

# Big Bang Creationist and Mathematical Physicists Teach that Earth is the Center of the Universe

Jeffrey J Wolynski

January 25, 2013

Jeffrey.wolynski@yahoo.com

*Abstract: It is taught by the Big Bang Mathematical Religion that Earth is the center of the Universe. This is incorrect. It is known by real scientists that Earth is the center of what we observe in the universe. This means that it is the center of the observable universe. An elaboration of the differences is provided.*

**Universe (n.): Everything**

$$A = A$$

**Observable Universe(n.): Everything observable**

$$B = B$$

Mathematical physicists love to confuse these two terms all the time. It makes their theories seemingly much more encompassing and unchallengeable, such as Big Bang Creationism. Mathematical physicists destroy these two scientific definitions as elaborated below:

**Universe (n.): Everything Observable**

$$A = B$$

**Observable Universe (n.): Everything**

$$B = A$$

They made dog mean cat, up mean down, left mean right, and wrong mean correct. They made their “universal” theories unfalsifiable. With these clear definitions it becomes apparent that what we see in our telescopes is not the whole picture. The universe and the observable universe are not the same contrary to what the Big Bang/ Mathematical physics religions condition their followers to believe.

It can be shown via Wikipedia the very moment they destroy these definitions:

## WIKIPEDIA: UNIVERSE

The Universe is commonly defined as the totality of existence,[1][2][3][4] including planets, stars, galaxies, the contents of intergalactic space, and all matter and energy.[5][6] Definitions and usage vary[how?] and similar terms include the cosmos, the world and nature.

Which is it? The observable universe or the UNIVERSE? The observable universe is 93 billion light years in diameter. Is it everything we can see, or is it EVERYTHING?



Scientific observation of the Universe, which is believed to be at least 93 billion light years in diameter,

This confusing of the two concepts is common in the mathematical physics religion. What the second statement should look like so that consistency is kept:

Scientific **observation** of the **observable** universe, which is believed to be at least 93 billion light years in diameter,

Mathematical physicists do not want to make scientific definitions (clear, unambiguous definitions) because the definition making process debunks their religion instantaneously for how the “universe” came to be. Put simply, the question as to how the universe came to be is moot, because we still to this day do not know how much is out there or how big it is! Our best telescopes can only see out to 46.5 billion light years! What is out to 100 billion light years or 600 trillion light years? The universe clearly stretches out further than any of our telescopes can currently see. This difference between the totality of all existence and what we see is now clear they are not the same concepts. No multi-verse theory is even required we cannot even see how far the current one stretches out to!

It is now understood that the terms universe and observable universe are not the same concepts. One implies the totality of all existence the other implies a piece of the whole that we can observe with our most powerful of telescopes such as the Hubble. When the mathematical physicists confuse the two humans have a difficult time working with reality. When people want to understand the world around them they have to fight off the mathematical physics religion too now. The main method mathematical physics religions use for pretending to do science is by making circular definitions that are ambiguous so that their theories are unfalsifiable and their careers can continue indefinitely.