International Journal of Mathematical Engineering and Science ISSN : 2277-6982 Volume 1 Issue 10 (October 2012) http://www.ijmes.com/ https://sites.google.com/site/ijmesjournal/

On Somewhat π gb-Continuous and Somewhat π gb-Open Functions

D.Sreeja * and C.Janaki **

Asst.Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, CMS College of Science and Commerce Coimbatore-6.

*E-mail:sreejadamu@gmail.com

Asst.Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, L.R.G Govt.Arts College for Women, Tirupur-4.

**E-mail:janakicsekar@yahoo.com

Abstract: In this paper, we have introduced new continuous and open functions called somewhat π gb-continuous and somewhat π gb -open functions by using π gb-open sets. Further somewhat almost π gb-open sets, and somewhat M- π gb-open functions are also discussed. Its various characterizations and properties are established.

Keywords: somewhat π gb-continuous, somewhat π gb- irresolute, somewhat π gb-open, somewhat π gb-dense, somewhat π gb-seperable, somewhat almost π gb-open sets and somewhat M- π gb-open functions.

1.Introduction:

Andrijevic [1] introduced a new class of generalized open sets called b-open sets in a topological space. This type of sets was discussed by Ekici and Caldas [6] under the name of γ -open sets. Levine [10] introduced the concept of generalized closed sets in topological space and a class of topological spaces called T_{1/2} spaces. The concepts of feebly continuous functions and feebly open functions were first introduced and studied by Zdenek Frolik [15]. Gentry and Hoyle [7] introduced and studied the concept of somewhat open functions which are Frolik functions with some conditions being dropped. These ideas are closely related to weakly equivalent topologies which was first introduced by [14].S.S Benchalli and Priyanka M.Bansali [2] introduced somewhat b-continuous and somewhat b-open functions in topological spaces.

In this paper, by using π gb-open sets new functions called somewhat π gb- continuous, somewhat π gb- irresolute and somewhat π gb -open functions are introduced and discussed. Also somewhat almost π gb-open sets and somewhat M- π gb-open functions are discussed. These findings results in procuring several characterizations and properties of this class.

2.Preliminaries

Throughout this paper (X, τ) and (Y, τ) represent non-empty topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. For a subset A of a space (X,τ) cl(A) and int(A) denote the closure of A and the interior of A respectively. (X, τ) will be replaced by X if there is no chance of confusion.

Definition2.1: A subset A of a space X is said to be:(1) semi open [9] if $A \subseteq Cl(Int(A))$.

(2) a regular open set if A= int (cl(A)) and a regular closed set if A= cl(int (A));

(3) b-open [1] or sp-open [4], γ –open [6] if A \subset cl(int(A)) \cup int (cl(A)).

Definition 2.2 : A subset A of a space (X, τ) is called

1) a generalized b-closed (briefly gb-closed)[8] if $bcl(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and

U is open.

2) π g-closed [5] if cl(A) \subset U whenever A \subset U and U is π -open.

3) π gb -closed [12] if bcl(A) \subset U whenever A \subset U and U is π -open in (X, τ).

By π GBC(τ) we mean the family of all π gb- closed subsets of the space(X, τ).

Definition 2.3: A function f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called

1) π gb- continuous [12] if every $f^{-1}(V)$ is π gb- closed in (X, τ) for every closed set V of (Y, σ) .

2) π gb- irresolute [12] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is π gb- closed in(X, τ) for every π gb -closed set V in (Y, σ).

Definition 2.4[13]: A map f: $X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be π gb-open if for every open set F of X, f(F) is π gb-open in Y.

Definition2.5[13]: A map f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be a M- π gb-open map if the image f(A) is π gb-open in Y for every π gb-open set A in X.

Definition 2.6: A subset D of a topological space X is said to be dense (or everywhere dense) in X if the closure of D is equal to X. Equivalently, D is dense if and only if D intersects every non-empty open set.

Definition 2.7 [7]: A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be somewhat continuous f for $U \in \sigma$ and $f-1(U) \neq \phi$ there exists an open set V in X such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$.

Definition 2.8[11]: A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be somewhat semi continuous if for $U \in \sigma$ and $f^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ there exists a semi open set V in X such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$.

Remark 2.9 [11]: Every somewhat continuous function is somewhat semi continuous function.

Definition2.10 [7]: A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be somewhat open function provided that for $U \in \tau$ and $U \neq \phi$, there exists an open set V in Y such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subseteq f(U)$.

Definition2.11[11]: A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be somewhat semi open function provided that for $U \in \tau$ and $U \neq \phi$, there exists a semi open set V in Y such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subseteq f(U)$.

