

# Stellar Metamorphosis: Replacing the Big Bang's Model for Determining the Age of Stars

Jeffrey J. Wolynski  
Jeffrey.wolynski@yahoo.com  
March 24, 2014  
Cocoa, FL 32922

*Abstract: Since the Big Bang Model is a philosophically unsound construct violating cause and effect and laws of thermodynamics any deduction of the ages of stars based on it is also philosophically unsound. A seminal understanding is offered via stellar metamorphosis to replace it.*

In the star sciences all stars have their ages determined by the ratio of hydrogen/helium to other elements. In the myth of Big Bang all hydrogen is synthesized in a giant explosion of nothing. This hydrogen then mutates into other elements inside of stars over time. Therefore, the stars that have the most hydrogen and helium versus iron are the oldest as they are relics of the giant exploding universe myth.

In the Big Bang Creationism myth, a star with much more hydrogen and helium in its spectral lines versus other elements is the oldest, and the closest to when the mythological "Bang" occurred. This means that stars, regardless of their state whether they be solids, plasma, liquids or gas, and regardless of their composition and level of differentiation can be directly aged by their ratios of hydrogen/helium against the assumed cosmological myth of the "creation moment" 13.7 billion years ago.

There is no other alternative to this method for determining the ages of stars in the sciences. To any rational thinker this fact alone should be cause for concern. Since when in science is there only ONE interpretation of the results? Either we can determine the ages of stars based on assumed mythological beliefs, or we can twiddle our thumbs and pretend to be idiots. It sounds as if there are no alternatives because Big Bang is not science! Cosmology is mythology, yes, reader! It has been mythology all through the 20<sup>th</sup> century! Sure, it is riddled with scientific measurements, but that is all masked and based on the myth of Big Bang, and the Big Bang is philosophically unsound. We built the Queen of the Sciences, Astronomy, on rotten ground! Do not worry though, it is an easy fix, a lot of textbook rewrites are in order.

In stellar metamorphosis, all stars start out has young and hot. They dissipate their energy from initial formation and cool. Thus the older stars will be a lot colder than the younger ones, a philosophically sound conclusion! The oldest stars will not have visible light spectrums, will not radiate and have completely cooled and died. This philosophically sound idea contradicts Big Bang Creationism. To them the ancient stars shine just as bright as the young ones. **The truth is that the oldest stars are planets.**