

Aleksandr V. Bukalov

New solution to the cosmological constant problem and cosmological model with superconductivity

The Centre of Physical and Space Researches, IIS, Melnikova str., 12, Kiev-050, 04050, Ukraine.

e-mail: bukalov.physics@socionic.info

Application of a quantum theory of superconductivity to the formation of the primary dark energy at the Planck scale allows us to solve the cosmological constant problem and to obtain the observed value of the dark energy density, corresponding to PLANK results. It is offered the new model of exponential expansion and the hot stage of the Universe. It is followed that the modern evolution of the universe can be viewed as a process of phase transition, and the physical time is an indicator of this transition.

Keywords: dark energy theory, physics of the early universe, cosmological phase transitions, cosmological constant, vacuum energy density, cosmology.

PACS numbers: **98.80.-k; 95.36. + x; 11.30.Rd; 42.40.-i**

1. Introduction

As it is known, the standard estimations within the limits of the quantum field theory give values of vacuum energy density at $\omega_k^2 = k^2 + m^2$, $k_{\max} \gg m$ [1]:

$$\rho_v = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{k_{\max}} \frac{4\pi k^2}{(2\pi)^3} dk \sqrt{k^2 + m^2} = \frac{k_{\max}^4}{16\pi^2} \approx \frac{E_P^4}{16\pi^2} \approx 2.2 \cdot 10^{71} \text{ GeV}^4,$$

where $E_P = (\hbar c^5 / 8\pi G_N)^{1/2}$ is the Planck energy. This value is in 10^{120} times more than the observed one $\rho_{v(\text{obs})} \approx (2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4$. This is one of the most serious problems in physics, because it is also related to the nature of “dark energy”.

By this time the set of variants of the solution of the problem of the cosmological constant and energy of vacuum is offered [1–4]. However the majority of such solutions require the use of specific parameters, exotic variants of the field theory or modification of the gravitation theory. Therefore those directions of the solution of this problem have advantage, which are characterized by maximum physical naturalness and simplicity. It is possible to refer to such directions the attempts to solve the cosmological constant problem by analogy to the superconductivity theory. But within the limits of such approach usually the special assumptions on gravitational interaction between primary fermions, which defines value of dark energy, are made in [3, 4].

An approach offered in this article does not use such additional hypotheses, and the law of interaction between primary fermions follows from the theory and experimental data. It in turn gives the value of the cosmological constant close to the observed one and explains the nature of “dark energy”. Thus it becomes clear not only the mechanism of formation of modern value of dark energy density, but also variety of the problems related to the theory of a Big Bang and to the cosmological evolution of the Universe [5].

2. Possible structure of space-time on Planck scales and superconductivity

Usually it is supposed that the space-time on Planck scales has a foamy structure. However, there are calculations of interactions on Planck distances which show that domains, with the masses close to Planck mass, can form regular structures [6]. Such structures can form a regular crystal-like lattice with the cells close to Planck scales $L_p = (G_N \hbar / c^3)^{1/2}$. As the domains are the quasi self-contained objects, their effective mass is close to zero. They also can interact among themselves by quadrupole gravitational forces. At such description it is possible to consider the space-time on Planck scales as analogue of a solid body [6, 7]. Collective excitations in such structure play a role of phonons, arising in a crystal-like lattice with the period close to the Planck interval.

The calculation of the entropy of black holes, in which a minimum possible size is the Planck length, also points on the discreteness of space at the Planck scale. In theory loop quantum gravity space is also considered as a network with the cells of Planck size [8].

Let's consider interaction of such structure with the primary fermions (b-fermions), which may be primary excitations of the lattice. These fermions can interreact with the spatial lattice and, under certain conditions, can couple by means of phonon interaction, it is similar to Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer model (BCS) [9] for electrons with the bose-condensate formation. Thus the maximum oscillation frequency of lattices, as an analogue of Debye frequency, is close to Planck frequency $\omega_D \approx \omega_P$.

