

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF THE
NON-EXISTENCE OF ANY NON-TRIVIAL
SOLUTIONS TO THE ERDŐS-MOSER
DIOPHANTINE EQUATION

$$1^N + 2^N + \dots + (M - 1)^N + M^N = (M + 1)^N$$

ARKOPROBHO CHAKRABORTY

28th November, 2009

Abstract

Erdős had conjectured that the equation of the title had no solutions in natural numbers except the trivial $1^1 + 2^1 = 3^1$. Moser (1953) had shown that there are no solutions for $M + 1 < 10^{10^6}$. Butske *et al* (1993) had further shown that there are no solutions for $M + 1 < 9.3 \times 10^6$. In this paper I show that a solution to this equation cannot exist for any value of $M > 2$ hence proving Erdős' conjecture. This is achieved using elementary number theoretic methods employing congruences and well-known identities.

1 Introduction

It is first assumed that a solution to the Diophantine equation in question exists. Therefore, being a natural number it should be either odd or even. Considering residues of derivative expressions modulo 4, 8, and 16 I show that this "solution" can neither be odd nor even. Hence, I show that this equation has no solutions.

2 Notation

All notations used are as in standard mathematical texts. Specifically

\mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers.

\mathbb{Z} is the set of integers.

If a non-zero integer a divides b i.e if there exists an integer c such that $ac = b$ then we write $a|b$.

Again, if a is congruent to b modulo m then we write $a \equiv b$ modulo m .

A solution to the Erdős-Moser equation such that $M = k$ and $N = n$ is written as (k, n) .

3 Lemmata

Lemma 1

Let $a, b, c, m \neq 0, n \geq 0$ be integers. Then,

1. $a \equiv b$ modulo $m, b \equiv a$ modulo m and $a - b \equiv 0$ modulo m are equivalent statements.
2. If $a \equiv b$ modulo m and $b \equiv c$ modulo m then $a \equiv c$ modulo m .
3. If $a \equiv b$ modulo m then $(a + c) \equiv (b + c)$ modulo m .
4. If $a \equiv b$ modulo m then $ac \equiv bc$ modulo m .
5. If $a \equiv b$ modulo m and $m \equiv 0$ modulo c where $c \neq 0$ then $a \equiv b$ modulo c .

Proof :

1. Suppose $a \equiv b$ modulo m . Then, by definition, $m|(a - b)$ i.e. $m|(b - a)$ which implies $m|(b - a)$. Therefore, by definition, $b \equiv a$ modulo m . Again, $m|(a - b)$ implies $m|(a - b) - 0$ which implies $a - b \equiv 0$ modulo m .
2. Since $a \equiv b$ modulo m therefore $m|(a - b)$. Thus, $a - b = mx$, for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, $b - c = my$, for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $a - c = (a - b) + (b - c) = mx + my = m(x + y)$ i.e. $m|(a - c)$. Hence, $a \equiv c$ modulo m .
3. Since $a \equiv b$ modulo m therefore $m|(a - b)$. Thus, $a - b = mx$, for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Observe that, $(a + c) - (b + c) = a - b = mx$. Hence, $(a + c) \equiv (b + c)$ modulo m .
4. Since $a \equiv b$ modulo m therefore $m|(a - b)$ i.e. $a - b = mx$, for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(a - b)c = mx c$ i.e. $ac - bc = mx c$. Therefore $m|(ac - bc)$ which implies $ac \equiv bc$ modulo m .
5. Since $a \equiv b$ modulo m therefore $m|(a - b) \Rightarrow (a - b) = mx$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, $m \equiv 0$ modulo $c \Rightarrow m = cy$ for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $(a - b) = cxy$. Hence, $a \equiv b$ modulo c .

Lemma 2

If a, b and n are integers such that $a \neq b$ then $a^n - b^n \equiv 0$ modulo $(a - b)$ or $a^n \equiv b^n$ modulo $(a - b)$.

Proof :

We have the identity $a^n - b^n = (a - b)(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}b + a^{n-3}b^2 + \dots + a^2b^{n-3} + ab^{n-2} + b^{n-1})$. Since, a and b are integers therefore all terms of the form $a^x b^y$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ are integers. Thus, $a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}b + a^{n-3}b^2 + \dots + a^2b^{n-3} + ab^{n-2} + b^{n-1}$ is an integer. Therefore $(a - b)|(a^n - b^n)$. Hence, $a^n \equiv b^n$ modulo $(a - b)$ or $a^n - b^n \equiv 0$ modulo $(a - b)$.

Lemma 3

If $a, b, m \neq 0$ and $n \geq 0$ are integers such that $a \equiv b$ modulo m then $a^n \equiv b^n$ modulo m .

Proof :

We have $a \equiv b$ modulo m

$$\Rightarrow a - b \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } m.$$

$\Rightarrow (a - b)(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}b + a^{n-3}b^2 + \dots + a^2b^{n-3} + ab^{n-2} + b^{n-1}) \equiv 0$ modulo m (using Lemma 1.4)

$$\Rightarrow a^n - b^n \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } m$$

$$\Rightarrow a^n \equiv b^n \text{ modulo } m$$

Lemma 4

If a and n are integers then $(a - 1)^n \equiv \pm 1$ modulo a .

