

Force Field Theory

Jeffrey J Wolynski

February 25, 2013

Jeffrey.wolynski@yahoo.com

Abstract: Inconsistencies are abundant in the study of reality in the sciences. One of the most glaring inconsistencies are given in Wikipedia as it will be explained to the reader what is really happening.


According to Wikipedia, which is the bastion of censorship of new scientific ideas, a “force field” is defined as:

A **force field**, sometimes known as an **energy shield**, **force shield**, or **deflector shield** is a concept of a [field](#) tightly bounded of significant magnitude so that objects affected by the particular force relating to the field are unable to pass through the central axis of the field and reach the other side. It is a concept popular in [science fiction](#) and [fantasy](#) series. Scientific research into energy shields is ongoing.

The author of this paper realizes their failure to consider that force fields already exist and are NOT science fiction. A picture of a force field is provided below:



Force fields are very common in life. Without them we would not be able to navigate reality. A picture of another force field is provided below:



As we can see the glass is a force field in the shape of a cylinder that can hold the water. If the glass did not exert a force, then the water would not be held in.

The establishment wants people to believe that atoms are mostly empty space but this is problematic. If atoms are mostly empty space 99.99999% space, then conceptually they should pass right through each other all the time. We can clearly show that there is a powerful force that makes up for this giant discrepancy in mathematical theory. We can clearly see that what is considered to be “physical” is nothing but a force field. Everything we interact with, even our bodies themselves are not “physical”, (which by the way is a very poor description as noted in the 99.99999% space argument presented above) but consist of pure fields spatially extended in three dimensions. A coherent field that is spatially extended gives rise to the concept of “physicality”. This would be similar to throwing a tennis ball against a brick wall. All physicality consists of is interacting fields, not “matter” interacting with “matter”. This is not to be confused for a field of continuous size such as a magnetic field or gravitational field. These are actually just incomplete extensions of a pre-existing field called “physical matter”. Thinking a gravitational field or magnetic field exists independent of a source field is mathematical conjecture and does not explain anything it only describes its effects.

The reason why gravitational fields, electric fields and magnetic fields were separated from matter is because physicality itself suffered an incomplete definition. Physicality itself consists of coherent, discontinuous force fields that give rise to continuous overlaps such as gravitation or magnetism extended spatially from their source. Continuous structure such as gravitation is nothing but an overlap of a pre-existing field. This is why it has been so difficult to understand gravity or magnetism we have firmly placed the concept of “physicality” separate from the concept of “force”. They are the same thing there was/is no difference.