A number of authors already considered BCS-models for fermions, using Planck frequency as an analog Debye frequency [3, 4]. The macroscopical equation, which describes interaction of fermions [10], looks like:

$$\left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \zeta(\bar{\psi}\psi) \right] \psi = 0. \quad (1)$$

At the use of pulsing representation of this equation we find for Fermi energy: $E_F = p_F^2 / (2m) + \zeta \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$, where p_F is an impulse of subfermions by Fermi surface,

$$E - E_F = \frac{p^2 - p_F^2}{2m} + \zeta(\bar{\psi}\psi - \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle). \quad (2)$$

Raising both sides of the square, averaging and using $|\Psi|^2 = \langle (\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 \rangle - \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle^2$, we can make the substitution near the Fermi surface $(p^2 - p_F^2) / (2m) \rightarrow v(p - p_F)$, where $v = p_F / m$ is a velocity of subfermions at this surface, and obtain [10]

$$E - E_F = \pm \sqrt{v^2 (p - p_F)^2 + \zeta^2 |\Psi|^2}.$$

$2\Delta = \lambda\psi$ is an energy gap, related to effect of coupling of fermions, which separates the basic and excited state [10]. As it appears from theory BCS, thus

$$2\Delta = \frac{4\hbar\omega_D}{\sinh\left(\frac{1}{V \cdot M(0)}\right)} = \frac{4\hbar\omega_D}{e^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}}} = 3.52k_B T_c, \quad (3)$$

where $\lambda = V \cdot M(0)$ is a constant of fermion-phonon interactions, V is a potential, $M(0)$ is a density of fermion states on Fermi's surface, T_c is a critical temperature [11]. Then, at $4\Delta^2 \approx \Lambda$,

$$\rho_{DE} = \frac{4M_p^2 \Delta^2}{8\pi}, \quad (4)$$

where $M_p = (\hbar c / G_N)^{1/2}$ is Plank mass.

3. Dark energy and superfluid Fermi gas

We can get the same result as (4), considering the condensation of fermion gas with a weak attraction between fermions, provided that the mass of the fermions is close to Planck, without the concept of quasi-crystalline lattice.

The initial density of the Universe is equal to Planck one:

$$\rho_{DE} \approx \rho_{v_0} = \rho_P = \frac{3M_p}{(8\pi L_p)^3} = \frac{3(\hbar\omega_p)^4}{(8\pi)^3 \hbar^3 c^5}. \quad (5)$$

In that case the dark energy density is defined by density of energy gaps Δ_v as binding energies of fermion pairs, which form a condensate as the difference between the energy densities of the superfluid and normal components according to the theory of superfluid fermion gas [15]:

$$-(\rho_s - \rho_n) = -\Delta\rho = \rho_{DE} = \frac{mp_F \Delta^2}{4\pi^2 \hbar^3} = \frac{mp_F C_2}{4\pi^2 \hbar^3} \left(\frac{1}{C_1} \cdot \frac{\hbar\omega_p}{e^{1/\lambda_i} - e^{-1/\lambda_i}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \Lambda, \quad (6)$$

where λ_i is constant interaction of fermions. The "minus" sign means the instability of the normal state of the fermion gas with weak attraction between fermions [15].

And the of difference entropies is negative [15]:

$$S_s - S_n = -V \frac{4mp_F T_c}{7\zeta(3)\hbar^3} \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right), \quad (7)$$

where V is a volume, at

$$\Delta = T_c \sqrt{\frac{8\pi^2}{7\zeta(3)} \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)} = 3,063 T_c \sqrt{1 - \frac{T}{T_c}}, \quad (8)$$

when $T \rightarrow T_c$.

At $\lambda_i \gg 1$, $C_1 = 8\pi$, $\Lambda = \Delta_0^2 / 4$ and $\Lambda^{-1/2} = M_P / e^{1/\lambda_j} C_1$, $m = M_P$, $p_F = M_P c \pi / 8$ and $C_2 = 2$:

$$\rho_{DE} = \frac{C_2}{8\pi G_N (8\pi t_P e^{1/\lambda_j})^2} \quad (9)$$

assuming that $\lambda_j \cong \alpha_{em} = (137.0599)^{-1}$ we obtain:

$$\rho_{DE} = \frac{1}{4\pi G_N (8\pi t_P e^{1/\lambda_j})^2} = \frac{c^5}{256\pi^3 G_N^2 \hbar e^{2\alpha_{em}^{-1}}} = 6.09 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg/m}^3 \quad (10)$$

in excellent agreement with the PLANK data [16].