Remark 2.12[11]: Every somewhat open function is somewhat semi open function but the converse need not be true in general.

3.Somewhat π gb-continuous functions

Definition 3.1 Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two topological spaces. A function $f : X \to Y$ is said to be somewhat π gb-continuous function if for every $U \in \sigma$ and $f^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ there exists a π gb-open set V in X such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$.

Example 3.2 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{X, \{a\}, \{a,b\}, \phi\}, \sigma = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Now define a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ as follows : f(a) = b, f(b) = a, f(c) = c. Then clearly f is somewhat πgb -continuous function.

Theorem 3.3 Every somewhat semi continuous function is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Proof. Let $f: X \to Y$ be somewhat semi continuous function. Let U be any open set in Y such that $f^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$. Since f is somewhat semi continuous, there exists a semi open set V in X such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Since every semi open set is π gb-open, there exists a π gb-open set V such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$, which implies that f is somewhat b-continuous function.

Remark 3.4 Converse of the above theorem need not be true in general which follows from the following example.

Example 3.5 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{X, \{a,b\}, \phi\}, \sigma = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Define a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ by f(a) = a, f(b) = b, f(c)=c. Then f is somewhat π gb-continuous function but not somewhat semi continuous function.

Theorem 3.6 Every somewhat continuous function is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.3 and Remark 2.4.

Remark 3.7 Converse of the above theorem need not be true in general which follows from the following example.

Example 3.8 Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{X, \{c\}, \phi\}, \sigma = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Define a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ by f(a) = a, f(b) = c, f(c) = d and f(d) = b. Then clearly f is somewhat π gb-continuous function but not a somewhat continuous function.

Theorem 3.9 Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma) \to (Z, \eta)$ be any two functions. If f is somewhat π gb-continuous function and g is continuous function, then $g \circ f$ is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Proof. Let $U \in \eta$. Suppose that $g^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$. Since $U \in \eta$ and g is continuous function $g^{-1}(U) \in \sigma$. Suppose that $f^{-1}g^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$. Since by hypothesis f is somewhat πgb -continuous function, there exists a πgb -open set V in X such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subseteq f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))$. But $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$, which implies that $V \subseteq (g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$. Therefore $g \circ f$ is somewhat πgb -continuous function.

Remark 3.10 If f is continuous function and g is somewhat π gb-continuous function, then it is not necessarily true that g \circ f is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Example 3.11 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \sigma = \{X, \varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, \}$ and $\eta = \{X, \varphi, \{b,c\}, \{c\}\}$. Define $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ by f(a) = a, f(b) = b and f(c) = c and define $g : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \eta)$ by g(a) = b, g(b) = a and g(c) = c. Then clearly f is continuous function and g is somewhat πgb -continuous function but $g \circ f$ is not a somewhat πgb -continuous function.

Definition 3.12 Let A be a subset of a topological space (X, τ) . Then A is said to be π gb-dense in X if there is no proper π gb-closed set C in X such that $A \subset C \subset X$.

Theorem 3.13 Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be a function. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) f is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

(ii) If C is a closed subset of Y such that $f^{-1}(C) \neq X$, then there is a proper π gb-closed subset D of X such that $D \supset f^{-1}(C)$.

(iii) If M is a $\pi gb\text{-dense}$ subset of X then f(M) is a dense subset of Y .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) : Let C be a closed subset of Y such that $f^{-1}(C) \neq X$. Then Y – C is an open set in Y such that $f^{-1}(Y - C) = X - f^{-1}(C) \neq \phi$. By hypothesis (i) there exists a π gb-open set V in X such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subset f^{-1}(Y - C) = X - f^{-1}(C)$. This means that $X - V \supset f^{-1}(C)$ and X - V = D is a π gb-closed set in X. This proves (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) : Let $U \in \sigma$ and $f^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$. Then Y - U is closed and $f^{-1}(Y - U) = X - f^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$. By hypothesis of (ii) there exists a proper π gb-closed set D such that $f^{-1}(Y - U) \subset D$. This implies that $X - D \subset f^{-1}(U)$ and X - D is π gb-open and $X - D \neq \phi$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) : Let M be a π gb-dense set in X. We have to show that f(M) is dense in Y. Suppose not, then there exists a proper π gb-closed set C in Y such that f(M) $\subset C \subset Y$. Clearly f⁻¹(C) \neq X. Hence by (ii) there exists a proper π gbclosed set D such that M \subset f⁻¹(C) \subset D \subset X. This contradicts the fact that M is π gb-dense in X.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) : Suppose that (ii) is not true. This means there exists a closed set C in Y such that $f^{-1}(C) \neq X$. But there is no proper π gb-closed set D in X such that $f^{-1}(C) \subset D$. This means that $f^{-1}(C)$ is π gb-dense in X. But by (iii) f ($f^{-1}(C)$) = C must be dense in Y, which is contradiction to the choice of C. **Theorem 3.14** Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two topological spaces, A be an open set in X and $f : (A, \tau/A) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be somewhat π gb-continuous function such that f(A) is dense in Y. Then any extension F of f is somewhat π gb – continuous function.

