Some comments on projective quadrics subordinate to pseudo–Hermitian spaces

Arkadiusz Jadczyk[*]

Center CAIROS, Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse
Université Paul Sabatier, 31062 TOULOUSE CEDEX 9, France

November 30, 2010

Abstract

We study in some detail the structure of the projective quadric Q' obtained by taking the quotient of the isotropic cone in a standard pseudo-hermitian space $H_{p,q}$ with respect to the positive real numbers $\mathbb{R}^+$ and, further, by taking the quotient $\tilde{Q} = Q'/U(1)$. The case of signature $(1,1)$ serves as an illustration. $\tilde{Q}$ is studied as a compactification of $\mathbb{R} \times H_{p-1,q-1}$

1 Introduction

This note is a result of a discussion with Pierre Anglès of the reasoning in [2, pp. 209-212]. Pierre Anglès subsequently published a corrected derivation [3], which gives, by a different method, the results presented below. The comments below contain the material referred to as *Comments on projective quadrics subordinate to pseud–Hermitian spaces* in the References section of [3]. In their extremely clear paper [1] Woronowicz and Kopczy ski have explicitly shown the one–to–one correspondence between between null geodesics in the compactified Minkowski space $\tilde{M}$ and isotropic lines in the pseudo-hermitian space $V \approx H_{2,2}$. Below we study this correspondence for a general case of signature (p, q).

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the field of complex numbers, and let C^* be the multiplicative group of complex numbers different from zero. Using the polar decomposition we can write $C^* = \mathbb{R}^+ \times U(1)$, where $\mathbb{R}^+$ is the multiplicative group of positive real numbers and $U(1)$ is the circle group.

Let V be a complex vector space of finite dimension n, equipped with a regular pseudo–hermitian form (x, y) of signature (p, q), $p + q = n$, $p, q \geq 1$. and let Q be the isotropic cone minus the origin:

$$Q = \{x \in V : (x, x) = 0, \ x \neq 0\}.$$

---

[*]E-mail address: arkadiusz.jadczyk@cict.fr

Q is a real manifold of (real) dimension $2n-1$, and we denote by $\tilde{Q}$ the quotient manifold $\tilde{Q} = Q/\mathbb{C}^*$. Its elements are the equivalence classes: $\tilde{Q} = \{\{cx : c \in \mathbb{C}^*\}, x \in Q\}$. $\tilde{Q}$ is a real submanifold of the complex projective space $P(V)$. We denote by P the canonical projection $P : V \to P(V)$.

The projection P can be implemented in two steps: first taking the quotient with respect to $\mathbb{R}^+$ to obtain $Q' = Q/\mathbb{R}^+$, then quotienting Q' by $U(1)$ to obtain $\tilde{Q}$. We denote the corresponding projections P' and π respectively. Thus we have $P = \pi \circ P'$, and $\tilde{Q} = Q'/U(1)$. Q' and $\tilde{Q}$ are real compact manifolds of dimensions $2n - 2$ and $2n - 3$ respectively.[1]

Let T_xQ be the tangent space at $x \in Q$. Then T_xQ can be identified with a real vector subspace of V as follows. If $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto x(t) \in Q$ is a path with $x(0) = x$, then $(x(t), x(t)) = 0 \ \forall t$. Denoting by $X = \frac{dx(t)}{dt}|_{t=0}$ the tangent vector at x, and using the Leibniz rule, we get $(X, x) + (x, X) = 0$, or

$$X \in T_xQ \ \text{ if and only if } \ \mathrm{Re}((X, x)) = 0).$$

Let $T_x^{\mathbb{C}}Q = x^\perp$ be the subspace of T_xQ defined by the condition $(X, x) = 0$. Then $T_x^{\mathbb{C}}Q$ is a hyperplane in T_xQ. In fact, while T_xQ is a only a real vector space, $T_x^{\mathbb{C}}Q$ carries the structure of a complex space.