Thus, the agreement with experimental data requires value of coefficient of interactions λ_i :

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_i} = \alpha_{em}^{-1} = \frac{\hbar c}{e^2}. \quad (11)$$

Let's note that λ_i is defined also by a ratio of value of a charge of a Dirac magnetic monopole to an electrical charge: $\lambda_i^{-1} = g / e$. But equality λ and α_{em} does not mean identity. λ_i can be a constant for the other, unobserved forms of matter, such as mirror or in other dimensions. $\lambda_i = e_i^{*2} / \hbar c$. This result naturally confirms our point of view on character of interaction of fermions. Thus, the mechanism of condensation of primary fermions has not the gravitational nature, but is defined by special interaction.

Therefore, the existence of "dark energy" of the Universe is defined by phase change process — condensation of primary fermions and formation of a Bose condensate of these pairs.

4. Dynamics of the modern vacuum density

Let's consider the process of formation of the up-to-date dark energy in the hot early Universe. As it is known from quantum electrodynamics, a value of electromagnetic fine-structure constant is function of four-impulse Q^2 :

$$\alpha_i^{-1} = \alpha_{em}^{-1} - \frac{\beta}{3\pi} \ln \left(\frac{Q_i}{2m_e} \right)^2, \quad (12)$$

where m_e is the mass of electron, $\alpha_{em} = e^2 / \hbar c$ is the fine-structure constant [12].

Then the effective dark energy density as density of energy gaps will make:

$$\rho_{cond} = \rho_v \approx \Omega_v \cdot \frac{3c^5}{(8\pi)^3 G_N^2 \hbar e^{2\alpha_{em}^{-1}}} \left(\frac{Q_i}{2m_e} \right)^{\frac{4\beta}{3\pi}}, \quad (13)$$

where Q_i is a 4-impulse of quanta of radiation and substance in the early Universe.

The energy density of a vacuum condensate is driven by the energy density of radiation and substances. Thus ρ_v reaches a minimum and becomes a stationary value at $Q = 2m_e c^2 = 1.022 \text{ MeV}$.

For energies $\mu_{GUT} \approx 10^{15} \text{ eV}$, $\alpha^{-1}(\mu_{GUT}) = \alpha^{-1}(\mu_0) - b_i \ln(\mu_{GUT} / \mu_0) / 2\pi$,

$$\rho_v = \frac{(\hbar\omega_p)^4}{(8\pi)^3 e^{2\alpha^{-1}(\mu_0)}} \left(\frac{\mu_{GUT}}{\mu_0} \right)^{\frac{2b_i}{\pi}}.$$

Let's consider now a question on quantity of α_{em}^{-1} change. At the Planck energies the value for vacuum is $\rho_v \approx \rho_p$ and $\alpha_i^{-1} = 1$. Thus the value of an electrical charge equally primary one: $e_0^2 = \hbar c = Q_0^2$.

For definition of the law of α_i^{-1} change we will consider some aspects of the Universe formation. If the Universe started from Planck density $\rho_p \sim M_p^4$, it extended in a vacuum-like state till the moment of phase transition under the law

$$\rho_v = \rho_p \left(\frac{1}{e^{\alpha_i^{-1}}} \right)^2 \approx \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \Lambda_i = \frac{1}{8\pi G_N \tau_v^2}, \quad (14)$$

and the radius of the Universe at the moment of transition from vacuum-like state in a hot state was $R_U = R_v = R_H T_{CMBR} / T_{GUT} = 8\pi t_p e^{\alpha_i^{-1}}$, where $R_H = cH^{-1}$ is the up-to-date Hubble radius, T_{CMBR} is the temperature of relict radiation (temperature of cosmic microwave background radiation). Thus τ_v plays a role of parameter of time for phase transition.

