

# YARKOVSKY EFFECT IN MODIFIED PHOTOGRAVITATIONAL 3-BODIES PROBLEM

**Sergey V. Ershkov,**

Institute for Time Nature Explorations,  
M.V. Lomonosov's Moscow State University,  
Leninskie gory, 1-12, Moscow 119991, Russia  
e-mail: [sergej-ershkov@yandex.ru](mailto:sergej-ershkov@yandex.ru)

**Abstract:** Here is presented a generalization of photogravitational restricted 3-bodies problem to the case of influence of Yarkovsky effect or YORP-effect, which are known as reason of additional infinitesimal acceleration of a small bodies in the space (due to anisotropic re-emission of absorbed energy from the sun, other stellar sources).

Asteroid is supposed to move under the influence of gravitational forces from 2 massive bodies (*which are rotating around their common centre of masses on Kepler's trajectories*), as well under the influence of pressure of light from both the primaries.

Analyzing the ODE system of motion, we explore the existense of libration points for a small body (asteroid) in the case when the 2-nd primary is *non-oblate* spheroid.

In such a case, it is proved the existence of maximally 256 different *non-planar* equilibrium points in modified photogravitational restricted 3-bodies problem when we take into consideration even a small *Yarkovsky effect (YORP-effect)*.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 70F15, 70F07

**Key Words:** Yarkovsky effect, YORP-effect, photogravitational restricted three body problem, stability, equilibrium points, libration points, oblateness

## **1. Introduction.**

The Yarkovsky effect is a force acting on a rotating body in space caused by the anisotropic emission of thermal photons, which carry momentum [1]. It is usually considered in relation to meteoroids or small asteroids (*about 10 cm to 10 km in diameter*), as its influence is most significant for these bodies. Such a force is produced by the way an asteroid absorbs energy from the sun and re-radiates it into space as heat by anisotropic way.

In fact, there exists a disbalance of momentum when asteroid at first absorbs the light, radiating from the sun, but then asteroid re-radiates the heat. Such a disbalance is caused by the rotating of asteroid during period of warming as well as it is caused by the anisotropic cooling of surface & inner layers; the processes above depend on anisotropic heat transfer in the inner layers of asteroid.

During thousands of years such a disbalance forms a negligible, but important additional acceleration for a small bodies, so-called Yarkovsky effect. Thus, Yarkovsky effect is small but very important effect in celestial mechanics as well as in calculating of a proper orbits of asteroids & other small bodies.

Besides, Yarkovsky effect *is not predictable (it could be only observed & measured by astronomical methods)*; the main reason is unpredictable character of the rotating of small bodies [2-3], even in the case when there is no any collision between them.

If regime of the rotating of asteroid is changing, we could observe a generalization of Yarkovsky effect, i.e. the Yarkovsky–O'Keefe–Radzievskii–Paddack effect [2].

YORP effect for short, is a second-order variation on the Yarkovsky effect which changes the rotation rate of a small body (*such as an asteroid*). The term was coined by Dr. David P. Rubincam in 2000. Note also that the YORP effect is zero for a rotating ellipsoid if there are no irregularities in surface temperature or albedo [2].

## **2. Equations of motion.**

Let us consider the system of ordinary differential equations for photogravitational restricted 3-bodies problem, at given initial conditions [4-5].

In according with [5], we consider three bodies of masses  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and  $m$  such that  $m_1 > m_2$  and  $m$  is an infinitesimal mass. The two primaries  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are sources of radiation;  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are factors characterizing the radiation effects of the two primaries respectively. We assume that  $m_2$  is an *oblate* spheroid. The effect of *oblateness* is denoted by the factor  $A_2$ . Let  $r_i$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) be the distances between the centre of mass of the bodies  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  and the centre of mass of body  $m$  [5].

Now, the unit of mass is chosen so that the sum of the masses of finite bodies is equal to 1. We suppose that  $m_1 = 1 - \mu$  and  $m_2 = \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is the ratio of the mass of the smaller primary to the total mass of the primaries and  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1/2$ . The unit of distance is taken as the distance between the primaries. The unit of time is chosen so that the gravitational constant is equal to 1 [5].

The three dimensional restricted 3-bodies problem, with an *oblate* primary  $m_2$  and both primaries radiating, could be presented in barycentric rotating co-ordinate system by the equations of motion below [5-6]:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} - 2n\dot{y} &= \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}, \\ \ddot{y} + 2n\dot{x} &= \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial y}, \\ \ddot{z} &= \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial z}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\Omega = \frac{n^2}{2}(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{q_1(1-\mu)}{r_1} + \frac{q_2\mu}{r_2} \left[ 1 + \frac{A_2}{2r_2^2} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{3z^2}{r_2^2} \right) \right], \quad (2.2)$$

- where

$$n^2 = 1 + \frac{3}{2} A_2 ,$$

- is the angular velocity of the rotating coordinate system and  $A_2$  - is the *oblateness* coefficient. Here

$$A_2 = \frac{AE^2 - AP^2}{5R^2} ,$$

- where  $AE$  is the equatorial radius,  $AP$  is the polar radius and  $R$  is the distance between primaries. Besides, we should note that

$$r_1^2 = (x + \mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2 ,$$

$$r_2^2 = (x - 1 + \mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2 ,$$

- are the distances of infinitesimal mass from the primaries.

