

---

## Foundation of Theory of Everything: Non-living and Living Things

Mohammad Shafiq Khan

H. No. 49, Al-farooq Colony, Rawalpora, Srinagar, Kashmir-190 005, India

shafiqifs@gmail.com

---

### Abstract

For the first time in the human & scientific history the concepts of absolute space, emergent & relative time and aether or ether of classical physics (dark energy of modern physics now named as Luminiferous Energy) have been conceived simultaneously. The mystery of the above three concepts existed in the electromagnetic radiation or light as the phenomenon of electromagnetic radiations was not understood correctly resultantly the true nature of everything remained unknown to the mankind. A theistic Foundation of Theory of Everything: Non-living Things and Living Things' is being proposed on purely scientific basis which would explain the yet unexplained concerns of human beings. The existence of ether is shown beyond any reasonable doubt and the electromagnetic properties of this medium have been defined. The mass, as we know it, is shown to be the manifestation of the energy; which constitutes the matter; due to the existence of ether resultantly the formula  $E = mc^2$  has been shown to be incorrect. The correct coordinate transformation instead of Galilean and Lorentz transformations has been derived. The very concept of space-time has been shown to be a mathematical misrepresentation. A unified theory of forces in nature has been proposed. The theistic Foundation of Theory of Everything also envisages the theory for living things with primary concern of the life of human beings. The characteristics of the 'soul' energy has been defined; besides proposal has been put forward regarding the characteristics of the 'energies' which being the source of life in all plants and animals. This article is in continuation of the author's previous works (Mohammad Shafiq Khan, 2010a, b, c) [9] [10] [11].

**Keywords** :Luminiferous Energy, electromagnetic radiations, mathematical misrepresentation, work capacity, recessional acceleration, time frame, soul energy.

---

### Introduction

The understanding of the true nature of everything, non-living and living, has been the concern of all human beings throughout the history. Plato as a true visionary philosopher believed that the material world as it seems to us is not the real world but the shadow of the real world. The article presented here proves that Plato's belief was accurate in describing the world and the universe. Aristotle and Newton looked at the world and the universe the way it appears to us and did not seriously consider the Plato's belief. The real scientific endeavor to know the true nature of things actually started in the 19<sup>th</sup> century and by the middle of 19<sup>th</sup> century two historical scientific events took place. One was the discovery of Doppler Effect and the other was introduction of 'Theory of Evolution'. The discovery of Doppler Effect had the potential of revealing the true nature of things which this article would substantiate. But 'Darwin's Theory of Evolution' had the negative impact on the scientific inquiry into nature of living things. Maxwell made very significant and decisive contribution for understanding the electromagnetic phenomenon but all his work was wasted by introduction of theories of relativity. Maxwell's work was virtually neglected because of lack of experimental evidence of existence of the ether. The Michelson-Morley experiment having been misinterpreted; which has been suggested in the article 'Ultimate Proof of Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology'; Poincare initially wrote 'whether the ether exists or not matters little - let us leave that to the metaphysicians....., while some day, no doubt, the ether will be thrown aside as useless' but he in later part of his scientific work was strong advocate of ether. Lorentz introduced the Ether Theory which had inherent basic errors but on the other hand he introduced the incorrect Lorentz transformation. By introduction of 'Theories of Relativity' the ether was thrown away as useless, as Poincare had said, and nobody looked back at ether seriously and true nature of

things remained the mystery for whole of the twentieth century.

The physics which emerged in the 20<sup>th</sup> century (with theories of relativity and Quantum Mechanics in the present form) will not be discussed in this article because the same will be shown to be incorrect. In the last decade of 20<sup>th</sup> century the ether had to be reintroduced in the form of dark energy to explain the anomalies and discrepancies which the results of experimental observations posed by adopting the ether free space. With theories of relativity and Quantum Mechanics in the present form incorrect concepts and theories were put forward which were mathematically manipulated to suit the theoretical & experimental results. With these theories Einstein has said in 1954 "all these fifty years of conscious brooding have brought me no nearer to the answer, to the question, 'what are light quanta'. Nowadays every Tom, Dick & Harry, thinks he knows it, but he is mistaken". This article will give a convincing answer as to what the light or radiation is?

To know and understand everything about the physical universe correct understanding of space, matter, time and electromagnetic radiation or light is the prerequisite as these are the basic perceivable constituents of the universe. With these basic constituents of the universe mankind has to derive all the conclusions regarding the true nature of everything. Up to the latter half of the 19<sup>th</sup> century though humans had not clear understanding of time, matter and electromagnetic radiation or light but at least there was a clear concept about space from the time of Aristotle. Space was known to be absolute and from Aristotle till the last decade of 19<sup>th</sup> century none of the scientists or philosophers considered space to be otherwise. But in the last decade of 19<sup>th</sup> century or so Lorentz by introducing the Lorentz transformation and the concept of length contraction in the direction of motion and time dilation changed the whole scenario. Now everything, though apparently, was made clear but nothing right happened thereafter. Thereafter, Einstein

converted the Lorentz transformation into space-time concept, then geodesics, metrics and what not. The theories of relativity along with misinterpretation of Cosmic Background Radiation and Hubble observations of red-shift of visible spectrum of electromagnetic radiation from galaxies finally converted absolute space into an emergent concept. Einstein in Special Theory of Relativity as well as in General Theory of Relativity put forward the space-time concept wherein the length contraction and time dilation, as proposed by Lorentz, was in built. Thus, the foundation of incorrect physics was laid and the Big Bang Theory was the outcome of wrong and incorrect physics which is being adopted as the origin of the universe and the matter. There is another more important reason for almost accepting the Big Bang Theory as the origin of the universe and the matter; the reason being that no genuine theory was put forward wherein the space was considered as absolute; the actual and factual condition of space. As stated in the article 'Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' (Mohammad Shafiq Khan, 2010a)[10] any theory which does not treat space as absolute shall have to be rejected sooner or later and the Big Bang Theory shall meet the same plight as Hoyle's Steady State Theory and this article should be sufficient for out-rightly rejecting the Big Bang Theory henceforth. The second most important factor which also needs to be considered is that Newton considered space to be absolute but time also to be absolute. Whereas Einstein considered space as emergent and time to be relative & emergent and he also interconnected time with space but both of them were not correct. The time, as we perceive it, seems to be absolute subject to the condition that the matter is absolute; but if the matter is not absolute time cannot be absolute. This article will show that matter is not absolute so naturally time; as humans perceive it; cannot be absolute but emergent. Since there are wrong conceptions about all the constituents of the universe namely space, time, electromagnetic radiation and matter and once the basic constituents of the universe are understood in true perspective then the true nature of everything would unfold and this article is precisely meant to unravel the actual position of these basic constituents of the universe.

Without going into well known historical background of understanding the state of existence of the universe; the turning point was the 'null result' of the Michelson-Morley experiment and misinterpretation of this experiment as clarified earlier 'Ultimate Proof of Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' (Mohammad Shafiq Khan, 2010c)[11]. Hence, it need not be repeated here as to how the 'null result' of Michelson-Morley experiment in fact proves the existence of 'ether' as the medium in which the electromagnetic radiation propagates and the only possible explanation for invariability of velocity of electromagnetic radiation irrespective of the relative motion of the source and the observer. Lorentz and Einstein without assigning any reason for invariability of velocity of electromagnetic radiation introduced the Lorentz contraction and time dilation which Einstein converted into the space-time concept wherein the Lorentz transformation is in built. The article 'Ultimate Proof of Energy Theory of Matter and Cosmology' is lacking in the conceptual clarifications; which fact was realized later and subsequently all the required details

and conceptual clarifications have been put forward in this article the 'Foundation of Theory of Everything: Non-living Things and Living Things'. Scientists of the present day world will have an open field to investigate the true nature of everything which finally would lead to the fact that there is a Universal Creator.

Maxwell proposed that light propagates as electromagnetic radiation or electromagnetic wave with a fixed velocity by solving his field equations of electromagnetic dynamics; but his every step required the presence of a medium; which medium Michelson-Morley experiment in 1887 was misinterpreted to be absent. In the same year i.e. 1887 Woldemar Voigt proved mathematically that under Galilean transformation of coordinates between two coordinate systems in relative motion the D' Alembert's wave equation of space & time dependent scalar wave function is not invariant or the wave equation is not satisfied. Voigt considered the question of finding the linear transformation of two coordinates systems in relative motion that leaves the wave equation unchanged. Voigt arrived at a similar transformation which later-on is very well known as Lorentz transformation but the modifications introduced by Lorentz has been the main reason for evolution of fundamentally incorrect physics. Voigt solved the wave equation.

$$\nabla^2 \phi(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{u^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2}(x, y, z, t)$$

wherein  $u$  is the velocity of the wave motion. Mathematically Voigt solution or Lorentz transformation is alright if the velocity of the electromagnetic wave motion in any way is not dependent on relative motion of the source and the observer. But since velocity of electromagnetic radiation  $c$  is function of wave length and the frequency as represented by the formula.

$$c = \lambda \nu$$

Though  $c$  is constant but wave length  $\lambda$  and the frequency  $\nu$  are dependent on the relative motion of the source and the observer as experimentally confirmed by the Doppler Effect and the Hubble observations. It is here the secret of existence of ether lies. The change of the frequency and the wavelength of the electromagnetic wave motion due to the relative motion of the source and the observer is the proof of existence of the medium and without the existence of the medium there is absolutely no other alternative by which change in the frequency and the wave length can be explained. The final result is that Lorentz transformation is not valid though mathematically correct. Accordingly, we will have to look for the alternative transformation and this long pending task will be accomplished in the article.

The most serious problem which Lorentz transformation creates and which needs to be discussed in detail is that Lorentz transformation makes the space and time interconnected in the complex and absurd manner. The space is always contracted in the direction of motion due to the relative motion of the two coordinate systems; whether the two coordinate systems are approaching or receding while as time is dilated depending upon the direction of approach or receding. The one way contraction and two way time dilation is logically a very absurd proposition. Philosophically this transformation converts absolute space into an emergent


concept whereas time is relative, interconnected with space and so it is also emergent. This is the most serious blunder which the physicists have committed.

In Special Theory of Relativity, Einstein without assigning any reason to the invariability of velocity of electromagnetic radiation in the first postulate has assumed that laws of physics are invariable in different inertial reference frames in the second postulate. The invariability of the electromagnetic radiation defines the absolute reference frame, the reference frame in which ether is at rest. Thus, so far as the words of the first postulate on which Special Theory of Relativity is based is right but the reason of invariability of velocity of electromagnetic radiation makes the second postulate of Einstein; on which he based the Special Theory of Relativity; absolutely false. Lorentz transformation, Special Theory of Relativity and General Theory of Relativity would be shown to be incorrect through this article. Since in the 20<sup>th</sup> century these concepts and theories have found way in every branch of physics and thereby the foundation of wrong science was laid. Since the very foundation is wrong we need not discuss how the whole construction is wrong. It would be proper to correct the foundation and everything else would take care of itself.

#### Derivation of transformation between two coordinates systems in relative uniform motion

The alternative coordinate transformation between two coordinate systems which are in uniform relative motion with a uniform velocity say V would be derived firstly graphically and then the same would be derived using the Maxwell's field equations. Consider the simplest possible situations so that even the undergraduate students of physics could also easily understand.

Consider two coordinate systems or reference frames S and S' wherein the reference frame S' is moving with respect to reference frame S with a uniform velocity V in the direction of x - axis. The reference frame S is at rest. Assuming that at time zero in both reference frames the origins of the two coordinate systems are coinciding. This assumption could be objectionable to many readers but it will be subsequently shown that this assumption is valid. Assume that there is a source of light or electromagnetic radiation at the origin of S reference frame (Fig.1).



If V is positive it is the receding condition and if V is negative it is the approaching condition as V positive means that the origins are receding and V negative means the origins are approaching. The significance of this terminology would be clear subsequently.

For any point P, let the coordinates of distance and time be (x, t) and (x', t') in the two reference frames; where t and t' are the times which the light pulse would

take to reach the origin of the two reference frames from the point P.

Keeping in view the invariability of velocity of light or electromagnetic radiation, the distance and time coordinates of any point P are connected by the formulae

$$x = ct \quad \text{.....(1)}$$

$$x' = ct' \quad \text{.....(2)}$$

Since the light pulse which reaches the origin of the reference frame S' has been emitted or reflected by point P before a time t' but during this period the origin of the reference frame must have moved ahead by a distant Vt'. Thus

$$x' + vt' = x \quad \text{.....(3)}$$

$$x' + vt' = x = ct$$

$$\text{Since } x' = ct'$$

$$ct' + vt' = ct$$

$$t'(c + v) = ct$$

$$t' = \frac{c}{c + v}t$$

$$t' = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{v}{c}}t \quad \text{..... (4)}$$

Now at t = 0; t' = 0, so the assumption of origins of two coordinates systems coinciding at time zero in both the coordinate systems is valid. These simple calculations would reveal that as the consequence of relative motion of two reference frames and keeping in view the invariability of velocity of light or electromagnetic radiation the two reference frames have different time frames and the transformation factor is

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{v}{c}}$$

and if V is positive; then it is the receding condition and if V is negative; then that would be the approaching condition.