Thus the value α_i^{-1} could change from 1 to $\alpha_{GUT}^{-1} \approx 80$. At the moment of phase transition we can estimate the radius of the Universe R_U and, accordingly, value α_i^{-1} at $\rho_{GUT} = 3(32\pi G_N t_{GUT}^2)^{-1} \approx (10^{15} \text{ GeV})^4$ depending on value of energy of a dark energy.

So, at $E_{DE} = (M_p \Lambda^{1/2})^{1/2} = 3.22 \cdot 10^3 \text{ GeV}$ and $k_B T_{GUT} = 2.11 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ the Universe radius is $R_U = 2.32 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ sm}$ at $\alpha_i^{-1} = \alpha_{em}^{-1} / 2 = 68.518$.

At $E_{DE} = m_{H^0}^* c^2 = 246.3 \text{ GeV}$ and $k_B T_{GUT} = 1.35 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ the Universe radius is $R_U = 2.292 \text{ sm}$ at $\alpha_i^{-1} = 73.1$.

At $E_{DE} = m_{Z^0}^* c^2 = 91.18 \text{ GeV}$ and $k_B T_{GUT} = 5.02 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$ the Universe radius is $R_U = 16.7 \text{ sm}$ at $\alpha_i^{-1} = 75$.

At $E_{DE} = m_{W^\pm}^* c^2 = 80.4 \text{ GeV}$ and $k_B T_{GUT} = 4.43 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$ the Universe radius is $R_U = 21.49 \text{ sm}$ at $\alpha_i^{-1} = 77.22$.

Let's note that the value of initial exponential expansion of the Universe corresponds to that which arises also in the inflation theory. Therefore the superconducting mechanism of the Universe's expansion provides causality and homogeneity of the Universe. Moreover, while in the inflationary theory maintenance of the Universe homogeneity is a one-time event, in the superconducting scenario existence of a quantum vacuum condensate continuously provides homogeneity of the Universe. It resolves the Penrose's paradox: R. Penrose repeatedly underlined the lack of mechanism of sync of electroweak phase transition in various points of space, which occurs much more after inflationary dilating [13].

It means that the birth of substance during a Big Bang is defined by presence of special dark energy vector bosons and, possibly, the Higgs field. The energies of prospective usual X, Y- bosons with $E \approx 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ correspond to these bosons. Therefore the higher energies $E_{DE} \gg 10^3 \text{ GeV}$ and $E_r > 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$, seemingly, are not realized because the Universe warming up at transition from a vacuum state to radiation-dominating state begins with $E_{GUT} \approx 1.35 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$.

At the same time there is a possibility of Universe expansion not from Planck, but from bigger volume, for example from volume with radius $r = 8\pi L_p \cdot e^{\alpha_{em}^{-1}/2} = 2.32 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ sm}$. Such volume corresponds to the greatest possible packaging of the Planck domains which number is

$$N_p \approx e^{2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \alpha_{em}^{-1}} = 1.86 \cdot 10^{89}.$$

As far as the quantity of fundamental particles in the Universe is close to this number, it is obvious that phonon oscillations of the lattices, formed by domains of spatial "quasi-crystal", at phase transition have served as the cause of formation of these particles. Let's note that the presence of a vacuum condensate with $\rho_v = (3.22 \cdot 10^3 \text{ GeV})^4$ provides homogeneity of such volume and there is no necessity to care of existence of 10^{89} causally unrelated Planck volumes: all of them prove to be

related to a vacuum coherent fermions condensate. It follows that the Universe birth could occur in 2 stages: the first was the formation of quasi-stable vacuum-like state with $r_v = e^{\alpha^{-1}/2} 8\pi l_p$ and the second was the expansion of this volume for $t_{GUT} \approx 10^{-37}$ in 90–100 times. At exterior energy action the vacuum-like Universe could begin transition into radiation-dominated state with an energy liberation $E = E_{GUT}$. It caused the phase transition and the beginning of the hot Universe. Thus, according to (13), a reorganisation of structure of vacuum happened because of α^{-1} change. At $\alpha_i^{-1} = \alpha_{0em}^{-1}$ a radius of vacuum curvature $\Lambda^{-1/2}$ becomes close to $R_H|_{z=0} : \Lambda^{-1/2} \sim R_H$ at $Q_i = 2m_e c$.