Proof. Let U be any open set in (Y, σ) such that $F^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$. Since $f(A) \subset Y$ is dense in Y and $U \cap f(A) \neq \varphi$ it follows that $F^{-1}(U) \cap A \neq \varphi$. That is $f^{-1}(U) \cap A \neq \varphi$. Hence by hypothesis on f, there exists a π gb-open set V in A such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subset f^{-1}(U) \subset F^{-1}(U)$ which implies F is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Theorem 3.15 Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two topological spaces, $X = A \cup B$ where A and B are regular open and π gb-closed subsets of X and f : $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function such that f/A and f/B are somewhat π gb-continuous functions. Then f is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Proof. Let U be any open set in (Y, σ) such that $f^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$. Then $(f/A)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ or $(f/B)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ or both $(f/A)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ and $(f/B)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$.

Case 1. Suppose $(f/A)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$. Since f/A is somewhat π gb-continuous, there exists a π gb-open set V in A such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subset (f/A)^{-1}(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Since X-V is π gb-closed in A and A is

regular open and π gb-closed in X, X-V is π gb-closed in X. Thus f is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Case 2. Suppose $(f/B)^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$.Since f/B is somewhat π gb-continuous function, there exists a π gb-open set V in B such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subset (f/B)^{-1}(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Since X-V is π gb-closed in B and B is regular open and π gb-closed in X, X-V is π gb-closed in X... Thus f is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Case 3. Suppose $(f/A)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ and $(f/B)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$. This follows from both the cases 1 and 2. Thus f is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Definition 3.16 A topological space X is said to be π gb-separable if there exists a countable subset B of X which is π gb-dense in X.

Theorem 3.17 If f is somewhat π gb-continuous function from X onto Y and if X is π gb-separable, then Y is separable.

Proof. Let $f : X \to Y$ be somewhat π gb-continuous function such that X is π gb-separable. Then by definition there exists a countable subset B of X which is π gb-dense in X. Then by Theorem 3.13, f(B) is dense in Y. Since B is countable f(B) is also countable which is dense in Y, which indicates that Y is separable.

4. Somewhat π gb-irresolute function

Definition 4.1: A function f is said to be somewhat π gb-irresolute if for $U \in \pi$ GBO(σ) and $f^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$, there exists a non-empty π gb-open set V in X such that $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$.

Example4.2: Let X = {a, b, c}, $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$. The function f:(X, τ) \rightarrow (X, σ) defined by f(a) = c, f(b) = a and f(c) = b is somewhat π gb-irresolute but not somewhat-irresolute.

Example 4.3: Let X = {a, b, c}, $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. The function f :(X, τ) \rightarrow (X, σ) defined by f(a) = c, f(b) = a and f(c) = b is not somewhat π gb-irresolute and somewhat-irresolute.

Theorem 4.4: If f is somewhat π gb-irresolute and g is π gb-irresolute, then g•f is somewhat π gb-irresolute.

Proof. Let $U \in \pi \text{GBO}(\eta)$. Suppose that $g^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$. Since $U \in \pi \text{GBO}(\eta)$ and g is πgb -irresolute function $g^{-1}(U) \in \pi \text{GBO}(\sigma)$. Suppose that $f^{-1}g^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$. Since by hypothesis f is somewhat πgb - irresolute function, there exists a πgb -open set V in X such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subseteq f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))$. But $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$, which implies that $V \subseteq (g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$. Therefore $g \circ f$ is somewhat πgb -continuous function.

Theorem 4.5 Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) f is somewhat π gb-irresolute function.

(ii) If C is a π gb-closed subset of Y such that $f^{-1}(C) \neq X$, then there is a proper π gb-closed subset D of X such that D $\supset f^{-1}(C)$.

