## PROOF OF THE DEPASCALISATION THEOREM

Amarnath Murthy, S.E.(E&T), WLS, Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Ltd., Sabarmati. Ahmedabad.-380005 INDIA.

In [1] we have defined Pascalisation as follows:

Let  $b_1, b_2, \ldots$  be a base sequence. Then the Smarandache Pascal derived sequence

$$d_1, d_2, \dots \text{ is defined as} \\ d_1 = b_1 \\ d_2 = b_1 + b_2 \\ d_3 = b_1 + 2b_2 + b_3 \\ d_4 = b_1 + 3b_2 + 3b_3 + b_4 \\ \dots \\ d_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k . b_{k+1} \\ k=0$$

Now Given  $S_d$  the task ahead is to find out the base sequence  $S_b$ . We call the process of extracting the base sequence from the Pascal derived sequence as **Depascalsation**. The interesting observation is that this again involves the Pascal's triangle, but with a difference.

On expressing  $b_k$ 's in terms of  $d_k$ 's We get

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= d_1 \\ b_2 &= -d_1 + d_2 \\ b_3 &= d_1 - 2d_2 + d_3 \\ b_4 &= -d_1 + 3d_2 - 3d_3 + d_4 \end{aligned}$$

which suggests the possibility of

$$b_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n+k} \cdot {}^{n}C_{k} \cdot d_{k+1}$$

This I call as Depascalisation Theorem.

**PROOF:** We shall prove it by induction.

Let the proposition be true for all the numbers  $1 \le k+1$ . Then we have

$$b_{k+1} = {}^{k}C_{0} (-1)^{k+2} d_{1} + {}^{k}C_{1} (-1)^{k+1} d_{2} + ... + {}^{k}C_{k} (-1)^{2}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{k+2} &= {}^{k+1}C_0 \ b_1 + {}^{k+1}C_1 \ b_2 + \ldots + {}^{k+1}C_r \ b_{r+1} + \ldots + {}^{k+1}C_{k+1} \ b_{k+2} \ , \text{ which gives} \\ b_{k+2} &= (-1)^{k+1}C_0 \ b_1 - {}^{k+1}C_1 \ b_2 - \ldots - {}^{k+1}C_r \ b_{r+1} - \ldots + d_{k+2} \end{aligned}$$

substituting the values of  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , ... etc. in terms of  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ , ..., we get the coefficient of  $d_1$  as

Coefficient of 
$$d_1$$
 as
$$(-1)^{k+1}C_0 + (-^{k+1}C_1)(-^1C_0) + (-^{k+1}C_2)(^2C_0) + \ldots + (-1)^r \cdot {}^{k+1}C_r)(^rC_0) + \ldots + (-1)$$

$${}^{k+1}({}^{k+1}C_k)({}^kC_0) - {}^{k+1}C_0 + {}^{k+1}C_1 \cdot {}^{1}C_0 - {}^{k+1}C_2 \cdot {}^{2}C_0 + \ldots + (-1)^r \cdot {}^{k+1}C_r \cdot {}^{r}C_0 + \ldots + (-1)^{k+1} \cdot {}^{k+1}C_k \cdot {}^{k}C_0$$
similarly the coefficient of  $d_2$  is

similarly the coefficient of  $Q_2$  is  ${}^{k+1}C_1 \cdot {}^{1}C_1 + {}^{k+1}C_2 \cdot {}^{2}C_1 + \ldots + (-1)^{r+1} \cdot {}^{k+1}C_r \cdot {}^{r}C_1 + \ldots + (-1)^{k+1} \cdot {}^{k+1}C_k \cdot {}^{k}C_1$ 

on similar lines we get the coefficient of  $d_{m+1}$  as

## References:

- [1] Amarnath Murthy, 'Smarandache Pascal Derived Sequences', SNJ, March ,2000.
- [2] Amarnath Murthy, 'More Results and Applications of the Smarandache Star Function., SNJ, VOL.11, No. 1-2-3, 2000.