We neglect the relativistic Poynting-Robertson effect which may be treated as a perturbation for cosmic dust (*or for small particles, less than 1 cm in diameter*), see Chernikov [7], as well as we neglect the effect of variable masses of 3-bodies [8].

### **3. Modified equations of motion (YORP-effect).**

Modified equations of motion (2.1) for the three dimensional restricted 3-bodies problem, with an *oblate* primary  $m_2$ , both primaries radiating, and the infinitesimal mass  $m$  under the influence of *YORP-effect*, should be presented in barycentric rotating co-ordinate system in the form below:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ddot{x} - 2n\dot{y} &= \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + Y_x(t) , \\
\ddot{y} + 2n\dot{x} &= \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial y} + Y_y(t) , \\
\ddot{z} &= \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial z} + Y_z(t) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

- where  $Y_x(t)$ ,  $Y_y(t)$ ,  $Y_z(t)$  – are the projecting of *YORP-effect* acceleration  $\mathbf{Y}(t)$  on the appropriate axis  $Ox$ ,  $Oy$ ,  $Oz$ .

#### **4. Location of Equilibrium points.**

The location of equilibrium points for system (3.1) in general is given by conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ddot{x} = \ddot{y} = \ddot{z} = \dot{x} = \dot{y} = 0 , \\
\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} = -Y_x(t) , \quad \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial y} = -Y_y(t) , \quad \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial z} = -Y_z(t) .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Let us consider the case when the effect of *oblateness* is absent,  $A_2 = 0$  ( $\Rightarrow n = 1$ ), see the appropriate expression:

$$n^2 = 1 + \frac{3}{2}A_2$$

It means a significant simplifying of expression (2.2) in the system of equalities (4.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
-Y_x &= n^2 \cdot x - \frac{q_1(1-\mu) \cdot (x+\mu)}{\left((x+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{q_2\mu \cdot (x-1+\mu)}{\left((x-1+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \\
-Y_y &= n^2 \cdot y - \frac{q_1(1-\mu) \cdot y}{\left((x+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{q_2\mu \cdot y}{\left((x-1+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \\
-Y_z &= -\frac{q_1(1-\mu) \cdot z}{\left((x+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{q_2\mu \cdot z}{\left((x-1+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Besides, we assume all equations (4.1) to be a *united system* of algebraic equations. That's why we substitute an expression for  $z$  from 3-rd equation above to the 2-nd & the 1-st equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
z \cdot Y_x &= z \cdot \left( -n^2 \cdot x + \frac{q_1(1-\mu)}{\left((x+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) + Y_z \cdot (x-1+\mu), \\
-z \cdot Y_y &= y \cdot (z \cdot n^2 - Y_z), \quad \Rightarrow \quad Y_z \cdot y = z \cdot (n^2 \cdot y + Y_y), \quad (4.2) \\
Y_z &= z \cdot \left( \frac{q_1(1-\mu)}{\left((x+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{q_2\mu}{\left((x-1+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we obtain from the 3-d equation of system (4.2) that *planar* equilibrium points exist only if  $\{Y_z = 0, z = 0\}$  simultaneously. But the case  $Y_z = 0$  – is very rare, specific condition for asteroid, which has unpredictable character of the regime of rotating during a flight through the space [2]; the same is obtained for the case  $y = 0$ .

Therefore we will consider only *non-planar* equilibrium points  $z, y \neq 0$ . So, we obtain from the 1-st & 3-d equations of system (4.2):

$$\frac{q_1(1-\mu)}{\left((x+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = Y_x + n^2 \cdot x - Y_z \cdot \frac{(x-1+\mu)}{z},$$

$$z = Y_z \cdot \frac{y}{\left(n^2 \cdot y + Y_y\right)}, \quad y \neq -\frac{Y_y}{n^2}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\frac{q_2\mu}{\left((x-1+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = -Y_x - n^2 \cdot x + Y_z \cdot \frac{(x+\mu)}{z}.$$

Hence, we finally obtain the system of algebraic equations for meanings of  $\{x, y\}$ , which determine the location of equilibrium points (4.1):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{q_1(1-\mu)}{\left((x+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = Y_x + n^2 \cdot x - Y_z \cdot \frac{(x-1+\mu)}{z}, \\ \frac{q_2\mu}{\left((x-1+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = -Y_x - n^2 \cdot x + Y_z \cdot \frac{(x+\mu)}{z}, \end{array} \right. \quad (4.4)$$

- where  $(n = I)$

$$z = Y_z \cdot \frac{y}{\left(n^2 \cdot y + Y_y\right)}, \quad y \neq -\frac{Y_y}{n^2}.$$