(iii) If M is a π gb-dense subset of X then f(M) is a π gb-dense subset of Y.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) : Let C be a π gb-closed subset of Y such that $f^{-1}(C) \neq X$. Then Y - C is an π gb-open set in Y such that $f^{-1}(Y - C) = X - f^{-1}(C) \neq \varphi$. By hypothesis (i) there exists a π gb-open set V in X such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subset f^{-1}(Y - C) = X - f^{-1}(C)$. This means that $X - V \supset f^{-1}(C)$ and X - V = D is a proper π gb-closed set in X. This proves (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) : Let $U \in \pi GBO(\sigma)$ and $f^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$. Then Y - U is πgb -closed and $f^{-1}(Y - U) = X - f^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$. By hypothesis of (ii) there exists a proper πgb -closed set D such that $f^{-1}(Y - U) \subset D$. This implies that $X - D \subset f^{-1}(U)$ and X - D is πgb -open and $X - D \neq \phi$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) : Let M be a π gb-dense set in X. We have to show that f(M) is π gb-dense in Y. Suppose not, then there exists a proper π gb-closed set C in Y such that f(M) $\subset C \subset Y$. Clearly $f^{-1}(C) \neq X$. Hence by (ii) there exists a proper π gb-closed set D in X such that $M \subset f^{-1}(C) \subset D \subset X$. This contradicts the fact that M is π gb-dense in X.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) : Suppose that (ii) is not true. This means there exists a π gb-closed set C in Y such that $f^{-1}(C) \neq X$. But there is no proper π gb-closed set D in X such that $f^{-1}(C) \subset D$. This means that $f^{-1}(C)$ is π gb-dense in X. But by (iii) f $(f^{-1}(C)) = C$ must be π gb-dense in Y, which is contradiction to the choice of C.

Theorem 4.6: Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two topological spaces, $X = A \cup B$ where A and B are regular open and π gb-closed sets of X and f : $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function such that f/A and f/B are somewhat π gb-irresolute functions. Then f is somewhat π gb-irresolute function.

Proof. Let U be any π gb-open set in (Y, σ) such that $f^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$. Then $(f/A)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ or $(f/B)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ or both $(f/A)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ and $(f/B)^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$.

Case 1. Suppose $(f/A)^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$.Since f/A is somewhat π gb- irresolute, there exists a π gb-open set V in A such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subset (f/A)^{-1}(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Since X-V is π gb-closed in A and A is regular open and π gb-closed sets in X, X-V is π gb-closed in X. Thus f is somewhat π gb- irresolute function.

The proof is similar for other two cases.

Definition 4.7: If X is a set and τ and σ are topologies for X, then τ is said to be equivalent to σ [3] provided if U \in

 τ and $U \neq \phi$, then there is an open set V in (X, σ) such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subset U$ and if $U \in \sigma$ and $U \neq \phi$, then there is an open set V in (X, τ) such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subset U$.

International Journal of Mathematical Engineering and ScienceISSN : 2277-6982Volume 1 Issue 10 (October 2012)http://www.ijmes.com/https://sites.google.com/site/ijmesjournal/

Definition 4.8: If X is a set and τ and σ are topologies for X, then τ is said to be π gb- equivalent to σ provided if U $\in \tau$ and U $\neq \phi$, then there is a π gb-open set V in (X, σ) such that V $\neq \phi$ and V \subset U and if U $\in \sigma$ and U $\neq \phi$ then there is a π gb-open set V in (X, τ) such that V $\neq \phi$ and V \subset U.

Theorem 4.9 Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be somewhat continuous function and let τ^* be a topology for X, which is π gb-equivalent to τ then the function $f : (X, \tau^*) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Proof. Let U be any open set in (Y, σ) such that $f^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$. Since by hypothesis $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is somewhat continuous by definition there exists an open set O in (X, τ) such that $O \neq \varphi$ and $O \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Since O is an open set in (X, τ) such that $O \neq \varphi$ and $S \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Since O is an open set V in (X, τ^*) such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subset O \subset f^{-1}(U)$. Hence $O \subset f^{-1}(U)$ Thus for any open set U in (Y, σ) such that $f^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$ there exist a π gb-open set V in (X, τ^*) such that $V \subset O \subset f^{-1}(U)$. Hence $O \subset f^{-1}(U)$. So $f : (X, \tau^*) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Theorem 4.10: Let $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be somewhat π gb-continuous function and let σ^* be a topology for Y which is equivalent to σ . Then $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma^*)$ is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Proof. Let U be an open set in (Y, σ^*) such that $f^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ which implies $U \neq \phi$. Since σ and σ^* are equivalent there exists an open set W in (Y, σ) such that $W \neq \phi$ and $W \subset U$. Now, W is an open set such that $W \neq \phi$, which implies $f^{-1}(W) \neq \phi$. Now by hypothesis $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is somewhat π gb-continuous function. Therefore there exists a π gb-open set V in X, such that $V \subset f^{-1}(W)$. Now $W \subset U$ implies $f^{-1}(W) \subset f^{-1}(U)$. This implies $V \subset f^{-1}(W) \subset f^{-1}(U)$. So we have $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$, which implies that $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma^*)$ is somewhat π gb-continuous function.