As the consequence of the difference in time frames of the two reference frames, let us take the equation (3).

$$x' + vt' = x$$

$$\text{Since } t' = \gamma t$$

$$\text{or } t' = \frac{t}{1 + \frac{v}{c}}$$

$$x' + \frac{vt}{1 + \frac{v}{c}} = x$$

$$x' = x - \frac{vt}{1 + \frac{v}{c}}$$

$$x' = \frac{x + x \frac{v}{c} - vt}{1 + \frac{v}{c}}$$

Since  $t = \frac{x}{c}$  as per formula (1)

$$x' = \frac{x + x \frac{v}{c} - x \frac{v}{c}}{1 + \frac{v}{c}}$$

$$x' = \frac{x}{1 + \frac{v}{c}} \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

As the consequence of the difference in the time frames of the two reference frames in relative uniform motion with a velocity V we have to adopt the equation (5). For receding condition V is positive; the distance is to be taken to be contracted whereas for approaching condition distance is to be taken as elongated because of the time dilation as distances are computed in terms of time taken by the light pulse.

Thus

$$x' = \gamma x \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

This should be the simplest possible proof that with the invariability of the velocity of electromagnetic radiation or light with respect to the observer the concept of only length contraction in the direction of motion and time dilation as proposed by Lorentz and which Einstein converted into space-time concept; wherein the length contraction and time dilation is in build; is not correct.

Thus the transformation of the time in two reference frames having the uniform relative motion with velocity V is

$$t' = \gamma t \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

Where  $\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{v}{c}} \dots \dots \dots (8)$

As the consequence of difference in time frames the coordinate or distances are to be adopted to have contracted or elongated (if the coordinate is observed with the help of light & not directly or physically) depending upon the direction of motion of the moving reference frame.

The effect on space coordinates could be put as

$$x' + vt' = x \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

This is most significant and important transformation equation which has the potential of changing the whole of physics. Now if  $t' = t$  then equation (3) becomes

$$x' = x - vt$$

This is the Galilean transformation which is not correct.

Now if we put it as  $x' = \gamma(x - vt)$

Where  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$

That would be equivalent to the Lorentz transformation, which Einstein converted into the space-time concept which also would be shown to be absolutely incorrect.

Since there cannot be only the approaching and receding conditions of movement of the moving reference frame; so this transformation i.e. equation (4) and (3) would require generalization for different conditions of movement

Equation (4) could be written as

$$\left(1 + \frac{v}{c}\right) t' = t$$

Now this could be written as

$$\left(1 + \frac{v \cos \theta}{c}\right) t' = t$$

Where  $\theta$  is the angle between the direction of movement of the moving frame of reference and the line drawn from origin of the at rest frame of reference towards the point of observation. This equation could be also written as

$$\left(1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot v}{c}\right) t' = t$$

Where  $\hat{c}$  is the unit directional vector of the light or electromagnetic radiation when placed at the origin of the at rest frame of reference. Thus

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot v}{c}} \dots \dots \dots (9)$$

and

$$t' = \gamma t \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

The other important equation is

$$x' + vt' = x \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

Equations (9), (7) and (3) would form the basis of all the transformations. But if the distances or coordinates are assessed with the help of electromagnetic radiations then we have to adopt equation (5) which could be written as

$$x' = \gamma x \dots \dots \dots (10)$$

These elementary derivations have great significance for working out the coordinate transformation and for clarification readers need to refer the article 'Ultimate Proof of Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' wherein it is stated that Michelson-Morley experiment in fact proves the existence of the ether; when we consider the wave-nature of the electromagnetic radiation and the Doppler Effect.

Since velocity=distance/time; velocity being invariable and different observers have different time frames therefore as the consequence of difference in time frames we have to adopt the transformation factor for distances also for mathematical computations. Factually the space neither is contracted nor elongated due to the relative motion of observers.

There is actually no change in distances or space due to motion of the reference frames & if we carry out the measurements of physical quantities from the moving reference frame physically no change is to be introduced excepting in respect of time; but if we carry out the measurements of physical quantities through the electromagnetic radiation or light allowance for the contraction or elongation of the distances depending upon the direction of motion of the moving reference frames is to be incorporated due to peculiar nature of the

electromagnetic radiation or light and difference of the time frames for mathematical computations..

In order to derive the correct general coordinate transformation between two reference frames in the uniform relative motion and if any observation is carried out with the help of radiation or light we have to keep following main characteristics of the light or radiation in mind.

- (i) The wave nature of the light or radiation.
- (ii) Invariability of the velocity of electromagnetic radiation irrespective of the relative motion of the source and the observer.
- (iii) Doppler Effect.

Any coordinate transformation between two reference frames in uniform relative motion should fulfill these three basic conditions to be valid and correct. To accomplish this task it would suffice to very briefly mention here that Maxwell considered light or radiation as an electromagnetic wave motion by arriving at a wave equation of electric and magnetic fields with the velocity

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}}$$

where the value of c exactly coincides with the

measured value of velocity of light. But the Maxwell's concept of light or radiation being the electromagnetic radiation needed the medium which was named as a ether or ether and due to the misinterpretation of the 'null' result of Michelson-Morley experiment this concept was almost rejected but then different concepts and theories were put forward and adopted which include the concept of photon & quanta etc. Since through this article the concept of existence of the medium, the ether, is being revived accordingly the starting point has to be the Maxwell's field equations, though the alternative theory proposed under this article does not accept field as real, which are as under:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \dots\dots\dots(11)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \dots\dots\dots(12)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \dots\dots\dots(13)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \dots\dots\dots(14)$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{v} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \dots\dots\dots(15)$$

Due to the 'null' result of the Michelson-Morley experiment it was presumed that there is no medium and the permeability  $\mu_0$  and permittivity  $\epsilon_0$  are applicable for vacuum.

Since vacuum can have neither charge density nor any current can pass through the vacuum and accordingly for vacuum the Maxwell's field equations reduce to

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0 \dots\dots\dots(16)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \dots\dots\dots(17)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \dots\dots\dots(18)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \dots\dots\dots(19)$$

Where

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}}$$

Applying  $\nabla \times$  on both sides of equation (18)& (19)

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = -\epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2}$$

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2}$$

$$\text{Since } \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{P}) = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{P}$$

$$\text{So finally } c^2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} \dots\dots\dots(20)$$

$$c^2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} \dots\dots\dots(21)$$

A special reference of the article 'Collapse of SRT 1: Derivation of Electrodynamics Equations from Maxwell Field Equations' by Sankar Hajra and Anita Ghosh [19] is required here; wherein they have showed the invariance of the equations (20) and (21) under Lorentz transformation but raised serious questions about the applicability of Lorentz time transformation between two reference frames in relative uniform motion as the said transformation contradicts the principles of electrodynamics and also showed that consideration of Lorentz transformation as 'real' would be over simplification of the electrodynamics and of the nature. It would be interesting to note that while considering the Doppler Effect especially the transverse Doppler Effect they accidentally arrived at the transformation factor at equation (46) and (47) of the article which I have arrived at by the graphical method.

Since through this article the existence of the ether as medium of propagation of electromagnetic phenomenon is being revived; hence we assign  $\epsilon_0$  as permittivity and  $\mu_0$  as the permeability to the ether with  $\rho$  as the charge density and  $\mathbf{J}$  as current density. The concept of charge and current densities will be clear in the latter part of the article. Taking Maxwell's field equations (11) to (15) without going into discussions regarding the units:

$$\text{Now Laplacian could be expressed as } \nabla^2 \mathbf{P} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}) - \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{P})$$

Now applying  $\nabla \times$  to the equation (13) on both sides

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})$$

$$\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mu_0 \mathbf{J})$$

$$\nabla (\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = -(\epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} + \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t})$$

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} = \nabla \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} + \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t}$$

Electric field would adopt the wave characteristics with the velocity of

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}} \text{ if}$$

$$\nabla \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} + \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} = 0 \dots\dots\dots(22)$$

So that

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} = 0 \dots\dots\dots(23)$$

Equation (22) could be also written as

$$\nabla \rho = -\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} \dots\dots\dots (24)$$

Similarly

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) + \mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J}$$

$$\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = -\epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} + \mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J}$$

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{B} - \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J}$$

In order magnetic field would also adopts the wave characteristics with the velocity of  $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}}$

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{B} - \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} = 0 \dots\dots\dots (25)$$

$$\text{if } \nabla \times \mathbf{J} = 0 \dots\dots\dots (26)$$

Now applying  $\nabla \cdot$  on both sides of equation (22)

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla \rho = -\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J})$$

$$\nabla^2 \rho = -\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}) \dots\dots\dots (27)$$

From equation (14) we have

$$\mu_0 \mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$$

Applying  $\nabla \cdot$  On both sides of this equation

$$\mu_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) - \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E})$$

$$\mu_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = \nabla \times (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) - \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \right)$$

Since  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  so

$$\mu_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \dots\dots\dots (28)$$

Applying equation (28) to equation (27)

$$\nabla^2 \rho = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2}$$

So

$$\nabla^2 \rho - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} = 0 \dots\dots\dots (29)$$

Thus the charge density also adopts the same wave characteristics with the same velocity of  $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}}$

Now taking up equation (26) and applying  $\nabla \times$

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{J}) = 0$$

$$\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{J} = 0$$

Applying equation (28) to this equation

$$-\nabla \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{J} = 0$$

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \rho - \nabla^2 \mathbf{J} = 0$$

Now applying equation (24) to this equation

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (-\epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{J} = 0$$

$$\epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{J}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{J} = 0$$

$$\text{Or } \nabla^2 \mathbf{J} - \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{J}}{\partial t^2} = 0 \dots\dots\dots (30)$$

Hence the current density  $\mathbf{J}$  also adopts the same wave characteristics of a wave motion with the same velocity of  $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}}$ .

Thus by adopting the charge density and the current density to the medium of ether the wave characteristics of the electromagnetic waves remains unchanged. Thus following two wave equations for the electric and magnetic fields while taking  $c^2 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}$  and for the ether

$\epsilon_0$  and  $\mu_0$  are the permittivity & permeability of the ether represent the wave phenomenon.

$$c^2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} \dots\dots\dots (31)$$

$$c^2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} \dots\dots\dots (32)$$

Where  $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon \mu}}$  is the general electromagnetic wave equation for electromagnetic radiation or light where  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$  are the permittivity and permeability of the electric field and magnetic field respectively of any of the medium. The perusal of these equations would reveal that the electromagnetic radiation propagates in the medium with the velocity defined by the permittivity and permeability of the medium and has no reference to the relative motion of the source of the radiation and the observer. However these equations confirm the wave nature of the radiation or light which is in accordance with the first condition required to work out the transformation. The second important condition for deriving transformation is the invariability of velocity of the radiation or light irrespective of the relative motion of the source and the observer. From the graphical method we have derived the following four equations.

$$t' = \gamma t \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

$$x' + vt' = x \dots\dots\dots (3)$$


As the consequence of above, we have to adopt

$$x' = \gamma x \dots\dots\dots (10)$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}}$$

Though the value of  $\gamma$  is known; the value of  $\gamma$  would be derived from Maxwell's field equations and wave equations for this transformation to be correct. Adopting the spherical polar coordinates as this coordinate system very appropriately represents the motions in nature. For representing this transformation in spherical polar coordinate, consider the **Fig.2**.