Let η_{jk} be the diagonal matrix $\eta_{jk} = \delta_{jk}$ for $j, k = 1, ..., p$, $\eta_{jk} = -\delta_{jk}$ for $j, k = p + 1, ..., p + q$. Let $H_{p,q}$ be the standard pseudo-hermitian space $\mathbb{C}^{p+q}$ equipped with the scalar product

$$f(u, v) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \eta_{jk} \, u^j \bar{v}^k.$$

$H_{p,q}$ is the direct sum of two subspaces $H_{p,q} = H_{p,q}^+ \oplus H_{p,q}^-$ spanned by the first p (resp. last q) vectors of the standard basis. Every orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}$, $(e_j, e_k) = \eta_{jk}$ in V determines an isometry $\phi_e : H_{p,q} \to V$ and determines an orthogonal direct sum decomposition $V = \phi_e(H_{p,q}^+) \oplus \phi_e(H_{p,q}^-)$ into a positive and a negative subspace. We call such a decomposition a "split". We denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the set of all splits of V. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is a homogeneous space (in fact, it is a Kähler manifold) for the unitary group $U(V)$, isomorphic to $U(p, q)/(U(p) \times U(q))$.

1.1 The topology of $Q' = P'(Q)$.

Let $\{e_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis in V, so that we can identify V with $H^{p,q}$. The equation of Q becomes then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} |z^j|^2 = \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} |z^j|^2 \neq 0.$$

---

[1]In fact, we will see that Q' is diffeomorphic to the product of two odd–dimensional spheres $S^{2p-1} \times S^{2q-1}$.

Consider the submanifold S_1 of $H^{p,q}$ defined by the formula

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} |z^j|^2 = \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} |z^j|^2 = 1. \tag{1}$$

Then S_1 is the product of two spheres $S_1 = S^{2p-1} \times S^{2q-1}$ and the projection P' restricted to S_1 is a diffeomorphism from S_1 to $Q' = P'(Q)$. The representation of Q' as a product of two spheres will, in general, depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis, more specifically: on the split determined by the basis.

Somewhat more generally, let $s \in \mathcal{S}$ be a split, so that V is decomposed into a direct (orthogonal) sum $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$ of positive and negative subspaces. Defining $\|x\| = (x,x)$ on V_+, and $\|x\| = -(x,x)$ on V_-, each isotropic vector $x \in Q$ decomposes into a sum $x = x_+ + x_-$, with $x_+ \in V_+$, $x_- \in V_-$, and $\|x_+\| = \|x_-\| = R(x) > 0$. Rescaling $x \mapsto x/R(x)$ we get the unique representative of the equivalence class $\mathbb{R}^+ x$ of x with $R(x) = 1$. In other words, if we define

$$Q_s = \{x \in Q : \|x_+\| = \|x_-\| = 1\},$$

then Q_s defines a global cross section of the projection $P' : Q \to Q'$, and a diffeomorphism of Q' onto the product of the two unit spheres, one in V_+ and one in V_-.

1.2 The conformal structure of Q'

Let a be a point of Q' and let x be an isotropic vector in Q with $P(x) = a$. The tangent space $T_x Q$ is equipped with the (real) bilinear form $f_x(X,Y) = \mathrm{Re}((X,Y))$. Notice that the vector x itself can be considered as an element of $T_x Q$, and that the line $\mathbb{R}x$ is the radical of the bilinear form f_x, and is the kernel of the tangent map $(dP')_x$:

$$\mathbb{R} = \{y \in T_x Q : f_x(y,z) = 0 \ \forall z \in T_x Q\} = \{y \in T_x Q : (dP')_x(y) = 0\}.$$

It follows that the form f_x induces a regular bilinear form, which we denote g_x on the tangent space $T_a Q'$.

Lemma 1. *With the notation as above, if $\lambda > 0$ then $g_{\lambda x} = \lambda^2 g_x$.*

Proof. Let $a_1(t), a_2(t)$, $a_1(0) = a_2(0) = a$, be two paths in Q' with tangent vectors $\dot{a}_1(0)$ and $\dot{a}_2(0)$ respectively. Let x_1, x_2 be the lifts: $P'(x_1(t)) = a_1(t)$, $P'(x_2(t)) = a_2(t)$, $x_1(0) = x_2(0) = x$. Then, according to the definition of g_x, we have that[2]

$$g_x(\dot{a}_1(0), \dot{a}_2(0)) = f_x(\dot{x}_1(0), \dot{x}_2(0)).$$

Let $\lambda > 0$, then $x'_1(t) = \lambda x_1(t)$ and $x'_2(t) = \lambda x_2(t)$ are lifts through λx of $a_1(t)$ and $a2(t)$ respectively, with tangent vectors $\lambda \dot{x}_1$, $\lambda \dot{x}_2$. Thus
$g_{\lambda x}(\dot{a}_1(0), \dot{a}_2(0)) = \lambda^2 g_x(\dot{a}_1(0), \dot{a}_2(0))$. $\qquad \square$