Theorem 4.11: Let $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be somewhat πgb - irresolute surjection and let τ^* be a topology for X, which is πgb -equivalent to τ then the function $f: (X, \tau^*) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is somewhat πgb - irresolute function.

Proof. Let U be any π gb-open set in (Y, σ) such that $f^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$. Since by hypothesis $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is somewhat π gb-irresolute, by definition there exists an π gb-open set O in (X, τ) such that $O \neq \varphi$ and $O \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Since O is an π gb-open set in (X, τ) such that $O \neq \varphi$ and since by hypothesis τ is π gb- equivalent to τ^* by definition there exists a π gb-open set V in (X, τ^*) such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subset O \subset f^{-1}(U)$. Hence $O \subset f^{-1}(U)$ Thus for any open set U in (Y, σ) such that $f^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$ there exist a π gb-open set V in (X, τ^*) such that $V \subset O \subset f^{-1}(U)$. So $f : (X, \tau^*) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is somewhat π gb- irresolute function.

Theorem 4.12: Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be somewhat πgb - irresolute surjection function and let σ^* be a topology for Y which is equivalent to σ . Then $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma^*)$ is somewhat πgb - irresolute function.

Proof. Let U be an open set in (Y, σ^*) such that $f^{-1}(U) \neq \phi$ which implies $U \neq \phi$. Since σ and σ^* are equivalent there exists an open set W in (Y, σ) such that $W \neq \phi$ and $W \subset U$.Now, W is an open set such that $W \neq \phi$, which implies $f^{-1}(W) \neq \phi$. Now by hypothesis $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is somewhat π gb- irresolute function. Therefore there exists a π gb-open set V in X, such that $V \subset f^{-1}(W)$. Now $W \subset U$ implies $f^{-1}(W) \subset f^{-1}(U)$. This implies $V \subset f^{-1}(W) \subset f^{-1}(U)$. So we have $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$, which implies that $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma^*)$ is somewhat π gb- irresolute function.

5.Somewhat π gb-open functions

Definition 5.1: A function $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be somewhat π gb-open function provided that for $U \in \tau$ and $U \neq \varphi$ there exists a π gb-open set V in Y such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subseteq f(U)$.

Example 5.2: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \}$ and $\sigma = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Define a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ by f(a) = b, f(b) = c, f(c) = a. Then clearly f is somewhat π gb-open function.

Theorem 5.3: Every somewhat semi-open function is somewhat π gb-open function.

Proof. Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be a somewhat semi-open function. Let $U \in \tau$ and $U \neq \phi$. Since f is somewhat semi-open there exists a semi-open set V in Y such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subset f(U)$. But every semi-open set is π gb-open. Therefore there exists a π gb-open set V in Y such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subset f(U)$, which implies that f is somewhat π gb-open function.

Remark 5.4: Converse of the above theorem need not be true in general, which follows from the following example. **Example 5.5** Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Let $\tau = \{X, \varphi, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{X, \varphi\}$. Define a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ by f(a) = c, f(b) = a, f(c) = c. Then clearly f is somewhat π gb-open function which is not a somewhat semi-open function.

Theorem 5.6 Every somewhat open function is somewhat π gb-open function.

Proof. Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (X, \sigma)$ be somewhat open function. Let $U \in \tau$ and $U \neq \phi$. Since f is somewhat open function, there exists an open set V in Y such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subseteq f(U)$. But every open set is π gb-open. So there exists a π gb-open set V in Y such that $V \neq \phi$ and $V \subseteq f(U)$. Thus f is somewhat π gb-open function.

Remark 5.7 Converse of the above theorem need not be true in general, which follows from the following example. **Example 5.8** Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{X, \varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \varphi\}$. Define a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ as follows f(a) = b, f(b) = c, f(d) = a, f(c) = a. Then clearly f is somewhat π gb-open function, but not a somewhat open function.

Theorem 5.9 If $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is an open map and $g : (Y, \sigma) \to (Z, \eta)$ is somewhat π gb-open map then $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \to (Z, \eta)$ is somewhat π gb-open map.

