black holes on the basis of how many gravitational lenses we see. High concentrations of matter bend light passing near them from objects further away, but we do not see enough lensing events to suggest that such objects to make up the required 25% dark matter contribution”, end of quotation.

Remarks:

The distributal assumptions of matter in the Universe are of course based on the also gravitational assumptions that “gravity by attracting matter only rules the Universe”. When counting exclusively on the weakest (and nonexistent) force of the assumed 4 fundamental forces, scientists are forced to add more matter because they totally ignores the other 3 (and really existent) dynamical and stronger fundamental forces which can explain the cosmic movements and formational processes. Especially when relieving the nonexistent gravity force with thermodynamically forces.

### 03. New cyclical and circuital cosmology paradigm.

In order to launch a new cosmological model, it is important to describe the very basical galactic types and their overall and actual formational processes.

Spiral galaxies are not just galaxies. They can be categorized in 2 main types:

1. Galaxies with at very tight spindled arms and an overall inwards turning motion with a very luminous centre, suggesting a high velocity of a beginning star formation.

2: Galaxies with open spindled arms and a less luminous centre and 2 (4) bars telling of a slower velocity of formation and an overall outgoing motion via the bars.

Thus we have one direction that confirms the ideas of the Newtonian attraction - until the centre, where the Newtonian idea cannot explain what is going on (the galactic rotation anomaly) And the Einsteinian ideas are far out of the cosmological context suggesting all kinds of strange ideas. The galactic formation can only be fully understood as a circuit that includes electromagnetism and thermodynamics which creates the strong gamma rays in the galaxies. (A quadruple magnetic field)

For some decades I've been convinced, that the formation really is a circuit and that our Solar System once was created right in the center of our galaxy.

In my opinion, the full circuital motion goes like this:

Gas and matter flows into the 2 open funnels on both planes of the galaxy (type 1) and into the centre where a very strong electromagnetic Z-Pinch effect sorts out and melts all gasses and particles together in a big spherical glowing plasmatic globe of gas and matter.

Just as there are 2 jets of helical/vortex rays in the vertical plane of the galactic poles, spewing out gamma rays in the galactic magnetic field on both planes, there also are the very same helix motion on the horizontal planes forming the 2 barred structures as "a tube" from where the mentioned plasmatic sphere above is centrifugally slung out, following the helical/vortex force and velocity from the galactic centre, thus giving the plasmatic spheres spin and a centrifugal direction.

Coming out with this helical and centrifugal momentum from the galactic centre and out via the helical bars, this plasmatic rotating and glowing sphere begins to "dissolve" into all kinds of still glowing gaseous and "metallic" formations of assembled spherical gasses and particles, thus creating stars and planets and their moons of all kinds - all of course created accordingly to the gasses and particles that went into the funnels in the first place.

That is: The formation in galaxies goes in electro-dynamically and thermodynamically circuits and our Solar System is created directly from the centre and from the very start is given all the rotational and orbital motions that we now can observe in the Solar System.

This explanation predicts, amongst many other things, that the planetary moons are created out from their planets as a secondary centrifugal effect from the time of creation where they all were still glowing hot and fluent, hence many lunar and planetary craters which really are muddy volcanoes and not meteor impacts all of them.

The information and explanation in this paper also confirms the observed galactic rotation curve which confirms the electromagnetic/thermodynamic circuital smooth flow and excludes the need of theorizing "dark matter" because the full amount of mass in galaxies is circuital in motion and as such no specific location can be subscribed to holding this galactic matter and therefore the whole idea of a central

heavy gravitational force is not correct. There is no “black hole” in the galactic centers and the complete circuital movement is ruled by electrical and thermodynamical forces. No mass is missing because it is all going in circles of formation.