At the second scenario phase transition with condensate formation looks natural, because the certain value of parameter of interaction $\lambda_i = \alpha$ already exist.

5. Physical time as phase change function

If the density of the condensate of energy gaps is close to the up-to-date critical density

$$\rho_c \approx \frac{3}{8\pi G_N} \left(\frac{1}{8\pi t_p e^{1/\lambda_j}} \right)^2 = \frac{3}{8\pi G_N} H_0^2, \quad (15)$$

then $H^{-1} = t_H = 8\pi(G_N \hbar / c^5)^{1/2} \cdot e^{\alpha_{em}^{-1}/2} = 8\pi t_p e^{1/\lambda_j}$.

From here we can calculate a value of interaction constant λ_j . As $H^{-1} = t_H \approx 1.4 \cdot 10^{10}$ years = $4.4 \cdot 10^{17} c$,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_j} = \ln \frac{t_H}{8\pi t_p} = 137. \quad (16)$$

Thus the dynamic parameter, the Hubble parameter, is very close to a value of the constant or is equal to it. Such exact coincidence, apparently, is related to affinity of values of density of substance and vacuum in present period.

Within the limits of superconducting cosmology the radius $\Lambda^{-1/2}$ and the Hubble radius are nearly equal. The cosmological time t_U is $t_U \approx t_H = H^{-1} = 8\pi t_p \cdot e^{\alpha_j^{-1}} = (3\Omega_\Lambda)^{-1/2} 8\pi t_p e^{\alpha_i^{-1}}$.

Now, at $z = 0$, $\alpha_{em}^{-1} = \alpha_j^{-1}$. Then it is possible to present the evolution of the critical density of the Universe energy also in the form of α_j^{-1} evolution:

$$\rho_c = \frac{3}{8\pi G_N t_H^2} = \frac{3}{8\pi G_N \left(8\pi t_H e^{\alpha_{em}^{-1}} \right)^2} \left(\frac{Q_j}{2me} \right)^{\frac{2\beta}{3\pi}}, \quad (17)$$

where Q_j^2 is a 4-impulse of quanta of radiation and substance, which are so far unknown and, probably, related to other dimensions.

Thus, current cosmological time t_H and observable evolution of the Universe can be described as process of phase transition with change of parameter of interaction between fermions and phonons $\alpha_j^{-1} = \ln t$, similar to α_{em} . So a hierarchy of parameters of evolution or times appears, it relates to the hierarchy of the energies of the fermions condensates, each of them plays a role of vacuum for overlying level.

6. Vacuum energy density

Occurrence and destruction of condensates in the hot Universe correspond to the law (17). Therefore usually calculated vacuum of electroweak interactions and a QCD vacuum, which arose during phase transitions in the early Universe [2], are distinct from real vacuum. They, possibly, represent false vacuums in relation to the vacuum condensate, considered above. During cooling of the hot Universe they disappear. It is easy to understand if to take into consideration that the hypothetical vacuum should stop evolution at level of energy density of gluon condensate $\rho_v \sim (0.2 \text{ GeV})^4$ or π -mesons $(0.14 \text{ GeV})^4$ [2]. This value is greater than the modern vacuum energy density $\rho_v = (2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eB})^4$ to 44 orders, but there are no observable phase transitions to the modern vacuum density.

However the Universe cooling to the up-to-date temperature gives the chance to display the true vacuum condensate. It is thus obvious that the average density $\langle \rho_f \rangle$ of real vacuum energy of other fields of the observable Universe does not exceed the energy of this dark energy condensate $\langle \rho_f \rangle \leq \rho_{DE}$. Apparently, the true vacuum of fields both in hot and in the up-to-date Universe coincides with a dark energy evolving condensate as the inferior energy level.