Proof. Let $U \in \tau$. Suppose that $U \neq \phi$. Since f is an open map f(U) is open and $f(U) \neq \phi$. Thus $f(U) \in \sigma$ and $f(U) \neq \phi$. ϕ .Since g is somewhat π gb-open map and $f(U) \in \sigma$ such that $f(U) \neq \phi$ there exists a π gb-open set $V \in \eta$, $V \subset g(f(U))$, which implies $g \circ f$ is somewhat π gb-open function.

Theorem 5.10 If $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is a one-one and onto mapping, then the following conditions are equivalent. (i) f is somewhat π gb-open map.

(ii) If C is a closed subset of X such that $f(C) \neq Y$, then there is a π gb-closed subset D of Y such that $D \neq Y$ and $D \supset f(C)$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) :Let C be any closed subset of X such that $f(C) \neq Y$. Then X–C is open in X and X –C $\neq \varphi$. Since f is somewhat π gb-open, there exists a π gb-open set V $\neq \varphi$ in Y such that V ⊂ f(X - C). Put D = Y – V. Clearly D is π gb-closed in Y and we claim that D \neq Y. For if D = Y, then V = φ which is a contradiction. Since V ⊂ f(X - C), D = Y – V ⊃ Y – [f(X - C)] = f(C).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i):Let U be any non-empty open set in X. Put C = X - U. Then C is a closed subset of X and f(X - U) = f(C)= Y - f(U) implies $f(C) \neq \phi$. Therefore, by(ii) there is a π gb-closed subset D of Y such that D \neq Y and $f(C) \subset$ D. Put V = Y - D. Clearly V is a π gb-open set and V $\neq \phi$. Further, $V = X - D \subset Y - f(C) = Y - [Y - f(U)] = f(U).$

Theorem 5.11 Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be somewhat π gb-open function and A be any open subset of X. Then $f/A : (A, \tau/A) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is also somewhat π gb-open function.

Proof. Let $U \in \tau/A$ such that $U \neq \varphi$. Since U is open in A and A is open in (X, τ) , U is open in (X, τ) and since by hypothesis $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is somewhat π gb-open function, there exists a π gb-open set V in Y, such that V $\subset f(U)$. Thus, for any open set U in $(A, \tau/A)$ with $U \neq \varphi$, there exists a π gb-open set V in Y such that $V \subset f(U)$ which implies f/A is somewhat π gb-open function.

Theorem 5.12 Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two topological spaces and $X = A \cup B$ where A and B are open subsets of X and f : $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function such that f/A and f/B are somewhat π gb-open, then f is also somewhat π gb-open function.

Proof. Let U be any open subset of (X, τ) such that $U \neq \phi$. Since $X = A \cup B$, either $A \cap U \neq \phi$ or $B \cap U \neq \phi$ or both $A \cap U \neq \phi$ and $B \cap U \neq \phi$. Since U is open in (X, τ) , U is open in both $(A, \tau/A)$ and $(B, \tau/B)$.

Case (i): Suppose that $U \cap A \neq \phi$ where $U \cap A$ is open in τ/A . Since by hypothesis f/A is somewhat π gb-open function, there exists a π gb-open set $V \in (Y, \sigma)$ such that $V \subset f(U \cap A) \subset f(U)$, which implies f is somewhat π gb-open function.

Case (ii): Suppose that $U \cap B \neq \phi$, where $U \cap B$ is open in $(B, \sigma/B)$. Since by hypothesis f/B is somewhat π gb-open function, there exists a π gb-open set V in (Y, σ) such that $V \subset f(U \cap B) \subset f(U)$, which implies that f is also somewhat π gb-open function.

Case (iii): Suppose that both $U \cap B \neq \phi$ and $U \cap A \neq \phi$. Then obviously f is somewhat π gb-open function from the case (i) and case (ii). Thus f is somewhat π gb-open function.

6.Somewhat almost π gb-open functions

Definition 6.1: A function f is said to be somewhat almost π gb-open provided that if $U \in RO(\tau)$ and $U \neq \phi$, then there exists a non-empty π gb-open set V in Y such that $V \subseteq f(U)$.

Example 6.2: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. The function f: $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ defined by f(a) = a, f(b) = c and f(c) = b is somewhat almost π gb-open, somewhat π gb- open and somewhat open.