Let S' be the moving frame of reference and at time  $t=t'=0$  origins of two reference frames S' and S be coinciding where S is the reference frame which is at rest with respect to ether. Let V be the recessional velocity of the S' frame of reference. Then the graphically derived transformation for these spherical polar coordinates could be written as

$$\mathbf{r}' + \mathbf{v}t' = \mathbf{r}$$

or  $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} - \gamma \mathbf{v}t$

$t' = \gamma t$

as the consequence of equation (10) for space (since

$t' = \gamma t$ )

$r' = \gamma r$

Accordingly this transformation shall be applied to the electromagnetic wave equations (23) & (25). Adopting the spherical polar coordinates where under the solution for a monochromatic electromagnetic radiation or light includes the wave number and angular frequency. The solution in the reference frame S being

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 e^{i(k_0 \hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)}$$

Consider the coordinate transformation between two reference frames in the relative uniform motion with the velocity V. For simplification of the mathematical representation consider that the origins of the two reference frames coincide at the zero time in both reference frames. This assumption has already been showed to be right in the graphical method. The perusal of the electromagnetic wave equations reveal that the electromagnetic phenomena requires the wave motion to propagate in a medium; with the permeability  $\mu_0$  and permittivity  $\epsilon_0$ ; with the velocity  $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}}$  without any

reference to the relative motion of the source and the observer. This evidently is a very strange phenomenon which has not been resolved in true perspective till date. This phenomenon would have been since resolved had the Michelson-Morley experiment not misinterpreted? Let us resolve this problem once for all times. The Laplacian in spherical polar coordinates could be written as

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\cos \theta}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}$$

In the conditions in which we have placed the two coordinate systems Laplacian will reduce to

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$$

Since wave equation has second order derivatives and by not taking imaginary numbers into consideration the Laplacian in the defined conditions is reduced to

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}$$

The wave equation could be written under the defined conditions of spherical polar coordinate, in the reference frame which is at rest with respect to ether as

$$c^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial r^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} \dots \dots \dots (33)$$

$$c^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial r^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} \dots \dots \dots (34)$$

With the solution for the monochromatic electromagnetic radiation as

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 e^{i(k_0 \hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_0 t)}$$

The electromagnetic wave equations for the monochromatic electromagnetic radiation in the moving frame of reference S' would be

$$c^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}'}{\partial r'^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}'}{\partial t'^2} \dots \dots \dots (35)$$

$$c^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}'}{\partial r'^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}'}{\partial t'^2} \dots \dots \dots (36)$$

With the solution

$$\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E}'_0 e^{i(k_1 \hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{r}' - \omega_1 t')} \dots \dots \dots (37)$$

The transformation in polar coordinates could be written under the defined conditions as

$$\mathbf{r}' + \mathbf{v}t' = \mathbf{r} \dots \dots \dots (38)$$

$$\text{Or } \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} - \gamma \mathbf{v}t \dots \dots \dots (39)$$

$$\text{and } t' = \gamma t \dots \dots \dots (40)$$

As the consequence we have to adopt

$$r' = \gamma r \dots \dots \dots (41)$$

Now taking up the (35) and applying the transformation

$$c^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r'^2} \mathbf{E}'_0 e^{i(k_1 \hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{r}' - \omega_1 t')} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t'^2} \mathbf{E}'_0 e^{i(k_1 \hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{r}' - \omega_1 t')}$$

Applying  $\partial r' = \gamma \partial r$  the transformation would be

$$\frac{c^2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \mathbf{E}'_0 e^{i\{k_1 \hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \gamma \mathbf{v}t) - \omega_1 \gamma t\}} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{E}'_0 e^{i\{k_1 \hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \gamma \mathbf{v}t) - \omega_1 \gamma t\}}$$

$$-\frac{c^2}{\gamma^2} \{k_1^2\} \mathbf{E}' = -\frac{1}{\gamma^2} \{-k_1 \gamma \hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \gamma \omega_1\}^2 \mathbf{E}'$$

$$c^2 k_1^2 = \gamma^2 (k_1 \hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \omega_1)^2$$

As  $\omega_1 = k_1 c$

$$\gamma = \frac{ck_1}{k_1 \hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{v} + k_1 c}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}}$$

However adoption of equation (41) would require clarification. In the equation (39)

$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} - \gamma \mathbf{v} t$$

$\mathbf{r}'$  and  $\mathbf{r}$  denotes the vector coordinates of the light pulse in two coordinate systems. Now let the point light source emit the light in all directions or in the direction of motion

of the moving observer and let  $\hat{C}$  be the unit directional vector of the light

$$\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{r}' = \hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \gamma \hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v} t$$

$$\text{So } \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} - \gamma \hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v} t$$

$$\text{Now } \partial \mathbf{r}' = \partial \mathbf{r} - \gamma \hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v} \partial t \dots\dots\dots (42)$$

In the reference frame S which is at rest with respect to ether

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{c} t$$

$$\partial \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{c} \partial t$$

Equation (42) could be written as

$$\partial \mathbf{r}' = \partial \mathbf{r} - \gamma \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} \partial \mathbf{r}$$

$$\partial \mathbf{r}' = \left( 1 - \gamma \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} \right) \partial \mathbf{r}$$

Since 
$$\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}}$$

$$\partial \mathbf{r}' = \left( 1 - \frac{\frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}}{1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}} \right) \partial \mathbf{r}$$

$$\partial \mathbf{r}' = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}} \partial \mathbf{r}$$

$$\partial \mathbf{r}' = \gamma \partial \mathbf{r}$$

or  $\mathbf{r}' = \gamma \mathbf{r}$  in so far as light wave is concerned

The transformation equation (38), (39), (40) & (42) is the general transformation and may be called the Khan Transformation which is valid for all wave-equations. The purpose of derivation of this transformation using electromagnetic wave-equation which in turn was derived from Maxwell's field equations without presuming the space as vacuum but space having charge density; was to arrive at the following equations as the consequence of equations (29) & (30)

$$\nabla^2 \rho = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} \dots\dots\dots (43)$$

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{J}}{\partial t^2} \dots\dots\dots (44)$$

These are two main equations on the basis of which foundation of the 'Theory of Everything' has been laid and these equations contain the secrets of physical nature of the universe. These equations reveal that there is some charge in the space the vibrations of which propagate at the velocity of light which actually constitute the light/radiation. 'Theory of Everything' does not accept the existence of fields because the physicality of the fields as in the main-stream physics is undefined. The attempt of Maxwell in the article 'On physical lines of force' to define the medium of ether was destined to fail because he had adopted absolute time and incorrect nature of matter as composed of charged particles. The fact that Maxwell's field equations are valid is because these equations contain the aspect of electromagnetism under which objects with changing magnetic & electric polarity induce electric & magnetic effects respectively.

Having presumed the constancy of velocity of light irrespective of the motion of the source and the observer, it has been shown that space is absolute and only time is relative depending upon the motion of the observer with respect to the absolute reference frame of ether at rest. Having reintroduced the ether on the basis of equation (43) & (44) it is proposed that ether consists of electric dipoles of equal and opposite charge on either sides of the substance which is named as 'energy'. Under the proposed theory 'energy' is basically a substance which has entirely different meaning than the meaning of this word in the adopted main-stream physics. The vibrations of the charge on the substance called 'energy' would give rise of the wave motion of the charge density and current density (as understood in main-stream physics) which wave-motion propagates at the velocity of light. Thus light is a wave-motion due to vibrations of charge on the electric dipoles of ether and like sound waves it is also longitudinal wave-motion but unlike sound waves it is not due to the physical motion of the air particles but it is because of the vibrations of the charge on either sides of the electric dipoles. The comparison of the light and the sound has been described because sound is heard by the observer due to pressure changes caused by the wave-motion of air particles whereas in case of the light the observer would experience the effects of light in the form of magnetic effects as the changing electric polarity of electric dipoles would induce magnetic effects. (This being the reason that under the proposed theory matter has been proposed to be consisting of magnetic dipoles as light is absorbed/emitted as the magnetic effect. There is another more important reason as to why matter has to be made up of magnetic dipoles. Nuclear forces could not be explained as the electromagnetic force if matter is treated as electric dipoles. The nature of spin of the magnetic dipoles of electrons & protons and the electric



interactions between these particles would define the nature of nuclear forces). This differentiation has to be kept in mind while deriving the Doppler Effect and accordingly using the phase velocity to derive the Doppler Effect is justified in case of sound but in case of light phase velocity could not be used to derive the Doppler Effect.

The derivation of the Doppler Effect could be explained in a simple manner by presuming that there is a source of light emitting the monochromatic light which produces the vibrations of the charge in the electric dipoles of ether and these vibrations propagate at a velocity  $c$  in the ether at rest frame of reference. Now these vibrations of charge on electric dipoles would be experienced as magnetic effect by the observers/objects in their time frame. Now if  $N$  number of vibrations pass through a point in a period to time  $t$  in the ether at rest frame of reference; thus the frequency of the light in this frame of reference is

$$\frac{N}{t} = \nu_o$$

Now space being absolute at the same point the moving observer/object would experience the vibrations in its time frame; if  $t'$  is the time frame of the moving observer then frequency as experienced by the moving observer

would be  $\nu' = \frac{N}{t'}$

Since  $t' = \gamma t$

Whereas  $\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}}$

So  $\nu' = \nu_o \left( 1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} \right)$

Since  $\nu' \lambda' = c = \nu_o \lambda_o$

Hence

$$\lambda' = \frac{\lambda_o}{1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}} \dots \dots \dots (45)$$

Hence the third condition for the transformation to be correct and valid being that the Doppler Effect should be justified. This condition is perfectly justified by this transformation.

Readers must have realized that we have derived the transformation under very simplified situations. The  $\hat{c}$  is the unit directional vector of electromagnetic radiation when placed at the origin of the coordinate system which is at rest with respect to the ether. This transformation could now be generalized for all conditions and coordinate systems. The transformation is represented by the formulae (38) to (41).

The conclusion which could be drawn from the coordinate transformation between two reference frames in uniform relative motion; while one reference frame is at rest with respect to the ether; are

- (a) There is an absolute reference frame and this reference frame is at rest with respect to the ether.
- (b) Time frame of any reference frame which is in motion with respect to the ether is different and the time frame of the moving reference frame depends upon the velocity of the reference frame with respect to the ether and the direction of the motion of the moving reference frame; so time is a relative concept.
- (c) Space is absolute.
- (d) Light or radiation is the electromagnetic phenomenon.

The experimental evidences of these conclusions are

- i. The invariability of velocity of electromagnetic radiation or light irrespective of the relative motion of the source and the observer, the condition laid down by wave-equation of light/radiation, is confirmed as there is change in both wave-length and frequency of the light/radiation in the moving frame of reference.
- ii. The Doppler Effect and Hubble observations.
- iii. The exact coinciding of the value of velocity of electromagnetic radiation or light  $c$  with the value of

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}}$$

as experimentally verified. This declares clearly that light or radiation is an electromagnetic phenomenon.

If it is presumed that Doppler Effect is due to the contraction of the space because of the relative motion of the source and the observer of electromagnetic radiation and the difference of time frames is due to and as the consequence of this phenomenon then there had to be only the blue-shifting for receding conditions as well as for approaching conditions. Hence the conclusion that only the time frame of the moving reference frame changes is absolutely justified and correct. That is why Doppler Effect is of great significance for drawing these conclusions. Since the moving reference frame or the observer is having a different time frame naturally the observer on the moving reference frame would observe the electromagnetic phenomenon of the electromagnetic radiation on its time frame and according to the

transformation factor  $\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}}$ , which stands

derived, would require to be incorporated for the moving observer. Thus the observer would see red-shifting under receding conditions and blue-shifting of the electromagnetic radiation under approaching condition; whereas the velocity of the electromagnetic radiation would remain unchanged; a condition laid by the wave equation of the electromagnetic radiation.


It would be interesting to know the brief history of ether. The concept of the ether was firstly proposed by one of the great philosophers namely Rene Descartes in 1638. Newton rejected the concept of ether and the concept that light is the wave motion traveling in ether. Then the nature of light in true sense baffled the scientists and ether was accepted by some and rejected by some and no formal theory of existence of ether was put forward till Clerk Maxwell formally introduced the concept of luminiferous ether in 1864 in 'A Dynamical Theory of the Electromagnetic Field' which lacked in details of the medium of propagation of electromagnetic radiation but stress was laid on the mathematical formulations. There were several clues in the article even to the extent that

gravitation could be an electromagnetic phenomenon but in absence of the proof of the luminiferous ether no attention was paid to Maxwell's pioneering work on electromagnetic dynamics. In 1873 Clerk Maxwell wrote 'A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism' in which he again put forth the concept that light is the electromagnetic phenomenon in the chapter 'Electromagnetic Theory of Light' with sufficient mathematical and experimental basis; along with the concept of the medium as the means of propagation of the electromagnetic phenomenon. Finally with the misinterpretation of the Michelson-Morley experiment the concept of luminiferous ether was almost buried for good. Lorentz and Einstein without going into the cause of invariability of velocity of light or radiation were misled by mathematical illusion and the theories of relativity were born and thereby the doors of the understanding and knowing everything were closed. Thereafter every absurd thing happened in every field of physics. Absolutely wrong foundation was laid and the true nature of everything continues to be mystery till date. Mathematical misrepresentation of everything followed and is being pursued till date.

As already stated that in order to understand the physical universe, clear understanding about the basic constituents of the universe namely space, time, matter and radiation is a prerequisite. Absolute space was converted into an emergent concept, emergent time was converted into relative concept, light or radiation was given the name of photons or quanta without even clarifying as to what the same is; which, as already stated has been honestly conceded by Einstein. Matter though understood but there are several drawbacks with the understanding of the actual nature of matter. Forces in nature are yet to be properly explained. Everything seems to be explained by mathematical misrepresentations but in true sense there is absolute confusion and chaos as to the actual nature of everything and the natural forces because of lack of proper understanding of the basic constituents of the universe.

So far it has been established that space is absolute, time is relative concept and the radiation or light is an electromagnetic phenomenon and in addition to the matter there is ether which fills the space. The knowledge of existence of ether in true sense removes the curtain behind which the nature of everything is hidden which Plato has philosophically visualized centuries before.

Classical physics, though not correct, but since the time frame of the world and the reference frames which are moving at low velocities with respect to earth do not change considerably, so the classical physics is valid for some phenomena but the theories of relativity and Quantum Mechanics in the present form cannot be correct and accordingly the space-time concept, Minkowski space-time geometry, Big Bang Theory and every concept and theory based on these theories cannot be correct as the very foundation of these theories is not right. These derivations were made in the simplest possible manner so that there is no controversy and nobody could unnecessarily challenge these derivations.