---

[2]Notice that this expression does not depend on the choice of the lifts, the reason being that vectors tangent to two lifts will differ by vectors in the kernel of $(dP')_x$, that is in $\mathbb{R}x$, which is orthogonal to all vectors in $T_x Q$.

It follows from the above lemma that what is independent of the choice of x in $P'^{-1}(a)$ is the conformal class of g_x and, in particular, the signature, which, by construction, is $(2p-1, 2q-1)$. [3]

In order to proceed further on notice that we have the following, easy to prove, lemma:

Lemma 2. *Given a vector $x \in Q$ there exists an orthonormal basis e such that $x = e_1 + e_n$.*

Proof. Take any orthonormal basis $\{e'_i\}$. The vector $x' = e'_1 + e'_n$ is isotropic. We know that the automorphism group of V acts transitively on isotropic lines (see e.g., [?, p. 74, Corollaire 2]). Let U be any automorphism of V with the property $x = Ux'$, and let $e_i = Ue'_i$. Then $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V and $x = e_1 + e_n$. □

Each tangent space $T_x(Q)$ is also equipped with a skew-symmetric bilinear form F_x defined by

$$F_x(X, Y) = \operatorname{Im}(X, Y), \ X, Y \in T_x(Q).$$

However the form F_x does not descend to the quotient $Q' = Q/\mathbb{R}x$ because, owing to the fact that, for instance, ie_1 is in $T_x(Q)$ but $F_s(x, ie_1) = 1$, we find that x is not in the radical of F_x. But F_x, **when restricted to** $x^\perp$, does descend to a skew–symmetric bilinear form on $(dP')_x(x^\perp)$.

Because of the lemma above it is instructive to consider first the case of $p = 1$, $q = 1$.

1.3 The case of the signature $(1, 1)$.

With $x \in Q$, let (e_1, e_2) be the orthonormal basis $(e_1, e_1) = 1$, $(e_2, e_2) = -1$, with $x = e_1 + e_2$, and let $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}$ $f_1 = e_1 + e_2 = x$, $f_2 = i(e_1 + e_2)$, $f_3 = i(e_1 - e_2)$, $f_4 = (e_1 - e_2)$. The tangent space $T_x Q$ is spanned by the vectors $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$, the complex orthogonal space $x^\perp$ is spanned by the vectors $\{f_1, f_2\}$. Let $a = P'(x)$. Notice that $(dP')_x(f_1) = 0$, while $(dP')_x$ is a bijection from the plane spanned by $\{f_2, f_3\}$ onto $T_a Q'$. Denoting $\epsilon_1 = (dP')_x(ie_1)$, $\epsilon_2 = (dP')_x(ie_2)$, the vectors ϵ_1, ϵ_2 form an orthonormal basis in $T_a Q'$ for the induced bilinear form g_x :

$$g_x(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) = -g_x(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) = 1, \ g_x(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = 0.$$

Q' is now the torus $S^1 \times S^1$ given by the formula (1), now becoming:

$$|z^1|^2 = |z^2|^2 = 1.$$

---

[3]The fact that the conformal class of the induced metric on Q_s does not depend on the choice of the split s is by no means evident if the two induced metrics are computed using two different orthonormal bases related by a general $U(p, q)$ transformation and then compared.