Theorem 6.3: For a bijective function f, the following are equivalent:

- (i) f is somewhat almost π gb-open.
- (ii) If C is regular closed in X, such that $f(C) \neq Y$, then there is a π gb- closed subset D of Y such that $D \neq Y$ and $D \supset f(C)$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $C \in RC(X)$ such that $f(C) \neq Y$. Then $X - C \neq \phi \in RO(X)$. Since f is somewhat almost π gb-open, there exists $V \neq \phi \in \pi GBO(Y)$ such that $V \subset f(X-C)$. Put D = Y-V. Clearly $D \neq \phi \in \pi GBC(Y)$. If D = Y, then $V = \phi$, which is a contradiction. Since $V \subset f(X-C)$, $D = Y-V \supset (Y - f(X-C)) = f(C)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $U \neq \phi \in RO(X)$. Then $C = X-U \in RC(X)$ and f(X-U) = f(C) = Y-f(U) implies $f(C) \neq Y$. Then by (ii),

there is $D \neq \varphi \in \pi GBC(Y)$ and $f(C) \subset D$. Clearly $V=Y-D \neq \varphi \in \pi GBO(Y)$. Also, $V = Y-D \subset Y-f(C) = Y-f(X-U) = f(U)$.

Theorem 6.4: The following statements are equivalent:

(i) f is somewhat almost π gb-open.

(ii) If A is a π gb-dense subset of Y, then f⁻¹(A) is a dense subset of X.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let A be a π gb- dense set in Y. If f⁻¹(A) is not dense in X, then there exists B \in RC(X) such that f

 $^{-1}(A) \subset B \subset X$. Since f is somewhat almost π gb-open and X-B \in RO(X), there exists C $\neq \varphi \in \pi$ GBO(Y) such that C \subset f(X-B). Therefore, C \subset f(X-B) \subset f(f⁻¹(Y-A)) \subset Y-A. That is, A \subset Y-C \subset Y. Now, Y-C is a π gb-closed set and A \subset Y-C \subset Y. This implies that A is not a π gb-dense set in Y, which is a contradiction. Therefore, f⁻¹(A) is a dense set in X.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): If $A \neq \phi \in RO(X)$. We want to show that $int(\pi gb-(f(A))) \neq \phi$. Suppose $int(\pi gb-(f(A))) = \phi$. Then, $\pi gb-cl\{(f(A))\} = Y$. Then by (ii), $f^{-1}(Y - f(A))$ is dense in X. But $f^{-1}(Y - f(A)) \subset X$ -A. Now, X-A $\in RC(X)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(Y - f(A)) \subset X$ -A gives $X = cl\{(f^{-1}(Y - f(A)))\} \subset X$ -A. Thus $A = \phi$, which contradicts $A \neq \phi$. Therefore, $int(\pi gb-(f(A))) \neq \phi$. Hence f is somewhat almost πgb -open.

Theorem 6.5: Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two topological spaces, $X = A \cup B$ where A and B are regular open subsets of X and f : $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function such that f/A and f/B are somewhat almost π gb-open functions. Then f is somewhat almost π gb-open.

Proof. Let U be any regular open set in X. Since $X=A \cup B$, either $A \cap U \neq \phi$ or $B \cap U \neq \phi$ or both $A \cap U \neq \phi$ and $B \cap U \neq \phi$ Since U is regular open in X, U is regular open in both A and B.

Case (i): If $A \cap U \neq \phi \in RO(A)$. Since f/A is somewhat almost π gb-open, there exists a π gb-open set V of Y such that $V \subset f(U \cap A) \subset f(U)$, which implies that f is a somewhat almost π gb-open. Case (ii): If $B \cap U \neq \phi \in RO(B)$. Since f/B is somewhat almost π gb-open, there exists a π gb-open set V in Y such that $V \subset f(U \cap B) \subset f(U)$, which implies that f is somewhat almost π gb-open. Case (iii): Suppose that both $A \cap U \neq \phi$ and $B \cap U \neq \phi$. Then by case (i) and (ii) f is somewhat almost π gb-open.

7.Somewhat M- π gb-open function

Definition 7.1: A function *f* is said to be somewhat M- π gb-open provided that if U $\in \pi$ GBO(τ) and U $\neq \phi$, then there exists a non-empty π gb-open set V in Y such that V $\subset f(U)$.

Example 7.2:Let X = {a, b, c}, $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{a,b\} X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. The function f: (X, τ) \rightarrow (X, σ) defined by f(a) = a, f(b) = c and f(c) = b is somewhat quasi π gb-open.

Theorem 7.3: For a bijective function f, the following are equivalent:

(i)f is somewhat M- π gb-open.