Consider the Fig. 3 where the source of the electromagnetic radiation O is the reference frame S and the component of the velocity due to which there is recessional motion be  $V_{x''}$ . The time frame of reference frame S is connected to the time frame of the absolute reference frame by the formula.

$$t'' = \gamma_2 t$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{V_{x''}}{c}}$$

From equation (45) we have

$$\lambda'' = \frac{\lambda_o}{1 + \frac{V_{x''}}{c}} \dots \dots \dots (46)$$

So

$$\lambda_o = \left(1 + \frac{V_{x''}}{c}\right) \lambda'' \dots \dots \dots (47)$$

Now if there is an observer of the same electromagnetic radiation on the reference frame S' whose outward velocity component  $V_{x'}$  (where  $V_{x''} > V_{x'}$ ; receding condition) then the observer will observe the wave-length in accordance with his time frame with respect to the medium. Since this is also a receding condition so observer on S' will see the radiation with the wave-length

$$\lambda' = \frac{\lambda_o}{1 + \frac{V_{x'}}{c}}$$

Since  $\lambda_o$  is given by equation (47)

$$\lambda' = \frac{c + V_{x''}}{c + V_{x'}} \lambda''$$

Under receding condition  $V_{x''} > V_{x'}$   
 $\lambda' > \lambda''$  Hence there has to be red shifting  
 Under approaching condition  $V_{x''} < V_{x'}$   
 $\lambda' < \lambda''$  Hence there has to be blue shifting.

This is the simplest possible derivation of Doppler Effect and it should show that transformation derived herein and the concept that the reference frame with uniform recessional motion with respect to the ether at rest frame of reference has its own time frame. Hubble observations are simply the observations of the Doppler Effect and have the reference point of the time frame of the sun; since earth being in almost circular motion with respect to the sun so the time frame of the earth is the same as that of the sun. Making the law out of the Doppler Effect would just not be right because correlating distances with the red-shift would not be correct but simply the mathematical misrepresentation. Since the sun is also having the spiral movement as do the other stars and galaxies; for reasons which would be clear in the latter part of the article; distances requiring the correction for mathematical representations and additionally the distances between stars and galaxies ever increasing the whole Hubble observation data need to be re-evaluated and as such the age of the universe as deduced cannot be correct. To this extent the inferences drawn in the article 'Ultimate Proof of Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' need the correction.

Red-shift is represented by  $\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda}$ . In the above formula if  $\lambda_o$  is the wave length of the radiation emitted by the far off galaxy as observed by the observer in the absolute reference frame and  $\lambda_{so}$  is the same of the sun as received by the observer in the absolute reference frame; then

$$\text{Red-shift} = \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{sun}} = \frac{\lambda_o - \lambda_{so}}{\lambda_{so}}$$

$\lambda_o$  and  $\lambda_{so}$  depends upon the time frame of the far off galaxy or star and the sun respectively which has nothing to do with the distances which are ever increasing. Even if there may be some correlation between red-shift and distances then the Hubble constant will vary with time because the distances are never constant.

In classical physics the space is absolute, time is absolute, electromagnetic radiation not properly understood & matter not understood; the mathematical physics of classical physics is not valid or correct. In the relativistic physics and the physics which evolved in the twentieth century space is emergent, time relative; electromagnetic radiation & matter supposedly understood but factually misunderstood; so the mathematical physics which evolved is neither applicable nor correct. This article is meant to set the fundamentals right and accordingly different mathematical physics shall have to evolve to represent the true nature of everything. The objective of this article is to conceptually clarify the true nature of everything for subsequently devising the correct mathematical physics. Thus not much stress is laid on the mathematical representations.

### Foundation of Theory of Everything (Non-living Things)

Maxwell could not have dreamt of the significance and importance of his field equations for representing the nature of everything and similarly Einstein did not even dream of the fact that it is because of the recessional accelerations of the astronomical bodies there is

gravitation. Einstein believed that because of the gravitation the objects are forced to accelerate.


Maxwell though on the perfectly right track treated time to be absolute and had absolutely no idea of time being relative. Einstein was misled by the mathematical illusion because of which he put forward the space-time concept and General Theory of Relativity. This article is unique in the scientific history because for the first time in the scientific history three concepts have been conceived simultaneously; which are

- a. Time is relative and emergent
- b. Space is absolute
- c. There is aether or simply ether which fills the space


Newton had, at least, perfect understanding of space and Mach put forward the Mach principle to challenge this belief of the Newton and suggested that the cause of the forces in nature are outside the object and Einstein used the Mach principle to put forward his theories. But factually cause of the forces in nature lies in the object itself, medium of ether and outside.

Coming to the core of the Foundation of Theory of Everything; there are no free charges in nature and all the forces in nature are electromagnetic forces. The nuclear forces and even gravitational force are also electromagnetic forces. The ether consists of the electric dipoles whereas matter consists of spinning electric dipoles which act as magnetic dipoles. The electromagnetic radiation is the electromagnetic phenomenon which will be described in this part of the article. For any force to act there has to be a medium through which the forces in nature can act. Since the medium, the ether, consists of only electric dipoles thus only electromagnetic forces can act. With this core idea of the theory; almost every concept in physics and science changes and true nature of everything unfolds which finally leads to the existence of the Universal Creator. The concept of mass and energy changes so much that certain terms have to be renamed. However the name of 'energy' would be retained as the actual content of substance and the term of 'capacity to do work' is defined as work capacity. Mass becomes the electromagnetic manifestation of the 'energy' due to electromagnetic interaction between the ether, the electric dipoles, and 'energy' which constitute the matter, the magnetic dipoles.

With this background there seems to be only one possibility; so far as non-living things are concerned; that energy and the ether originally existed in a uniformly mixed up state like smoke wherein energy (material content of matter) as well as ether were at rest in the form of electric dipoles in a different time frame. Assume the ether to be made up of a single uniform type of electric dipoles. The energy, of which matter is made up of, being of mainly two types. Both types of energies, of which the matter is made up, being in the form of strings of energies having equal longitudinal dimensions and carrying the equal number of opposite charged entities on either sides of the strings from the centre. The name of the charge is retained because these are the only means of interaction between the strings of matter particles. However the two types of strings carry different quantity of energies. The strings along with the charges are mass-less. Thus the two types of strings could be represented as in [Fig. 4](#).



Similarly ether consists of the uniform single type of the strings of entirely different dimensions as shown in the Fig.5.



Having proposed this as the basic nature of the matter and the ether; it would be interesting to reproduce para 74 of Maxwell's 'Dynamical Theory of the Electromagnetic Field'.

'In speaking of the energy (work capacity; in accordance with the definition of energy in this article) of the field, however, I wish to be understood literally. All energy (work capacity) is the same as mechanical energy (work capacity), whether it exists in the form of motion or in that elasticity or in the other form. The energy (work capacity) in electromagnetic phenomenon is mechanical energy. The only question is where does it reside? On the old theories it resides in the electrified bodies, conducting circuits, and magnets, in the form of an unknown quality called potential energy or the power of producing certain effects at a distance. On our theory it resides in the electromagnetic field, in the space surrounding the electrified and magnetic bodies, as well as in those bodies themselves, and is in two forms, which may be described without hypothesis as magnetic polarization and electric polarization, or according to a very probable hypothesis, as the motion and the strain of one and the same medium'.

This para of Maxwell has been reproduced because it could be of great help in understanding this theory. Maxwell has been right as well as wrong; right because the work capacity has to be mechanical and stored in the object itself and wrong because he supposed that the work capacity is in the field (which mistake Einstein repeated) which surrounds the objects. This theory supposes that the work capacity is stored in the motion of the objects and secondly is stored within the object itself and the medium acts as transmitter of the work capacity; however in respect of the electromagnetic radiation during the transmission of the work capacity some work capacity may remain in the medium. This is in short the crux of this theory. This theory does not recognize the work capacity in the field but however this theory accepts the concept of potential work capacity associated with the matter. The work capacity is either in the form of physical motion or as intrinsic potential work capacity in the form of electromagnetic work capacity.

Since it is well known that electric or magnetic potential work capacity, which actually is the intrinsic

potential work capacity, is proportional to the square of the electric or magnetic field likely to be produced by the magnetic or electric dipoles; provided the medium, the ether, permits the transmission in the form of the displacement of the electric dipoles; and the same is also inversely proportional to the permittivity and the permeability of the medium.

$$\text{Hence } W_E = k_1 \frac{E^2}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$W_B = k_2 \frac{B^2}{\mu_0}$$

$$\text{Since } \epsilon_0 = 8.85 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F/m}$$

$$\mu_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ H/m}$$

$k_1$  and  $k_2$  as well as  $E^2$  and  $B^2$  are comparable and so we have

$$W_E = K_1 \times 10^{12}$$

$$W_B = K_2 \times 10^6$$

Since  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are comparable and the conversion of the electric dipoles into magnetic dipoles would result in release of large amount of work capacity. Thus energy which constitutes the matter, in the form of electric dipole strings due to the intervention of the Universal Creator started spinning and thereby the electric dipole strings were converted into magnetic dipoles and a large amount of work capacity was released which these magnetic dipoles retained in the form of motion; which is known as kinetic energy in the main-stream physics. The electric dipoles of ether were not affected by the intervention of Universal Creator could be due to the reason that the electric dipoles of the ether are having a different structure and not in a position to retain the spin. Further only two types of magnetic dipoles may exist in nature for which names of electron and proton shall be retained; thus electron being a magnetic dipole having lesser quantity of mass-less energy and proton also being a magnetic dipole having higher quantity of mass-less energy. Since the electrons and protons have same longitudinal dimensions and the strength of the dipole being equal owing to the equal charge on the electron and proton when in the form of electric dipoles; thus angular velocity of the spin of the electron as well as the proton also being the same. Since during the process of conversion of electric dipoles into magnetic dipoles equal quantity of work capacity is released and accordingly the motion/velocity of the protons and the electrons with respect to the stationary ether has to be the same. The magnetic dipoles were uniformly distributed with respect to the electric dipoles of the ether at the beginning of the universe. At the time of conversion of magnetic dipole the magnetic attraction set in; the movement of those magnetic dipoles which were lying towards the periphery of the universe was towards the centre of the universe whereas inside the universe the direction of movement of the magnetic dipoles was in all possible directions. Due to the mutual attraction of the magnetic dipoles clustering was set in to form the stars and star systems, galaxies and other astronomical objects. To conserve the work capacity due to the motion of the clustered magnetic dipoles; which presently is being termed as momentum; and for stability of the universe all the magnetic dipoles in the clustered form started revolving; the stars and star

systems revolving within the galaxies around the centre of the galaxies and galaxies in turn started revolving around the centre of the universe. Besides the clustered magnetic dipoles there are un-clustered magnetic dipoles also in the universe which are still in the process of clustering as observed by Herschel (Herschel Infrared Space Observatory of European Space Agency) and reported in the article 'Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' [10].

Microscopically or macroscopically there is no electric field in the of electric dipoles, the ether; the electric force will be created when the electric dipoles of the ether are disturbed and that will be possible only as the result of an extraneous electric field or a magnetic field which is changing with respect to time or space.

Big Bang Theory being conceptually as well as mathematically incorrect; which is substantiated in the articles 'Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology'[10] and 'Ultimate Proof of Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology'[11]; would be further substantiated in this article. The above described phenomenon seems to be the only possible phenomenon by which the universal matter, time and electromagnetic radiation could have come into existence for reasons which would be explained in this article.

Having showed that time is a relative concept and moving reference frames have their own time frames depending upon direction of movement and the velocity with respect to the ether; the absolute reference frame. With this the whole scenario would change. Let us rewrite the Maxwell's field equations as given in the equations (11) to (15). These equations are valid in the absolute reference frame, the reference frame in which ether is at rest

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} &= \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0 \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= \mu \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \\ \mathbf{J} &= \mathbf{v} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

Having defined the electromagnetic properties of the medium; the applicability of these Maxwell's equations depends on the movement of the reference frames because different reference frames have their own time-frames. This must not have been imagined even by Maxwell and this is the fundamental mistake committed by Lorentz and Einstein. Thus the whole of the electromagnetic dynamics would require a total review because neither classical electromagnetic dynamics which additionally is based on free charge and electromagnetic potentials nor relativistic electromagnetic dynamics could be correct. There is a genuine question which needs the answer as to how I have applied the Maxwell's field equations since this theory does not accept the concept of electric & magnetic fields? The electric and magnetic fields  $\mathbf{E}$  &  $\mathbf{B}$  represent the actual displacements of the electric and magnetic dipoles due to the electrical and magnetic forces. Setting right the mathematical formulations is not within the scope of this article as the primary objective of this article is to set right


the fundamentals which could be of great help to set right the mathematical formulations. In fact the whole of physics shall have to be rewritten once the fundamentals are set right

The Maxwell's field equations are valid for the absolute reference frame and for any other reference frame Maxwell's field equation would require following corrections. The time frame of the reference frame in which Maxwell's field equations are to be applied; shall have to be taken into account and for any physical quantity involving space & time the transformation factor will have to be applied as the consequence of difference in time frame for the mathematical formulations as derived in the chapter of transformation. The electric & magnetic fields  $\mathbf{E}$  &  $\mathbf{B}$  represents the actual displacements of the electric dipoles of the medium & the magnetic dipoles of the matter. Since the medium consists of the electric dipoles and matter consists of the magnetic dipoles so the displacements of electric dipoles and magnetic dipoles would result in changing electric and magnetic effects with respect to time as represented by equations (13) & (14). Since in nature there are mostly circular motions and for circular motions there is no difference in the time frame but for the spiral motion of the objects there is a component of receding or approaching and that component only is responsible for the difference in the time frames.