7. The conclusion

1. Within the limits of the theory of superconductivity the real value of density of dark energy as density of energy interactions of a primary fermions condensate is received. These fermions with Planck mass, apparently, do not give a contribution to observable energy density. The contribution to observable forms of energy is given only by the interaction energy of the primary fermions.
2. Initial exponential expansion of the vacuum-like Universe within the limits of superconducting cosmology allows to provide the birth of the hot Universe and solves the same problems which are solved by an inflationary cosmology. Thus in the initial Universe at least two components exist: the first component is, probably, the supercondensat, it breaks up and generates the hot Universe with temperature $T_{GUT} \approx 1.35 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$; the second component with much lower energy plays a role of dark energy for the hot Universe.
3. Origin of cosmological time $t_U \approx t_H$ becomes clear: in the observable Universe time is a consequence of proceeding phase transition of II kind, which is similar to the phase transition, which has created the up-to-date vacuum energy density with change and fixing of a fine-structure constant $\alpha_j = \ln(t_H / (8\pi t_p))$.
4. The closeness of the densities ρ_{DE} , ρ_M and ρ_c (coincidence problem) is due to the similarity or identity of the interaction constants: $\alpha_i \cong \alpha_j \cong \alpha_{em}$.
5. Transition into a superconducting state at $T < T_c$ is accompanied by entropy reduction, because entropy of a superconducting state S_S is less than entropy of a normal state S_N : $S_S - S_N < 0$. It means that during the Universe expansion process both entropy of a vacuum condensate ($\partial S_v / \partial t < 0$) and entropy of the Universe ($\partial S_{\mu_0} / \partial t < 0$) at its cooling decrease. It explains the forming of complex structures, including living beings, together with observers, during the Universe evolution, contrary to the “thermal death” concept. This does not exclude that more globally outside of our Universe as an analogue of the superconductor, which is cooled, the total entropy increases in accordance with the II law of thermodynamics.
6. If occurrence of the observer of terrestrial type is the indicator of the termination of phase transition and the beginning of a new stage of the Universe evolution, it explains the cause of applicability of Anthropic principle: the determined phase transition of the Universe in a certain state generates the observable Universe with observers [14].
7. Existence of a coherent condensate of primary fermions with one wave function ψ_c eliminates a problem of homogeneity of the Universe at all stages of its evolution.

Thus, the condensates of primary fermions forming different phases, defining the primary Universe expansion, define also modern dynamics of its evolution.

References:

1. Weinberg S. // Mod.Phys. 61.1. (1989).
2. Burdyuzha V. — arXiv:1030.1025.
3. Alexander S., Biswas T. — arXiv:hep-th/0807.4468.
4. Alexander S. Phys.Lett. B629, 53 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0503146].
5. Bukalov A.V. On the solution of the cosmological constant problem // Proc. Gamov conference, (Odessa), 2012.
6. Fomin P.I. Zero cosmological constant and Planck scales phenomenology // Proc. of the Fourth Seminar on Quantum Gravity, May 25–29, Moskow / Ed. by M.A.Markov. — Singapore: World Scientific, 1988. — P. 813
7. Fomin P.I. On the crystal-like structure of physical vacuum on Planck distances // Probl. phys. kinetics and physics of solid body. — Kiev: Naukova dumka, 1990. — P. 387–398.
8. Smolin L. An invitation to loop quantum gravity. — hep-th/0408048.

9. *Bardeen J., Cooper L., Schrieffer J. R.* Phys.Rev., 108, 1175 (1957).
10. *Kirzhnits P.A.* // Sov.Phys.Usp. 21, 470-486 (1978).
11. *Feynman R.P.* Statistical mechanics. A set of lectures. — Massachusetts: W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1972.
12. *Klapdor-Kleingrothaus H.V. und Zuber K.* Teilchenastrophysik. Teubner-Studienbücher: Physik. — Teubner, Stuttgart, 1997.
13. *Penrose R.* The Road to Reality. — London: Jonathan CAP, 2004.
14. *Bukalov A.V.* // Proc.Gamov conference 2010.
15. *Pitaevskii L.P., Lifshitz E.M.* Statistical Physics, Part 2: Theory of the Condensed State. Vol. 9 (1st ed.). — Butterworth-Heinemann, 1980.
16. *Planck Collaboration.* Planck 2013 results. I. Overview of products and scientific results. — arXiv:1303.5062 [astro-ph.CO].

Bibliography – 16 references.