The last system (4.4) could be presented as below ( $n = 1$ ):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{q_1^2(1-\mu)^2 \cdot (n^2 \cdot y + Y_y)^6 \cdot y^2}{\left( (x+\mu)^2 + y^2 \right) \cdot (n^2 \cdot y + Y_y)^2 + Y_z^2 \cdot y^2} = \left( y \cdot (Y_x + n^2 \cdot x) - (x-1+\mu) \cdot (n^2 \cdot y + Y_y) \right)^2, \\ \frac{q_2^2 \mu^2 \cdot (n^2 \cdot y + Y_y)^6 \cdot y^2}{\left( (x-1+\mu)^2 + y^2 \right) \cdot (n^2 \cdot y + Y_y)^2 + Y_z^2 \cdot y^2} = \left( -y \cdot (Y_x + n^2 \cdot x) + (x+\mu) \cdot (n^2 \cdot y + Y_y) \right)^2, \end{array} \right.$$

- where the maximal polynomial order of equations is equal to  $16 \times 16 = 256$ : indeed, the order of 1-st polynomial equation is equal to 16 (*in regard to variables  $x, y$* ); the order of 2-nd polynomial equation is also equal to 16 (*in regard to  $x, y$* ).

So, (4.4) is the polynomial system of equations of 256-th order which has maximally 256 different roots, we should especially note that each of them strongly depends on various parameters  $\{ \mu, q_1, q_2; Y_x, Y_y, Y_z \}$ . Such a system of polynomial equations could be solved only by numerical methods (*in general, it is valid for polynomial equation of order  $> 5$* ).

Besides, analysing the equations of system (4.2), we should note that a case of *Yarkovsky effect is negligible* determines the existence of *quasi-planar* equilibrium points in which conditions  $\{ Y_z \rightarrow 0, z \rightarrow 0 \}$  are valid *simultaneously*.

The strongest simplifying of system (4.4) is possible when *Yarkovsky effect is zero*,  $Y_x = Y_y = Y_z = 0$ . In such a case, it has been proved the existence of maximally 9 different equilibrium points  $\{ L_1, \dots, L_9 \}$  in photogravitational restricted 3-bodies problem [9].



## **5. Conclusion.**

It has been proved the existence of maximally 256 different *non-planar* equilibrium points  $z, y \neq 0$  in modified photogravitational restricted 3-bodies problem when we take into consideration even a small *Yarkovsky effect (YORP-effect)*. This result is different both from classical restricted 3-bodies problem and photogravitational restricted 3-bodies problem.

Stability of such a points is an open problem in celestial mechanics for the case of non-zero *YORP-effect (the Yarkovsky–O'Keefe–Radzievskii–Paddack effect)* [2].

This model may be applied to examine the dynamic behaviour of small rotating objects such as meteoroids or small asteroids (*about 10 cm to 10 km in diameter*).

## **Acknowledgements**

I am thankful to CNews Russia project (*Science & Technology Forum, branch "Gravitation"*) - for valuable discussions in preparing this manuscript. Especially I am thankful to Dr. Fedotov P.V. for valuable suggestions in preliminary discussions of this manuscript.

## **References:**

- [1] Radzievskii, V. V. (1954). "A mechanism for the disintegration of asteroids and meteorites". *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR* 97: 49–52.
- [2] Rubincam, David P. (2000). "Radiative spin-up and spin-down of small asteroids", *Icarus*, 148, 2–11.

- [3] Ershkov S.V. (2011). "Exact solution of Euler's equations in the case of non-zero applied torques (*rigid body dynamics*): reduction to Abel ordinary differential equation". <http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.1670>
- [4] Radzievskii, V.V. (1950). "The restricted problem of three bodies taking account of light pressure". *Akad. Nauk. USSR, Astron. Journal* Vol. 27, p. 250.
- [5] Shankaran, J.P.Sharma and B.Ishwar (2011). "Equilibrium points in the generalized photogravitational non-planar restricted three body problem". *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2011, pp. 63-67.
- [6] Douskos C.N. & Markellos V.V. (2006). "Out-of-plane equilibrium points in the restricted three body problem with oblateness". *A&A* , Vol. 446, pp.357-360.
- [7] Chernikov, Y.A. (1970). "The Photogravitational Restricted Three-Body Problem". *Soviet Astronomy*, Vol. 14, p.176.
- [8] Jagadish Singh, Oni Leke (2010). "Stability of the photogravitational restricted three-body problem with variable masses". *Astrophys Space Sci* (2010) 326: 305–314.
- [9] Zheng Xuetang, Yu Lizhong (1993). "Photogravitationally Restricted Three-Body Problem and Coplanar Libration Point". *Chinese Phys. Lett.* (1993), Vol. 10, №1(1993), pp.61-64. See also:  
<http://cpl.iphy.ac.cn/qikan/manage/wenzhang/0100061.pdf>