### Theory of Unification of Forces of Nature

In the classical physics the electromagnetic phenomenon is presumed to be known and also the electromagnetic phenomenon is presumed to be known in the relativistic physics. But both claims are not correct for the reasons already defined. The electromagnetic phenomenon could now be understood properly after incorporating the corrections partly defined & partly to be defined. However following discourse will clarify corrections to be incorporated for study of the electromagnetic forces.

Let us consider two magnetic substances or two magnetic dipoles which are aligned (Fig.6).



Assuming the magnetic force to be an inverse square law force as this is the present notion about the electromagnetic forces including the gravitational force.

Now there will be attraction between the north and south poles of the magnetic substances or dipoles and repulsion between the same poles.



Assuming that the force is represented by  $F = \frac{X}{R^2}$  where X is a constant and R is the distance between the centers of the poles.

The attractive force between opposite poles is represented by  $F_A$  and repulsive force by  $F_{Re}$  where  $\delta$  is the distance of the actual pole from the centre of the magnetic substance or the dipole

$$F_A = \frac{X}{(R - 2\delta)^2} + \frac{X}{R + 2\delta)^2}$$

Accordingly the repulsive force would be

$$F_{Re} = \frac{2X}{R^2}$$

Now net attraction between the two dipoles is

$$F_A - F_{Re} = \text{Net attraction} = A$$

$$A = \frac{X}{(R - 2\delta)^2} + \frac{X}{(R + 2\delta)^2} - \frac{2X}{R^2}$$

$$A = X \left\{ \frac{1}{(R - 2\delta)^2} + \frac{1}{R + 2\delta)^2} - \frac{2}{R^2} \right\}$$

$$A = X \frac{R^2(R + 2\delta)^2 + R^2(R - 2\delta)^2 - 2(R^2 - 4\delta^2)^2}{R^2(R^2 - 4\delta^2)^2}$$

$$A = 2X \frac{R^4 + 4\delta^2 R^2 - R^4 + 8\delta^2 R^2 - 16\delta^4}{R^2(R^2 - 4\delta^2)^2}$$

$$A = 2X \frac{12\delta^2 R^2 - 16\delta^4}{R^2(R^2 - 4\delta^2)^2}$$

$$A = 8X\delta^2 \frac{3R^2 - 4\delta^2}{R^2(R^2 - 4\delta^2)^2}$$

$$A = 8X\delta^2 \frac{3R^2 - 4\delta^2}{R^2(R^2 - 4\delta^2)^2}$$

If  $\delta \ll R$ ; then  $3R^2 - 4\delta^2$  could be equated to  $3R^2$  and  $R^2 - 4\delta^2$  could be equated to  $R^2$

$$A = X8\delta^2 \frac{3R^2}{R^6}$$

$$A = X8\delta^2 \frac{3}{R^4} = \frac{24X\delta^2}{R^4}$$

For a magnetic dipole  $\delta$  is a constant and this will apply for the sun as well as the earth. This could be simply written as

$$A = \frac{G}{R^4}$$

If it is presumed that electromagnetic forces honor inverse square law then the gravitational force of the earth and the sun should honor inverse - quadruple law if we presume that gravitational force to be the electromagnetic force. Since it will be shown that gravitation is because of the electromagnetic interactions so we will have to review the inverse square law of the electromagnetic forces as it is a well known fact that gravitation does not honor the inverse quadruple distance law. Secondly so far the electromagnetism has been studied with free electric charges and electromagnetic field in space but according to this theory there are no free charges in nature and there is no electromagnetic

field in space carrying the work capacity. So a fresh view of whole of the electromagnetism is required to be taken keeping in view the fundamentals of this theory. Accordingly I am not depending upon the mathematical formulations of the classical physics or the relativistic physics. However I have used the Maxwell's field equations wherein **E&B** would represent the actual displacement of the electric dipoles and magnetic dipoles.

Gravitation is the basis of the cosmological stability and without proper understanding and explanation of the gravitation study of the universe could not correct. Without the coordinate transformation derived herein in the article and without recognizing the existence of ether any study of gravitation would not be correct and it is because of the incorrectness of Newton's dynamics and General Theory of Relativity there are several anomalies and discrepancies which cannot be explained. To explain these anomalies and discrepancies physicists had to introduce the concept of Dark Energy which could be just another name of ether. The explanation of the electromagnetic properties of this medium together with the relativity of time independent of space is the proper foundation for correct understanding of the phenomenon of gravitation. Gravitation as a phenomenon could only be explained correctly when the foundations of the physical phenomenon are rightly understood.

It is misconception in classical as well as the relativistic physics that forces in nature act in space/vacuum. But factually all forces would require a medium to transmit the force and since the medium consists of the electric dipoles; so only the electromagnetic forces act in nature. Since ether consists of electric dipoles thus the medium would transmit the electric field or the magnetic field which is changing with respect to time in the form of displacement/curl of the electric dipoles. Matter being made up of magnetic dipoles and capable of only the magnetic field which as per this theory is the displacement/curl of magnetic dipoles as such the ether could transmit the forces between matter which could be caused by changing magnetic field with respect to time. This changing magnetic field could be produced by continuously changing magnetic polarity of the objects/matter and secondly since the matter is in continuous motion with respect to the medium as such the matter has its own time frame and in case the matter is in recessional acceleration (which would be clarified) the time frame of the matter would be changing with time and accordingly the magnetic field of the matter would be changing with time with respect to the ether.

Now since the medium has its time frame; the medium will experience the magnetic polarity of the object with respect to its time frame. Let B' be the magnetic polarity (B has been assigned different meaning here as compared to this symbol as adopted in Maxwell's equations) in his time frame and the magnetic effect as experienced by the medium be B. So

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial B'}{\partial t'}$$

Since  $t' = \gamma t$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\mathbf{B}'}{\gamma} \right)$$

Now since  $\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}}$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \mathbf{B}' \left( 1 + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} \right) \right\}$$

$\hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{v}$  in spherical polar coordinate could be written as  $\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \mathbf{B}' \left( 1 + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \right) \right\} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}'}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}'}{\partial t} \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{B}'}{c} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} &= \left( 1 + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}'}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{B}'}{c} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}'}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{B}'}{c} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2} \dots \dots \dots (49) \end{aligned}$$

Here assuming that  $\gamma$  is a function of time because of recessional acceleration of the astronomical bodies. Now if  $\mathbf{B}' = \text{constant}$  with respect to time; in that case

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \frac{\mathbf{B}'}{c} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}$$

$\mathbf{B}'$  would be constant only under the condition that the astronomical body is not emitting the electromagnetic radiation (which would be explained) like planets.

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \frac{\mathbf{B}'}{c} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}$$

Now if  $\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}$  is a constant, which means that reference frame of the matter is moving at constant recessional velocity then  $\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}$  is equal to zero and as such no

magnetic force could be transmitted. Now if  $\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}$  is having

a positive or negative value, which means recessional acceleration or recessional deceleration (spiral motion) with respect to the ether or centre of galaxy or centre of universe, then only the magnetic force could be transmitted. Since the magnetic effect is changing with respect to time so as per the equation (13); electric force would be created which would be experienced by the medium which results in displacement of the electric dipoles of the ether. This electric field/electric dipole displacement would propagate in the medium with a velocity to be determined by the permittivity of the ether and when this electric dipole disturbance reaches the other reference frame of moving matter with the recessional acceleration or deceleration the other moving matter reference frame will experience the magnetic force and thereby other moving matter reference frame will experience the gravitational force. The net result is; according to equation (13) and (14); that if the two reference frames are receding and having recessional acceleration with respect to each other there will be attraction and in case the reference frames are approaching each other there would be repulsion. Now taking the equation (49)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}'}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{B}'}{c} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}$$

In case of stars which do emit electromagnetic radiation  $\mathbf{B}'$  is changing with respect to time but the rate of change of the magnetic polarity of the star is extremely slow as the result of which the dominating factor in the

above equation is  $\frac{\mathbf{B}'}{c} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}$  and for all practical purposes

we could write

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\mathbf{B}'}{c} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}$$

Thus the magnetic field changing with respect to time as experienced by the medium will depend upon the recessional acceleration or deceleration. It is already shown by Hubble observations that there are only receding conditions in nature in respect of stars within the galaxies and also between the galaxies (especially far off galaxies). In case there is recessional acceleration

$\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2} > 0$  then there will be attraction and in case there is

recessional deceleration that is  $\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2} < 0$  then there would

be repulsive force with respect to the other reference frame (or astronomical body) which is having the uniform recessional velocity or is having the recessional

acceleration less than the  $\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}$ . In case the other

reference frame (or astronomical body) has the recessional deceleration then there would be opposite phenomenon. Since there are only receding conditions prevailing in respect of stars and galaxies we could safely presume; for the reasons which will be explained; that only the uniform angular velocity (or almost uniform angular velocity) can exist in respect of planets whereas all the stars are having the recessional acceleration. Accordingly, no recessional deceleration would be possible in nature because in that case repulsive nature of gravitation will be called into play. Besides, it will be also shown that though stars and the planets of the stars have the same time frame, yet there is the gravitational force between the stars and its planets. In order to show the latter statement, it is well known that stars are in the receding condition with recessional acceleration with respect to the centre of the galaxies. Naturally, the time frame of the medium and the stars would be different and

$\frac{\mathbf{B}'}{c} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}$  will be having a defined value and the medium

will experience the electric effect in the form of curl of the electric dipoles. Accordingly, the electric effect will be transmitted to the planet in the form of magnetic force due to the difference of time frames between the medium and the planet as the result of Maxwell's study and thus there will be gravitational force between the stars & planets. Accordingly, the electric field will be transmitted to the planet in the form of magnetic field due to the changing time frame between the medium and the planet as the result of the Maxwell field equation (14), and accordingly there will be gravitational attraction between the stars & planets.

The concept of gravitational, electric and magnetic potential carrying the work capacity is just the outcome of the misrepresentation and mathematical manipulations by relativistic physics and these concepts had been there in

the classical physics also; thus whole classical electromagnetism also would require the fundamental changes and the relativistic electromagnetism is simply to be out-rightly rejected. The simple conclusion is that the gravitational force is the electromagnetic force because of

the recessional acceleration, the  $\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}$  factor. The

conclusion of Einstein that the reference frames are in the accelerating state of motion because of the gravitational force and that there is gravitational potential in the space which carries the energy (work capacity according to this theory) is simply the mathematical misrepresentation because the very fundamental axioms of Einstein are incorrect. It must be clear to the readers that the very recessional state of motion of the astronomical bodies or reference frames is responsible for the gravitational force. This all has been because the space and time were interconnected in the illogical and absurd manner in relativity and this has found way differently in the Quantum Mechanics.

However, unless and until there is proper justification for the receding motion of stars and galaxies the theory that the gravitational force is also an electromagnetic force would not be unquestionably acceptable. There are two work capacities with the magnetic dipoles, of which the matter is made up of, one is the work capacity which is due to the motion of the magnetic dipoles and the second is the electromagnetic work capacity. The work capacity due to the motion of the magnetic dipoles is not being utilized by magnetic dipoles and the crystal clear proof of which is that stars do not shed the matter while in motion. Had the work capacity of the matter particles due to the motion (which presently is being called kinetic energy) being utilized by the stars then due to this phenomenon some of the magnetic dipoles would lose what is presently being called momentum and the stars would have been losing the matter continuously? No such phenomenon has been ever reported or observed till date. Thus, in nature the matter is utilizing only the electromagnetic work capacity and this electromagnetic work capacity is being utilized in the form of electromagnetic radiation.

Fortunately, while describing the phenomenon of electromagnetic radiation, the nuclear force would be explained as also an electromagnetic force. It stands discussed that the matter is basically in the form of the two types of mass-less magnetic dipoles which in turn are spinning electric dipoles namely electrons and protons. Both the dipoles carry equal quantities of charged entities on either side from the centre of the strings. Since, initially these magnetic dipoles started moving in all possible directions and those on the periphery of the universe started moving towards the centre and during the process of clustering some dipoles being in the opposite direction, the collisions happened between the protons and the electrons. These collisions result in entanglement of the strings such that both the strings are in a state of spin while as the negative charged side of the electron string coincides with the positive side of the proton string or vice versa and the net result is that a neutron is produced which has considerably reduced magnetic polarity and in the process the magnetic work capacity is dissipated in the form of electromagnetic radiation.

During the process of approach of the electron and the proton and due to the magnetic polarity of the electron and the proton the two particles will align such that the opposite poles face each other. Since electrons & protons have a high velocity in the opposite directions the particles come so close that instead of the magnetic interaction electric interaction takes place due to the superimposition of the negative charged side of electron & positive charged side of proton or vice versa. During the small period of time of collision the magnetic polarity of the so formed neutron is reduced compared to the sum of magnetic polarity of electron & the proton and this reduction in magnetic polarity over a small period of time induces electric effect in the medium of ether, the electric dipoles, thereby the magnetic work capacity is dissipated. This dissipation of magnetic work capacity to medium of ether, the electric dipoles, takes place in the form of vibrations of the charge of the electric dipoles of ether which propagates in the form of wave-motion with a defined velocity depending upon the electromagnetic properties of the ether.

