More expansions in Physics

Nasir Germain Astrophysicist and Dr.Andrew Nassif

Nasir Astro- Phenomenon

My research in astrophysics has led me to studying high-energy phenomenon in a space situation. The first of many is the Crisis phenomenon it states that when quasars and black holes collide it causes the black hole to devour itself.

Another result would be the Germain phenomenon when a black hole devours the quasar and gives off temporary light radiation.

The effect of supermassive black holes colliding with a star is virtually nothing this is called the pointless phenomenon.

When a black hole kills off a star it magnifies the light of the star for a couple seconds before becoming dark again. This phenomenon is known as the classy event it is responsible for the ultraviolet decay of stars via black hole gravitational pull. Also high-speed pulsars within range of a stars gravitational pull can cause it to happen also.

The defiant phenomenon is the collision of neutron stars the result is a supernova explosion with leftover radioactive particles.

The different phenomenon is when radioactive particles destroy one another. The supremacy phenomenon concludes this the particles could also form energy bodies.

E=MA^2*f*p*v

The equation that should replace e=mc^2. Einstein was incorrect because the variables power, force and velocity are not included in his equation. This idea was first seen in 2012, when Andrew Nassif theorized that Einstein's equation is based on an isolate system and an isolated universe.

With that being said could how we study the universe be partially incorrect?

- Could we have misspoken at the cosmos?
- Could the universe be completely different that we suspect it?
- Could we have looked at energy and matter at the completely wrong?
- Could dark matter be the reason Einstein is wrong?

I leave Dr.Nassif to answer these questions:

Most of our theories today are, "mixed" meaning they are based on either isolated systems in the universe or a big crunch, or some other synopsis and theorization in physics. Maybe, now we can say we have been misspoken on the cosmos. However, the way we look on matter and energy and the way they compose is only partially wrong.

Nasir Germain on "Hydro-Stars"

Hydro-Stars are:
• Formed by the collision of two high speed pulsars.
• Pulsar collision caused unusual amounts of hydrogen to spike thus mutating it.

Andrew Nassif's Theory on Correction of Einstein's Theory (Posted 4/10/2012):
I discovered that Einstein's Theory of Special Relativity is partially wrong. Also force can't equal mass acceleration according to some theories, force is actually the velocity of mass accelerating and energy is the force of mass accelerating. The reason that it force is the velocity of mass accelerating is because force is the action of pulling and the result of the velocity of mass accelerating is the pulling or resisting force. Einstein said that Energy = Mass Acceleration Squared. Now mass acceleration squared is actually the mass capacity accelerating which is not really a result of energy but refers to the momentum happening as a result of a mass accelerating. Now my discovery is that Energy = the force of mass accelerating. The reason Einstein was wrong was because he didn't include the variable of force. Think of a ballerina dancing. Her mass is causing a force that is accelerating causing burning energy. This idea is so revolutionary that my term paper was copyrighted, patented, trademarked, and has been authenticated