(ii) If $C \in \pi GBC(X)$, such that $f(C) \neq Y$, then there is a πgb - closed subset D of Y such that $D \neq Y$ and $D \supset f(C)$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $C \in \pi GBC(X)$, such that $f(C) \neq Y$. Then $X \cdot C \neq \phi \in \pi GBO(X)$, Since f is somewhat M- πgb -

open, there exists $V \neq \phi \in \pi GBO(Y)$ such that $V \subset f(X-C)$. Put D = Y-V. Clearly $D \neq \phi \in \pi GBC(Y)$. If D = Y, then $V = \phi$, which is a contradiction. Since $V \subset f(X-C)$, $D = Y-V \supset (Y-f(X-C)) = f(C)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $U \neq \phi \in \pi GBO(X)$, Then $C = X \cdot U \in \pi GBC(X)$, and $f(X \cdot U) = f(C) = Y \cdot f(U)$ implies $f(C) \neq Y$. Then

by (ii), there is $D \neq \phi \in \pi GBC(Y)$ and $f(C) \subset D$. Clearly $V=Y-D \neq \phi \in \pi GBO(Y)$. Also, $V = Y-D \subset Y-f(C) = Y-f(X-U) = f(U)$.

Theorem 7.4: Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two topological spaces, $X = A \cup B$ where A and B are regular open subsets of X and f : $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function such that f/A and f/B are somewhat almost π gb-open functions. Then f is somewhat almost π gb-open.

Proof. Let $U \neq \phi \in \pi \text{GBO}(X)$,.Since $X=A \cup B$, either $A \cap U \neq \phi$ or $B \cap U \neq \phi$ or both $A \cap U \neq \phi$ and $B \cap U \neq \phi$ Since U is regular open in X,U is regular open in both A and B.

Case (i): If $A \cap U \neq \phi \in \pi \text{GBO}(A)$, Since f/A is somewhat almost π gb-open, there exists a π gb-open set V of Y such that $V \subset f(U \cap A) \subset f(U)$, which implies that f is a somewhat almost π gb-open.

Case (ii): If $B \cap U \neq \phi \in \pi GBO(B)$. Since f/B is somewhat almost πgb -open, there exists a πgb -open set V in Y such

that $V \subset f(U \cap B) \subset f(U)$, which implies that f is somewhat almost π gb-open.

Case (iii): Suppose that both $A \cap U \neq \varphi$ and $B \cap U \neq \varphi$. Then by case (i) and (ii) f is somewhat M- π gb-open.

References:

- [1] D. Andrijevic, On b-open sets, Mat. Vesnik 48 (1996), 59-64.
- [2] S. S. Benchalli and Priyanka M. Bansali ,Somewhat b-Continuous and Somewhat b-Open Functions in Topological Spaces,Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 4, 2010, no. 46, 2287 2296.
- [3] M. Caldas, A separation axioms between semi- T_0 and semi- T_1 , Mem. Fac. Sci.Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math. 181(1997) 37-42.
- [4] J. Dontchev, On generalizing semi-preopen sets, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math. 16 (1995), 35-48.
- [5] J.Dontchev and T.Noiri, Quasi Normal Spaces and π g-closed sets ,Acta Math. Hungar., 89(3)(2000), 211-219.
- [6] E. Ekici and M. Caldas, Slightly -continuous functions, Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat. (3) 22 (2004), 63-74. M. Ganster and M. Steiner, On some questions about b-open sets, Questions Answers Gen. Topology 25 (2007), 45-52.
- [7] Gentry, K. R. and Hoyle, H. B., Somewhat continuous functions, Czech. Math. Jl., 21 (1971). No. 1, 5-12.
- [8] M. Ganster and M. Steiner, On bτ-closed sets, Appl. Gen. Topol. 8 (2007), 243-247.
- [9] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 70(1963), 36-41.
- [10] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 19 (1970), 89-96.
- [11] D. Santhileela and G. Balasubramanian, Somewhat semi continuous and somewhat semi open functions, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.,94 (1) (2002) 41-48.
- [12] D. Sreeja and C. Janaki ,On πgb- Closed Sets in Topological Spaces,International Journal of Mathematical Archive-2(8), 2011, 1314-1320.
- [13] D. Sreeja and C. Janaki, A New Type of Separation Axioms in Topological Spaces Asian Journal of Current Engineering and Maths1: 4 Jul Aug (2012) 199 203.
- [14] Youngblood .A. L., Weakly equivalent topologies, Master's Thesis (1965) University of Georgia, Georgia.
- [15] Zdenek Frolik, Remarks concerning the Invariance of Baire Spaces under Mappings, Czech. Math. Jl., II (86). (1961) 381-385.