This explains as to why the velocity of electromagnetic radiation is always constant with respect to the medium and nature has balanced the time frame of the moving reference frames such that the velocity as observed by the moving observer would also observe the velocity of the electromagnetic radiation as  $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}}$ . Thus the

secret of nature of everything being in the electromagnetic radiation and since neither in classical physics nor in the relativistic physics ether was conceived; and additionally the secret of concept of relativity of time independent of the space is also contained in the electromagnetic radiation; which too was not conceived in classical physics or in the relativistic physics resultantly the nature of everything was neither known nor understood by humanity.

However, in the stars just one such conversion of the electron and proton into neutron is sufficient to trigger the chain of reactions wherein the electromagnetic radiation is being used for making and breaking the neutrons and the net result is that as many neutrons are being produced in the star as the electromagnetic radiations leave the star. Thus, with the loss of every electromagnetic radiation there is the loss of the magnetic polarity of the star. Hence, there is the net loss of magnetic polarity of the star with time. Since we have already stated as to how the star do not utilize the work capacity due to the mechanical motion accordingly what is presently known as momentum is conserved and as such the linear velocity of the star is not affected. Due to the loss of magnetic polarity with time; what is presently known as centripetal force is reduced; as the result of which the stars move away continuously from the centre of the galaxies. It stands already clarified that the receding nature with recessional acceleration of the stars is responsible for the gravitational force between the stars. This should explain as to how and why the stars have the receding motion only. That should also show that the gravitational force is an electromagnetic force.

The recessional velocity  $\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}\right)$  and recessional



acceleration  $\left(\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}\right)$  in spherical polar coordinates would depend upon the loss of the magnetic polarity or the number of electromagnetic radiations emitted by the star or the galaxy rather than on the distance and accordingly one could decide about the correctness of the Hubble Law.

The combination of the proton magnetic dipole and the electron magnetic dipole at some distance would convert these dipoles into a quadrupole which will also act as a dipole with less magnetic polarity and accordingly further magnetic work capacity would be released in the form of electromagnetic radiation. But as soon as the hydrogen atom would receive the required work capacity in the form of electromagnetic radiation the two dipoles will fall apart. The formation of helium also results in emission of electromagnetic radiation and loss of magnetic polarity of the star.


Nuclear force is called into play when the magnetic dipoles are so close and arranged that the electric charge within the magnetic dipoles are interacting and a strong bond is existing between the protons and neutrons and during the formation of such nuclei considerable work capacity is emitted in the form of electromagnetic radiation and breaking of such nuclei would require considerable work capacity in the form of the electromagnetic radiation. The density of the electromagnetic radiation in the star would be deciding factor for the formation of the nuclei in the stars. The nuclear force is called into play between magnetic dipoles when the magnetic dipoles are so close to each other such that there is no need of medium and the electric charges in the magnetic dipoles start interacting directly.

As there are chain of reactions taking place within the stars as the result of which the electromagnetic radiations are emitted from the stars and more the electromagnetic radiation emitted by the stars more loss of the magnetic polarity of the stars. More the number of protons, neutrons and electrons in the atoms less is the magnetic polarity of the atom. Thus, stars have much more magnetic polarity than the planets like earth because stars have extremely high percentage of protons, electrons, hydrogen and helium whereas the earth has the atoms of higher number of the protons, neutrons and electrons. The fact that the nuclear force is due to the close proximity of the constituent energy entities which are ordinarily as magnetic dipoles; where the interaction of electric charge which exists in the magnetic dipoles takes place such that negative charged entities are close to the positive charged entities and a strong bond of attraction exists resultantly the large amount of work capacity is needed to break the bond and conversely large amount of work capacity in the form of electromagnetic radiation is emitted when the bond is created. On earth it is possible to break these nuclear bonds with the help of high velocity magnetic dipoles or neutrons. Discussing the mechanism and structure of the atoms is not within the scope of this article. However, the explanation that nuclear force is also the electromagnetic force has been the objective of this article which I hope is accomplished.

This should conclude the theory of unification of natural forces in nature. There is not a single experimentally observed phenomenon which cannot be

explained correctly under this theory. In view of the theory proposed in this article the statements made in the article 'Ultimate Proof of Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' [11] would require corrections particularly the statements regarding the Quantum Mechanics, Cosmic Background Radiation, Hubble Observations and wherever mass has been assigned to the electromagnetic radiation. However, the article [11] should be considered for the contents wherein the suggestion that Michelson-Morley experiment had been misinterpreted for concluding the absence of the medium, the ether.

Since the very basis of Theories of Relativity have been shown to be wrong; corrections by General Theory of Relativity to the Newton's law of gravitation need not be discussed. This theory takes care of all the phenomena especially the radar time dilation. However one of the greatest triumphs of the General Theory of Relativity was the so called mathematical explanation of the bending of electromagnetic radiation when passing nearby the stars. The electromagnetic radiation is not being affected by the Cosmic Background Radiation because of the anisotropy of the Cosmic Background Radiation which would imply that the packets of alternating currents or packets of electric dipoles vibrations of the ether are having polarization in all possible directions and the net effect on the electromagnetic radiation will be zero. Since we know that the stars are in motion with a constant linear velocity in the ether and while the stars move there is the displacement of the electric dipoles of the medium and the highest displacement would be near the star and as we recede from the star the displacement would reduce as shown in the Fig. 7.




Since the electromagnetic radiation is the work capacity being carried in the form of vibrations of the electric dipoles as such the electromagnetic radiation would change its direction depending upon the direction of movement of the star and the direction of movement of the electromagnetic radiation.

Lastly as per Newton's dynamics or General Theory of Relativity there has to be huge mass of a star at the centre of every galaxy around which the stars should revolve but physically no such star mass has been observed in most of the galaxies studied so far. This is essential for the motion of the stars which are near the centre of galaxies according to Newton's dynamics or General Theory of Relativity. As per this theory the stars near the centre of the galaxies are forced to be in the orbit around the centre of the galaxy by the repulsive mode of the gravitational force of the stars which are revolving away from such stars. However the stars near the centre of the galaxies do have some recessional acceleration as the repulsive force caused by stars away from such stars also have the recessional acceleration.

## Cosmic Background Radiation

The conclusion drawn in the article 'Ultimate Proof of Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' [11] regarding the Cosmic Background Radiation as the sonic boom condition of the electromagnetic radiation is not correct. As conceived in the article 'Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' [10] that universe consists of the finite space surrounded by the energy of the Universal Creator. However it is clarified that cosmologically the ether is surrounded by the energy of the Universal Creator as shown in the **Fig.8**.



Since the electromagnetic radiation is being continuously emitted by the stars in all the galaxies and this electromagnetic radiation would not be utilized by other stars but a minimal part of the electromagnetic radiation could be utilized by only the planets like earth which has life and the atmosphere. This electromagnetic radiation when it reaches the periphery of the universe would be reflected back into the universe as the energy of the Universal Creator has been conceived to be without any electromagnetic properties or in other words the electromagnetic radiation has no medium within the energy of the Universal Creator so that it could propagate and hence the radiation is reflected back. In the article 'Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' [10] it has been conceived that the universal matter is receding and accordingly the electromagnetic radiation would remain in the space. On the one hand the electromagnetic radiation is being emitted by the stars and the galaxies and the universal matter is continuously receding; then there is one likely question as to where is the space for the universal matter to expand. The answer of this question is already replied in this article as the electric dipoles which were converted into the magnetic dipoles near about the periphery of the universe were attracted by the magnetic dipoles within the universe thereby a huge space was created near the periphery of the universe for the universal matter to expand.

Since the electromagnetic radiation is being continuously emitted by the stars naturally the intensity of the electromagnetic radiation would increase as the time progresses. However since the electromagnetic radiation in the form of packages of alternating currents or the package of the electric dipole vibrations would remain in the space as such everywhere in the space these packages of alternating currents or the package of the electric dipole vibrations would be observable in the space which have to increase with time. This is the Cosmic Background Radiation which by its nature has to fluctuate and be anisotropic. Since the Cosmic Background Radiation has been detected very recently as such the increase in the intensity of the Cosmic Background Radiation in a few decades would not be detectable. However the fluctuations and anisotropy of the Cosmic Background Radiation should be sufficient to conclude that the same is not as the result of the so called Big Bang as already stated in the article 'Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' [10] and as confirmed by the Herschel (ESA). The Hubble observations and the existence of the Cosmic Background Radiation are supposed to be the basic evidences of the Big Bang Theory but this article should be sufficient for out rightly rejecting the Big Bang Theory henceforth. Since the very theories of relativity are fundamentally incorrect; Cosmic Background Radiation and the Hubble observation all combined together in view of this theory should be more than sufficient to show that the Big Bang Theory is absolutely incorrect.


## Concepts of Mass, Energy and Emergent Time

This article will change the concepts being in vogue in physics and the whole new mathematical physics will have to evolve to represent the nature and the natural forces. The classical physics is not correctly representing the factual state of existence nor does the relativistic physics represent the factual state of existence. However, without indulging into the mathematics the concepts of mass, energy and emergent time will be clarified so that correct mathematical physics evolves.

The very concept of energy in the present day physics is absolutely incorrect. The energy is being taken as 'capacity of doing work' and the formula  $E = mc^2$  implies that energy and mass are transmutable. The name of energy as the content of matter has been retained which in no case could be converted into the 'work capacity'. Secondly the concept of mass is also not correct as the mass, as we perceive and measure it, is simply an electromagnetic phenomenon due to the motion of magnetic dipoles in the medium. The mass is basically the inertial mass and solely due to the interaction of the magnetic dipoles of the matter with the electric dipoles of the medium. As the matter moves in the ether the electric dipoles of ether are displaced resultantly a changing electric effect is induced thereby a reverse magnetic effect is produced giving rise to the inertia to the matter which depends upon the velocity of moving matter. This opposition is the reason of the inertial mass and so the very concept of  $E = mc^2$  is evidently not correct. Since the magnetic dipoles of the matter are basically massless as such no work capacity is consumed for the motion of the matter in the ether. Mass, as is understood herein

conceptually, is the electromagnetic phenomenon which depends upon the electromagnetic properties of the medium and the magnetic dipoles of the matter. Since electromagnetism would require a thorough review as such it would take some time for derivation of mathematical formulations to represent mass with electromagnetic properties of the medium & motion of the matter. Relationship of mass with the electromagnetic properties of electric dipoles of the ether and the magnetic dipoles of the matter should be the first objective after the mathematical physics of electromagnetic dynamics evolves.

To further clarify the concept of mass, as we perceive it on this planet, and to study the phenomenon of gravitation we are supposed to know the fundamentals thoroughly. Consider the **Fig.9**:



Let O be the centre of the universe or that of the galaxy and S be the star and P be a planet moving around the star. In case the star is at rest with respect to the centre of the universe or the centre of the galaxy, the planet would not revolve round the star because there is no gravitational force as the time frame of the medium, ether, and the star is same and the magnetic force between the magnetic dipoles of the star and those of the planet cannot be transmitted. Even if the star is moving in the circular motion around the centre of the universe or the centre of the galaxy, even then there would not be any gravitational force between the star and the planet, because the star has no recessional acceleration with respect to the centre of the universe or centre of the galaxy and accordingly the medium and the star has the same time frame and the magnetic force cannot be transmitted. The gravitational force will be called into play only when the star has the receding motion with recessional acceleration with respect to the centre of the universe or centre of the galaxy. The component of the velocity of the star along the line from centre of the universe or the centre of the galaxy towards the star is the velocity that would define the velocity V of the transformation.

The gravitational force could be attractive or repulsive depending upon the polarity of the star & planets and the recessional motion of the both. The recessional

acceleration of the star, the  $\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2}$  factor, would define the curl of the electric dipoles and the motion of the planet

would also create the curl of the electric dipoles and the planets will adopt the path of zero curl assuming the polarity of the star & planet are aligned in the same direction due to the conditions created by the Universal Creator. It is because of the attractive as well as repulsive nature of gravitational force the celestial objects in the universe do not collide and the universe is stable. With Newton's gravitational force only as an attractive force and assuming the space as absolute, as this paradigm of space was adopted at the time by Newton, the question of even considering the stability of universe is absurd. This fact was overlooked by physicists for more than two and a half centuries. Even under the General Theory of relativity, without assuming space is absurdly expanding without any reason, the stability of the universe is out of question.