Writing $z^1 = \cos(\phi_1) + i\sin(\phi_1)$, $z^2 = \cos(\phi_2) + i\sin(\phi_2)$, the pseudo Riemannian metric g_x of Q', when expressed in the natural torus coordinates ϕ_1, ϕ_2 is diagonal $g_x = diag(1, -1)$. The action $z \mapsto \exp(i\phi)z$ of $U(1)$ on Q translates to the action $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \mapsto (\phi_1 + \phi, \phi_2 + \phi)$ on the torus. The tangent vector to the orbit of this action at x is f_2 that projects onto $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ at $T_a Q'$. Taking the quotient of Q' by this action we get $\tilde{Q}$ as the circle $\tilde{Q} = Q/\mathbb{C}x = S^1$. The image of $x^\perp = \mathbb{C}x$ by $(dP)_x$ consists of one point - the zero vector. Since $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ is a null vector for the metric g_x, there is no distinguished subspace transversal to the fiber, therefore no metric whatsoever is generated by dP on $\tilde{Q}$.

1.4   The structure of $\tilde{Q}$

Given a split $s \in \mathcal{S}$, let $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$ be the corresponding decomposition V. Every vector $x \in Q$ can be then uniquely represented as $x = x_+ + x_-$, so that $(x_+, x_+) = (x_-, x_-)$. With

$$Q_s = \{x \in Q : \ (x_+, x_+) = (x_-, x_-) = 1\},$$

the map $P : Q \to Q'$, when restricted to Q_s, becomes a diffeomorphism. The $U(1)$ action $x \mapsto cx$, $|c| = 1$ leaves Q_s invariant. (Q', π) is a $U(1)$ principal fibre bundle over $\tilde{Q}$. Given a split s, Q' is endowed with the pseudo–Riemannian metric g_s that is automatically $U(1)$–invariant.

Given a non–degenerate pseudo-Riemannian metric on a principal bundle, the standard method of obtaining the metric on the base space is by taking the orthogonal complement to the fibers. This method works when the orthogonal complement is transversal to the fibers. Yet in our case the vectors tangent to the fibers are isotropic, therefore the orthogonal complement is not transversal to the fibers. Nevertheless, we can obtain a natural, though degenerate, scalar product g on the cotangent bundle of $\tilde{Q}$. as follows:

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be as split, let $a \in \tilde{Q}$, and let ω, ω' be two one-forms in the cotangent space $T_a^* \tilde{Q}$. Let $b \in Q'$ be a point in the fibre $\pi^{-1}(a)$. The pullbacks $\pi_* \omega, \pi * \omega'$ are invariant one-forms defined at the points of the fibre $\pi^{-1}(a)$. We can therefore calculate the scalar product $g_x^*(\pi_* \omega, \pi_* \omega')$, at any point of the fibre, and, owing to the fact that the forms and the metric are invariant, the result is independent of the chosen point. Since the scalar products corresponding to different choices of x differ only by a scale factor, the same is true about the induced contravariant symmetric scalar product on the cotangent bundle of $\tilde{Q}$. The scalar product so obtained is degenerate. Indeed, any form that vanishes on the image $(dP')_x(x^\perp)$ is in the radical of g_x^*.

There is another way of looking at this construction.

Let W_1 be a subspace of a real vector space W, and let f_1 be a non–degenerate symmetric bilinear form on W_1. Let $\iota : W_1 \to W$ be the canonical inclusion map, and let $\iota^* : W^* \to W_1^*$ be its dual. The bilinear form f_1 can be considered as a map $f_1 : W_1 \to W_1^*$, and, since we assume it to be non–degenerate, there

exists the inverse $f_1^* : W_1^* \to W_1$. We can then define $f^* : W^* \to W$ by

$$f^* = \iota \circ f_1^* \circ \iota^*.$$

The map f^* can now be considered as a bilinear form on W^* and it is easy to see that, by construction, it is symmetric. Moreover, its radical consists of the forms $\omega \in W^*$ that vanish on the image $\iota(W_1)$.

1.5 $\tilde{Q}$ as a compactification of $\mathbb{R} \times H_{p-1,q-1}$

Let $a, b \in \tilde{Q}$.

Definition 1. *We write $a \perp b$ if and only if $a = P(x)$, $b = P(y)$, where $x, y \in Q$, and $(x, y) = 0$. Given $a \in \tilde{Q}$ we define*

$$a^\perp = \{ b \in \tilde{Q} : \ a \perp b. \}.$$

It can be seen that $a^\perp$ is a closed subset of $\tilde{Q}$.

