## Zorn's Lemma

## Pierre-Yves Gaillard

Abstract. We give a short proof of Zorn's Lemma.

Let P be a poset. Assume that all well-ordered subsets of P have an upper bound, and that P has no maximal element. We'll get a contradiction.

For any pair  $I \subset S$  of subsets of P, say that I is an **initial segment** of S if  $S \ni s < i \in I$  implies  $s \in I$ . For any well-ordered subset W of P choose an element p(W) in  $P_{>W}$ , *i.e.*  $p(W) \in P$  and p(W) > w for all w in W. Let W be the set of those well-ordered subsets W of P such that  $p(W_{< w}) = w$  [self-explanatory notation] for all w in W, and let  $U \subset P$  be the union of W.

We claim that U is in  $\mathcal{W}$ . This will give the contradiction  $U \cup \{p(U)\} \in \mathcal{W}$ .

We have:

(a) if W, X are in  $\mathcal{W}$ , then W is an initial segment of X, or X is an initial segment of W; in particular U is totally ordered;

(b) any  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  is an initial segment of U;

(c) U is in  $\mathcal{W}$ .

To prove (a) let I be the set of those p in P which belong to some initial segment common to W and X. Then I is the largest such initial segment. Moreover I is equal to W or to X because otherwise  $I \cup \{p(I)\}$  would contradict the maximality of I, for, W and X being well-ordered, we would have  $W_{\leq w} = I = X_{\leq x}$  for some w in W and some x in X, yielding w = p(I) = x.

Now (b) follows from (a).

We prove (c). To check that U is well-ordered, let A be a nonempty subset of U, choose a W in W which meets A, let m be the minimum of  $W \cap A$ , and let a be in A. We must show  $m \leq a$ . If such was not the case, (a) would imply a < m, in contradiction with (b). It remains to prove  $p(U_{\leq u}) = u$  for u in U, that is,  $U_{\leq u} \subset W$  for  $u \in W \in W$ . This follows from (b).

This is version 1. To make sure you have the last version, please go to: http://www.iecn.u-nancy.fr/~gaillapy/DIVERS/Zorn/