The gravitational force would depend upon the recessional acceleration of the star and magnetic polarity of the star whereas the mass, as we perceive it, depends upon the velocity of the reference frame on which the matter is and the electromagnetic properties of the matter and the ether. Now since there are defined properties of the ether and the magnetic dipoles of the matter, so the gravitation on earth would depend upon recessional acceleration & magnetic polarity of the sun and the nature of magnetic polarity of the earth. The time frame of the sun and that of the planets of the sun is the same, so in the solar system the gravitation would depend upon the recessional acceleration of the sun; because the recessional acceleration would determine how forcefully the magnetic field will be transmitted between sun and the planets around it; and the magnetic polarity of the earth and the sun. Thus study of gravitation is not as simple as the Newton's laws and also not as complicated as Einstein's General Theory of Relativity. This all is due to the existence of the ether and difference in time frames in the reference frames in motion. In the factual terminology used in this article, the mass is the electromagnetic phenomenon depending upon the motion of magnetic dipoles of the matter and the electromagnetic properties of the matter in question and the electric dipoles of the ether and as such mass has absolutely no relationship with the energy content of the matter. Matter as clarified has no utilizable work capacity due to the mechanical motion as this work capacity is not being dissipated and secondly matter consists of the intrinsic energy and the work capacity due to the electromagnetic properties of the magnetic dipoles. The formula  $E = mc^2$  could have any relevance only with respect to the work capacity due to the electromagnetic work capacity as such  $E = mc^2$  could not be the absolute formula as it is being treated till date.

To discuss the emergent nature of time it is now understood that the time is relative and the relativity of the time depends upon the receding motion of the reference frame whereas the receding motion in turn is dependent on the electromagnetic properties of the matter and the medium and the rate at which electromagnetic radiation is being emitted by the stars. Since the Universal Creator has the capability of changing the electromagnetic properties including depriving the electric dipoles of ether and magnetic dipoles of matter of electromagnetic properties as such time has to be an emergent concept. It

has been already conceived in the book 'Natural World Order and The Islamic Thought'[8] that there could be different types of energies.

Since the linear velocity which the magnetic dipoles attain after the electric work capacity was converted in the magnetic work capacity is already defined at the time of the creation of the universe. This mechanical work capacity is not being utilized by the matter by any phenomenon. Thus the matter on the whole has a defined linear velocity but since the motions in nature are generally spiral motions, recessional velocities change such that the linear velocity is always constant.

The linear velocity in no case can be more than velocity of the electromagnetic radiation. Assuming the matter is in motion with the linear velocity as V. Now the highest recessional velocity by any star from the centre of the universe or that of the galaxy could be V but in that case the star would not have any magnetic polarity so that the gravitational force could retain its angular velocity and that is possible only when the star is devoid of any magnetic polarity and that can be possible only when all the electrons and protons in the star are converted into neutrons & arranged in such a manner that by all the magnetic work capacity of the star which could be there is consumed. There cannot be anything beyond a neutron star and the very concept of the black holes is incorrect and that is exactly why there is absolutely no evidence of the black hole ever conclusively having been observed (Black holes and the LHC by S. Sahoo - Indian Journal of Science and Technology Vol. 3 & 4 April 2010). There being no gravitation whatsoever with neutron star as such the question of gravitational collapse occurring in the astronomical bodies does not arise.

Now consider the two neutron stars moving in opposite directions with respect to the centre of the galaxy or the centre of universe. The velocity of each of the neutron star would be V and the time frames of the two Neutron stars be t'

$$t' = \gamma t$$

Where t is the time in the absolute reference frame where

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}}$$

Let two incidents take place in one neutron star at times  $t'_1$  and  $t'_2$  and on the other neutron star the same incidents be observed at  $t''_1$  and  $t''_2$ . Then

$$\left(1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}\right) (t'_2 - t'_1) = t_2 - t_1$$

Where  $t_2$  and  $t_1$  be times of observed time of incidents in the absolute reference frame

$$\left(1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}\right) (t''_2 - t''_1) = t_2 - t_1$$

Since  $\mathbf{v}$  is the same; hence

$$t'_2 - t'_1 = t''_2 - t''_1$$

This should demonstrate that the transformation derived herein has no problem of any paradoxes. The dark energy conceived in the last decade of twentieth century could be nothing but ether and better name for the dark energy would be Luminiferous Energy and the very concept of dark matter is absurd according to this theory and that should explain as to why the dark matter

has not been detected till date. I just laugh when I read scientists claim to have detected Dark Matter without having any knowledge of as to what the Dark Energy and Dark Matter are. Similarly huge resources are being wasted for expecting work capacity by making the protons, electrons, neutrons and other magnetic dipoles to collide. The intrinsic energy of the magnetic dipoles is just not transmutable in the work capacity of the electromagnetic radiation and not even the intrinsic mechanical work capacity due to the motion of the magnetic dipoles is transmutable.

A mention of an article of Sankar Hajra and Anita Ghost has been made; the mention of two more articles by Sankar Hajra would be appropriate here; 'Collapse of SRT2:Earth carries along electric and magnetic fields'[16] wherein it has been concluded, though on the wrong foundations, that earth carries the electromagnetic fields along with it, in its rotation as well as the revolutions as prescribed by this theory and 'Collapse of GRT: EM interactions with gravity derived from Maxwell and Newton'[17] wherein he has derived the equation {equation (21) in the article.

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} + u = \frac{GM}{H^2} + \frac{3GM}{C^2 r^2}$$

Where  $u = \frac{1}{r}$  and G, H & M are constants in respect

of a point charge in the gravitational field. This equation would represent the perihelion of the Mercury. Assuming the point charge to be the Mercury (which as per this theory is a magnetic dipole on the whole) the author writes 'The equation (21) may aptly be used to explain the advance of the perihelion of Mercury, if we assume that all material bodies are fully electromagnetic in origin. However, we are not in a position to accept such a doubtful proposition'.

This article removes all the doubts and thereby the perihelion of the Mercury could simply be explained when Mercury is presumed to be magnetic dipole and sun also a magnetic dipole and the phenomenon of gravitation as the electromagnetic phenomenon. All the three articles of this physicist are worth reading because he could derive many conclusions contained in this article accidentally as the idea of the existence of ether never happened to him.

#### Nature of Time in the Time Frame of Universal Creator

Readers need no further clarification that there are different time frames for different types of energies which would depend upon the electromagnetic properties of the energy. Thus, the concept of time, as we know and perceive, is basically an emergent concept. Since the time frames of two or more reference frames are; as per the formula  $t' = \gamma t$ , connected by the formula.

$$\left(1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}''}{c}\right) t'' = \left(1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}'}{c}\right) t'$$

Let in the reference frame of time t' two incidents take place at times  $t'_1$  and  $t'_2$  and the same incidents are recorded in reference frame of time t'' with the interval of T. The time intervals are connected by

$$\left(1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}''}{c}\right) T = \left(1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}'}{c}\right) (t'_2 - t'_1)$$

$V''$  and  $V'$  being the recessional or approaching velocity of the two reference frames. If the energy of the reference frame of time  $t''$  is having no electromagnetic properties and it is approaching the centre of universe it could have the velocity of  $c$ ; then  $\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}'' = -c$

$$\left(1 - \frac{c}{c}\right) T = \left(1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}\right) (t'_2 - t'_1) \quad \text{as} \quad \left(1 + \frac{\hat{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c}\right) > 0$$

So in the reference frame of time  $t''$  whatever be the time interval  $T$  in this reference frame, for all practical purposes.

$$t'_2 = t'_1$$

Accordingly, the reverse phenomenon of incidents taking place in the reference frame of time  $t''$  would be observed to have happened at the same time in reference frame of time  $t'$ .

Hence, any interval of time between any two incidents in any reference frame which has any time frame will be zero in the reference frame of the energy which has no electromagnetic properties. All the incidents in the reference frame of the energy which has no electromagnetic properties happen at the same time. This is how the energy of the Universal Creator has been conceived and here lies the secret of predetermination or predestination. This is how the Universal Creator has predetermined everything about the universe as well as the planet earth and the life on the planet earth. That is exactly how the concept of predetermination was explained in the book 'Natural World Order & The Islamic Thought'[8].

Thus the Universal Creator consists of the energy, the substance, which has no electromagnetic properties and is powerful enough to impart spin to electric dipoles of matter so as to convert these into magnetic dipoles. This would require desire and power on the part of the Universal Creator to create the universe; hence the Universal Creator has to be living, thinking and powerful to have created the universe and since the living Universal Creator has been responsible for the creation of the universe; he would be having the power to know all the consequences of the creation. The purpose of the creation of the universe has to be there and it was the duty of the mankind to at least to know the purpose of creation of the universe. The purpose of the creation of the universe could be philosophically arrived at for which the mankind had sufficient intellectual capabilities and I have tried to analyze this matter at length in my book 'Natural World Order & the Islamic Thought'[9].

## Discussion

The electromagnetic radiation could only be studied as the wave motion of electromagnetic phenomenon and its study as the photon having a mass while in motion would not be correct. Thus the article 'Ultimate Proof of Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' [11] should stand corrected wherever a mass has been assigned to the electromagnetic radiation. The limit of the linear velocity of all the magnetic dipoles, whether in the form of a single magnetic dipole or in the form of astronomical bodies cannot exceed the velocity of electromagnetic radiation. The matter, the magnetic dipoles consists of intrinsic energy, the work capacity in the form of physical motion with the defined velocity and the magnetic energy. The intrinsic energy of the matter is not transmutable and nor

the work capacity in the form of physical motion is transmutable but only one work capacity is transmutable and that is the magnetic energy. Thus

$$\text{Energy} + \text{work capacity} = E_{in} + W_{cm} + W_m$$

Where  $E_{in}$  is the intrinsic energy,  $W_{cm}$  is the work capacity due to the motion of matter and  $W_m$  is the magnetic work capacity of the magnetic dipole. The magnetic dipoles of electrons, protons and neutrons while forming atoms lose the magnetic energy thereby atoms become magnetic multipoles and the net effect is that atoms act as weaker magnetic dipoles, much less than the sum total of the individual magnetic dipoles of electrons, protons & neutrons. All studies so far have been conducted in so far as  $W_m$  is concerned. One can imagine the absurdity of the formula  $E = mc^2$  which is one way or the other has been used in the article 'Ultimate Proof of Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology' [11] and the article should be treated as corrected to that extent. One has to look at mass as an electromagnetic phenomenon rather than the way physicists have been looking at it and the very concept that mass represents the total energy (work capacity) in the matter which is transmutable and the very concept of Big Bang Theory seems to be incorrect. With the article 'Foundation of Theory of Everything' the doors of the further study of natural state of matter have been opened and mankind can now study the nature theistically.

The contents of this article must have made it clear that the solar system; especially the planets in the solar system are of special concern to the Universal Creator because of the physical and chemical composition of these planets. But the planet earth must be of most special concern to the Universal Creator which is evident by the fact that it has everything in proper proportion to sustain life. Keeping in view the physical and chemical nature of earth and its atmosphere there could just not be any other mode of its creation excepting the fact that the Universal Creator must have created the planet earth with His special powers whereby everything is in perfect place and proportion to sustain life. Mankind was misled by the *wrong science during last one and a half centuries as the result of which doubts were created in the minds of mankind about the existence of the Universal Creator. Science dominated the world and philosophy & theology received a set back and this all had negative impact on the social structure of the mankind. We have made tremendous advances not in science but in technology. Now the technology available with the mankind could be used to explore the theistic nature of everything.*

As soon as the conditions created by the Universal Creator for stability of the universe are withdrawn the magnetic dipoles of matter will be deprived of the spin and all the Cosmic Background Radiation will be absorbed back and these magnetic dipoles will be restored to the electric dipoles to be in the state in which the universe was before the universal matter was created. That will be an end of the universe as a simple conclusion. What will remain after the doom's day would be described 'Fundamentals of Theory of Everything (Living Things).

## **Fundamentals of Theory of Everything (Living Things)**

This part of the article is in continuation to the article 'Theory of Origin and Phenomenon of Life' [9]. For complete understanding of this part of the article the reading of the said article and the book mentioned in the article is a pre-requisite.

The conclusions drawn in the said article could be summarized as under:

1. There has to be driving force within the cells, organs or organisms which could be responsible for livingness of the cells, organs or organism. This driving force has been identified as highly complex 'energy'. The main four characteristics of this highly complex energy stands identified in the said article.
2. In so far as the human beings are concerned there is 'soul' associated with every human being and 'soul' is also in the form of the 'energy' with entirely different characteristics.
3. The DNA can at the most represent the physical characteristics of the organ or the organism.

Readers must have realized by the perusal of the previous part of this article that physical appearance of the universe and the world is not all what exists, there could be other things besides what is perceivable. The existence of the ether or Luminiferous Energy though not visible has been shown to be existing beyond any reasonable doubt. Similarly the existence of the Universal Creator; also in the form of a special energy; has to be the cause behind the existence of the universe has been also established in the previous part of this article. Thus with the help of this theory we could safely conclude that there could be other forms of energies.

In the article 'Theory of Origin & Phenomenon of Life'[9] it is stated that 'soul' exists only in case of human beings and 'soul' energy contains the 'ego' of the human being and besides the 'ego' it has the innate knowledge of existence of the Universal Creator & the innate knowledge of rightness and wrongness of every action, thought or opinion.

Through this part of the article the physical characteristics of the all the types of 'energies' due to which there is the phenomenon of life on the planet earth have been conceived.

For sufficient philosophical reasons which stand described in the book 'Natural World Order &The Islamic Thought' [8] which need not be discussed in detail in this article; human beings is the only species who have 'soul'. Since human beings should be our primary concern and I would like to describe the physical characteristics of the soul energy.