Let us fix $a \in \tilde{Q}$, and let $x \in Q$ be such that $P(x) = a$. We recall that $x^\perp$ is a complex vector subspace of V that carries a degenerate sesquilinear form inherited from the scalar product of V, with radical $\mathbb{C}x$. Therefore the quotient space

$$M \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{=} x^\perp / \mathbb{C}x$$

carries the pseudo-Hermitian form of signature $(p - 1, q - 1)$. We can realize M as follows: choose $u \in Q$ such that $(u, x) = 1$. [4] Let M_u be the orthogonal complement of $\{x, u\}$ in V. Then the scalar product of V restricted to M_u is of signature $(p - 1, q - 1)$, we evidently have $M_u \subset x^\perp$, and the projection $x^\perp \to x^\perp / \mathbb{C}x$ restricted to M_u is easily seen to be a bijection.

We will construct now a bijection κ from $\mathbb{R} \times M_u$ onto $\tilde{Q} \setminus a^\perp \subset \tilde{Q}$.

Given $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in M_u$ define

$$\kappa_0(r, y) = y + u + \left(-\frac{1}{2}(y, y) + ri \right) x.$$

Notice that the coefficient in front of x has the imaginary part r. It is easy to see that, automatically, $\kappa_0(r, y) \in Q$ and also $(x, \kappa_0(r, y)) = 1$.

We define now $\kappa = P \circ \kappa_0$. It is easy to check that κ is injective. It remains to show that it is a surjection from $\mathbb{R} \times M_u$ onto $\tilde{Q} \setminus a^\perp$. Given $b \in \tilde{Q} \setminus a^\perp$, let z' be any point in $P^{-1}(b)$. Then, since b is not in $a^\perp$, we have that $(z', x) = a \neq 0$. Taking $z = z'/a$, we still have $P(z) = b$, but now $(z, x) = 1$. Now, z can be uniquely written in the form $z = y + \alpha u + \beta x$, where $y \in M_u$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. From $(z, x) = 1$ we find that $\alpha = 1$, and from $(z, z) = 0$ we get that $\mathrm{Re}(\beta) = -\frac{1}{2}(y, y)$. Putting $r = \mathrm{Im}(\beta)$ we get $b = \kappa(r, y)$.

---

[4] Such a choice is always possible, for instance, by using Lemma 2, we can set $x = e_1 + e_n$, $u = (e_1 - e_n)/2$.

1.5.1 The structure of $a^\perp$.

Proposition 1. *With the notation as above,*

$$a^\perp \cong \{1\} \cup \mathbb{R} \times (S^{p-2} \times S^{q-2})/S^1.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis such that $x = e_1 + e_n$. Then any vector $y \in x^\perp$ is of the form

$$y = \alpha x + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \alpha^j e_j.$$

Such a vector y is in Q if and only if $\sum_{j=2}^{p} |\alpha^j|^2 = \sum_{j=p+1}^{n-1} |\alpha_j|^2$. If all α^j are zero, then, necessarily, $\alpha \neq 0$, and we can choose a unique representative of the equivalence class with $\alpha = 1$. This give s the point $\{1\}$. If at leat one of the α^j is non–zero, then $\sum_{j=2}^{p} |\alpha^j|^2 = \sum_{j=p+1}^{n-1} |\alpha_j|^2 \neq 0$ and we can use the freedom of real scaling to get $\sum_{j=2}^{p} |\alpha^j|^2 = \sum_{j=p+1}^{n-1} |\alpha_j|^2 = 1$. The remaining freedom of $U(1)$ gives us $(S^{p-2} \times S^{q-2})/S^1$. The α coefficient remains still arbitrary, thus the result follows. $\qquad\square$

2 Acknowldegements

The author wants to express all his thanks to Pierre Anglés for a fruitful and constructive discussion.

References

[1] W. Kopczyński and L. S. Woronowicz, *A geometrical approach to the twistor formalism*, Rep. Math. Phys. Vol **2**, pp. 35–51 (1971)

[2] Pierre Angles, *Conformal Groups in Geometry and Spin Structures*, Birkhauser, Progress in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 50 (2008)

[3] Pierre Anglès, *Study of some fundamental projective quadrics associated with a standard pseudo-Hermitian space $H_{p,q}$*, To appear in *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*