The soul energy has been conceived as the energy without any electromagnetic properties and accordingly there is no time frame associated with the soul energy. Thus soul of every human being has to be eternal. All the forces in nature through which mankind could investigate into the nature of soul energy being electromagnetic interactions which as such cannot have any effect on the soul energy resultantly the nature of the soul energy cannot be scientifically and physically investigated by the mankind. This is the simplest possible conclusion one could draw and this conclusion would be valid till the Day of Judgment or Doom's Day. However the existence of the soul energy is perceivable to the human as the 'ego', innate knowledge of existence of the Universal Creator

and the innate knowledge of right and wrong of the actions, thoughts and opinions. This knowledge was with the first human beings Adam and Eve and this knowledge has been with every human being dead or alive. The human being could be the best judge about the existence of the soul energy and it was the basic task of the philosophers of the world to make mankind aware about this fundamental and basic constituent of the human being wherein purpose of human being on this planet is defined. Socrates had rightly said 'know thyself' and there being the secret of purpose of the human beings. The whole philosophy concerned with this issue is discussed in great detail in the book 'Natural World Order &The Islamic Thought' [8].

The proof that soul energy is only associated with human beings is that animal life other than human beings have no innate knowledge of right and wrong. Naturally there cannot be any 'ego' associated with the animals or plants and we could simply conclude that plant and animal life is the living matter due to the presence of the 'energy' responsible for livingness of the matter and its sole purpose of existence must be for the benefit of human beings. This fact is evidently understandable as the human beings depend on plant and animal life for survival on this planet.

Since the soul is associated with only the human beings and the soul energy being eternal; thus the creation of at least the planet earth has to be specially concerned with human beings and the life of the human beings on this planet has a special bearing with the life of the soul after the universe is restored to the form in which it existed before the matter was created. The decision of the state of existence of the soul after the Day of Judgment has to be taken on the basis of information about the actions of the human being during his life time on the planet earth. Without going into philosophical discourse, that in case the information about the actions of the life time of the human beings is not stored then the creation of the planet earth having a special bearing with the human life will not be a justified conclusion. Thus there has to be means of storage of information about the actions of life time of the human beings. These means of storage of information have to be associated with every human being.

In the book 'Natural World Order &The Islamic Thought' [8] I have conceived five types of energies namely


1. Special energy of the Universal Creator.
2. Energy with abundant qualities & properties which form the source of life in all the life forms.
3. Energy which constitutes the matter
4. Energy in the form of ether or dark energy which has been also named as Luminiferous Energy.
5. Soul energy.

As discussed in this article the energy type (1) and (5) cannot be scientifically and physically investigated. The energy type (3) and (4) stands discussed in the first part of this article. Thus, we are left with energy which forms the source of life.

It has been conceived that there could be three main types of such energies which could be responsible for the phenomena of life. All the three types of energies conceived must be having the electromagnetic properties. The most important type of energy which must be



associated with the human beings is the energy which must be in a position to store the information about the deeds of the human beings during their lifetimes. The nature and structure of this type of energy has been conceived herein and is given in **Fig.10.**



This energy though having the electromagnetic properties but microscopically or macroscopically the energy would neither behave as the electric dipole nor as the magnetic dipole. The information must be stored in this energy by change in the alignment of the charged entities as we do the same in the form of 0 and 1 in respect of the computers and other electronic devices meant for storage of information. The conception of such an energy storing the information regarding the actions of the life time of the human beings would not be an assumption which could not be acceptable because mankind has been in a position to do exactly the same. However there could be just one objection from atheistic scientists because they seem to have the notion that this information is stored in the brain but the reply would be that brain cells do suffer from apoptosis and are not regenerated and if the information is stored in brain then the information could not be withdrawn from dead cells but humans do remember the past events when the people are old. The information is stored in this energy and the brain withdraws the information from this energy. There is no need of such an energy in respect of plants and animals since these living things have no innate knowledge of right and wrong and they do as dictated by the energy which is responsible for instincts of growth, reproduction, and behavior. However in case of animals very limited working energy of such nature is provided which could help the animals in recognizing other animals, places and things.

This has a very important bearing on the philosophy of creation of the human beings and that of the planet earth. In case the information of the actions of the life time of the human being is stored in the brain, then after death all the information would be destroyed and the concept of Day of Judgment gets defeated except under one condition that the Universal Creator is recording the actions of the human beings at his level. But the most convenient option would be that information of actions of the life time is stored by an energy which has to be associated with soul energy.

Thus human being besides the matter consists of the soul, the energy responsible for storage of information regarding the actions of the life time and the energy responsible for the livingness of the human being.

It has been conceived that there could be just two main types of energies which could be responsible for livingness of the living organisms namely:

1. Energy responsible for functioning of the living cells of the living organisms.

2. Energy responsible for the instincts of the growth, reproduction and behavior of the living organism.

These energies have to be of very complex form having the defined and codified electromagnetic properties which could have the control over the well defined bio-chemical changes which take place within the cells and the organism. Biologists including microbiologists and molecular biologists, bio-chemists and genetic scientists know the bio-chemical changes which take place within the living organisms but there has to be causative factor of these well defined bio-chemical changes. Biologists have worked hard and collected sufficient information as to what is happening and they know almost everything about what is happening but the philosophical question as to how the well defined bio-chemical and other changes take place within the living organisms has not received proper attention. For understanding the phenomenon of life humans need to investigate and inquire into the causative factors responsible for phenomenon of life. This part of the article is concerned with this basic question; which is also a philosophical question; which must be addressed by biologists on priority. Darwin and others were carried away by the physical appearance of the matter and the life which led to the proposal of 'Theory of Evolution' wherein the human life has been proposed to be as the result of the evolution. The phenomenon of life has to be because of some natural force contained in the two types of 'energies' introduced herein. These energies should be cause of converting the matter into the living matter. Thus phenomenon of life could be divided by its purpose into two main classes

1. Life of human beings
2. Plant and animal life

Life of human being is special because of existence of the soul energy with which is associated the 'ego', the innate knowledge of existence of the Universal Creator and innate knowledge of right and wrong about the actions and thoughts. Plant and animal life is simply the living matter with the properties of livingness due to one type of 'energy' and properties of reproduction, growth and behavior due to the other type of energy. These characteristics have to be encoded into the 'energies' in a complex form through the electromagnetic properties of these two types of energies. This encoding must be in the form similar to the encoding in the DNA. As already stated that encoding of the physical characteristics of the organism is in the DNA whereas the encoding in the 'energies' must be that of living characteristics of the organism. The energy responsible for the livingness of the cells and the organisms could be uniform for all living organisms including human beings but the energy responsible for instincts, growth, reproduction and behavior would have different sub types depending upon the species. Readers must have realized from the first part of the article that as space, time, matter and electromagnetic radiation was not understood correctly by the scientists and the world resultantly the science misunderstood the matter and the nature of things. Same has been the case with phenomenon of life and biologists could now explore the phenomenon of life theistically. The energy due to which there is livingness and energy due to which the living organisms have senses of growth, reproduction, instincts and behavior have to be in the

form of energies having the complex and complicated electromagnetic properties which biologists and other scientists could explore. The four articles including this article, which I have produced, were to substantiate that science has wrongly conceived the material world including the phenomenon of life and there has to be a platform where science, philosophy and theology could exist in a symbiotic state. The contradictions between science, philosophy and theology has been due to the misunderstanding of all the three subjects as the universe, world and human beings contain all the replies to the questions due to which the three subjects are contradictory. The reasons due to which there are contradictions between these three subjects on the social, political and also philosophical levels have been described in the book 'Natural World Order & The Islamic Thought' [8] and on the scientific level have been discussed in this article. Strange circumstances prevailed in the nineteenth and twentieth century's at all the levels including theological level; the so-called religious scholars and philosophers did not perform in the sincere manner, resultantly the science, philosophy including political philosophy was taken over by people who had the atheistic approach to life and they forced the world to adopt the atheistic science and social structure. In view of the atheistic science and social structure which the world has adopted the most important loss to the human being is the loss of the purpose of life and once the purpose of life is lost the human beings are reduced to the living matter. This is the crux of this article that human beings are basically the 'ego' and this 'ego' is in the form of an energy which energy human beings can feel and could be investigated only philosophically and psychologically as this energy has no electromagnetic properties. The 'ego' of the human being is eternal and the state of existence of this 'ego' after the universe is restored to the form when matter was not created would depend upon as to how the human beings conducted his life in view of the innate knowledge of existence of the Universal Creator and innate knowledge of right and wrong about the actions and thoughts.

To clarify that all the five energies conceived herein which constitute the perceivable universe are of different intrinsic nature. The absence of electromagnetic properties in the energy of the Universal Creator and the soul energy should not be misunderstood as the energies being the same. The nature of the two energies has to be similar in some respects but the nature of the two in totality has to be entirely different. As the soul energy is associated with the 'ego' of the human being and in the same way there is 'Super Ego' associated with the whole of the energy of the Universal Creator which surrounds the whole of the physical universe. This is the most important commonality of the two energies and from this it could be concluded that the 'ego' is associated with the energy which has no electromagnetic properties. Such energies possess the knowledge; as in case of the human beings there is limited knowledge whereas in case of the Universal Creator the energy has all the possible knowledge. The power of every sort is with the energy of the Universal Creator whereas the soul energy of the human being is almost powerless except the power of conducting the human being during his lifetime.

Lastly, I would like to reproduce the words of Laplace (Essai Philosophique Sur les probabilités -1814) "An intellect which at a certain moment would know all forces that set nature in motion, and all positions of all items of which nature is composed, if this intellect were also vast enough to submit these data to analysis, it would embrace in a single formula the movements of the greatest bodies of the universe and those of the tiniest atom; for such an intellect nothing would be uncertain and the future just like the past would be present before its eyes". This is the exact description of the Universal Creator and the universe which has been conceived and shown through this article.

### Acknowledgement

I am thankful to S.W.Hawking and N. Gajendran for their words of encouragement. I am also thankful to M. Faizal for being in constant touch during the process of preparation of this article and for the very fruitful phonic discussions with him.

### References

1. Browne PF (1996) The Aether, Inertia and Cosmology. APEIRON, 3 (1). 14-16. [www.redshift.vif.com/journalFiles/Pre2001/Vo3](http://www.redshift.vif.com/journalFiles/Pre2001/Vo3).
2. Clerk Maxwell J (1864) A dynamical theory of the electromagnetic field. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*. 155, 459-512.
3. Thim HW (2003) Absence of the Relativistic Transverse Doppler Shift at Microwave Frequencies. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*. 52 (5), 660 - 1664.
4. Jaroslav Hyncek (2010) A note on the incorrect derivation of light deflection by a gravitational body in general relativity theory. *Physics Essays*. 23 (4), 588.
5. Kevin Brown (2010) Reflections on relativity. MathPages. Pp:726
6. Martin Kokus (1994) Cosmological Coincidences. APEIRON, Nr. 20, 1-5. (Citeseerx.ist.Psu.edu).
7. Martin Tajmar and Clovis de Matos (2006) Anti-gravity effect? Gravitational equivalent of a magnetic field measured in lab. In: Conference at ESA's European Space & Technology Research Centre ESTEC in Netherland on 21<sup>st</sup> March. (Science Daily March 26-2006).
8. Mohammad Shafiq Khan (2009) Natural World Order & The Islamic Thought. Available on [www.islamic-thought.com](http://www.islamic-thought.com).
9. Mohammad Shafiq Khan (2010a) Theory of Origin & Phenomenon of Life. *Indian J. Sci. Technol.* 3 (8), 937-942. Available on [www.indjst.org](http://www.indjst.org).
10. Mohammad Shafiq Khan (2010b) Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology published by *Indian J. Sci. & Technol.* 3 (8), 943-947. Available on [www.indjst.org](http://www.indjst.org).
11. Mohammad Shafiq Khan (2010c) Ultimate Proof of Energy Theory of Matter & Cosmology. *Indian J. Sci. & Technol.* 3 (8), 948-954. Available on [www.indjst.org](http://www.indjst.org).
12. Philipp M. Kanarev (2010) Classical and special theories of the relativity. *World Science Database*.
13. Philipp. M. Kanarev (2010) Scientific authenticity criteria. *The General Science Journal*.
14. Sahoo S (2010) Black holes and the LHC. *Ind.J.Sci. Technol.* 3 (4), 483-486. Available on [www.indjst.org](http://www.indjst.org).



15. SankarHajra (2010) Electric charge, light, gravitation and old physics. *Physical Interpretations of Relativity Theory*. xii, UK, London.
16. SankarHajra (2006) Collapse of SRT2: Earth carries along electric and magnetic fields. *Galilean Electrodynamics*. 17, 23 - 28.
17. SankarHajra (2007) Collapse of GRT: EM interactions with gravity derived from Maxwell and Newton. *Galilean Electrodynamics*.
18. SankarHajra (2010) Some experiments that shook the world. *Galilean Electrodynamics*.
19. SankarHajra and Anita Ghosh (2005) Collapse of SRT1: derivation of electrodynamic equations from the Maxwell Field Equations. *Galilean Electrodynamics*. 16(3) 63-71.