Proof:

We have, $a \equiv 0$ modulo a

$$\Rightarrow (a - 1) \equiv (-1) \text{ modulo } a$$

$$\Rightarrow (a - 1)^n \equiv (-1)^n \text{ modulo } a$$

$$\Rightarrow (a - 1)^n \equiv \pm 1 \text{ modulo } a$$

Lemma 5

If there exists a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $S = \{a_i | 1 \leq i \leq t, t \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i^{2m} \equiv 0$ modulo $4, m \in \mathbb{N}$ then the number of odd elements in the set S is a multiple of 4.

Proof :

Let us partition the set S into disjoint subsets $S_1 = \{\text{set of all even numbers} \in S\}$ and $S_2 = \{\text{set of all odd numbers} \in S\}$.

Now, any number $e \in S_1$ is of the form $4f$ or $4f + 2$, where $f \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $e \equiv 0, 2$ modulo 4 $\Rightarrow e^2 \equiv 0$ modulo 4.

Likewise, for any number $g \in S_2$, $g \equiv 1, 3$ modulo 4 $\Rightarrow g^2 \equiv 1, 9 \equiv 1$ modulo 4.

Let, the number of elements in S_1 be n_e and the number of S_2 be n_o . Then, $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i^{2m} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^t (a_i^2)^m \equiv n_e \cdot (0^m) + n_o \cdot (1^m)$ modulo 4

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^t a_i^{2m} \equiv n_o$ modulo 4. Now, $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i^{2m} \equiv 0$ modulo 4. Therefore, Lemma 1.2 $\Rightarrow n_o \equiv 0$ modulo 4.

Therefore, the number of elements in S_2 must be a multiple of 4.

Now, the number of elements in S_2 = the number of odd elements in S .

Hence, the number of elements in S must be a multiple of 4.

Lemma 6

If there exists a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $S = \{a_i | 1 \leq i \leq t, t \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i^{2m} \equiv 0$ modulo 8, then (number of odd elements in S) + (number of elements in S of the form $8f \pm 2, f \in \mathbb{N}$) $\cdot (4^m) \equiv 0$ modulo 8.

Proof :

Let us partition the set S into disjoint subsets $S_1 = \{\text{set of all numbers of the form } 8f + 2 \in S, f \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $S_2 = \{\text{set of all numbers of the form } 8f - 2 \in S, f \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $S_3 = \{\text{set of all odd numbers } \in S\}$ and $S_4 = \{\text{set of all numbers of the form } 8f \text{ or } 8f + 4 \in S, f \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Now, for any number $e \in S_1$, $e \equiv 2$ modulo 8 $\Rightarrow e^2 \equiv 4$ modulo 8. Similarly, for any number $g \in S_2$, $g \equiv -2$ modulo 8.

Likewise, for any $h \in S_3$, $h \equiv \pm 1, \pm 3$ modulo 8 $\Rightarrow h^2 \equiv 1, 9 \equiv 1$ modulo 8 while for any number $x \in S_4$, $x \equiv 0, \pm 4$ modulo 8 $\Rightarrow x^2 \equiv 0, 16 \equiv 0$ modulo 8.

Let, the number of elements in S_1 be n_{e1} , the number of elements in S_2 be n_{e2} , the number of elements in S_3 be n_o and the number of elements in S_4 be n_r .

Then, $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i^{2m} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^t (a_i^2)^m \equiv (n_{e1} + n_{e2}) \cdot (4^m) + n_o \cdot (1^m) + n_r \cdot (0^m) \equiv n_o + (n_{e1} + n_{e2}) \cdot (4^m)$ modulo 8.

Now, $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i^{2m} \equiv 0$ modulo 8. Therefore, Lemma 1.2 $\Rightarrow n_o + (n_{e1} + n_{e2}) \cdot (4^m) \equiv 0$ modulo 8.

Now, n_{e1} is the number of elements of S of the form $8f - 2$ and n_{e2} is the number of elements of S of the form $8f + 2$, $f \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, $n_{e1} + n_{e2}$ is the number of elements in S of the form $8f \pm 2$, $f \in \mathbb{N}$ while n_o is the number of odd elements in S .

Hence, the result.

Lemma 7

If there exists a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $S = \{a_i | 1 \leq i \leq t, t \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i^{2m} \equiv r$ modulo 16, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then (number of elements in S of the forms $16f \pm 1$ and $16f \pm 7, f \in \mathbb{N}$) + (number of elements in S of the forms $16f \pm 2$ and $16f \pm 6, f \in \mathbb{N}$) $\cdot (4^m)$ + (number of elements in S of the forms $16f \pm 3$ and $16f \pm 5, f \in \mathbb{N}$) $\cdot (9^m) \equiv r$ modulo 8.

Proof :

Let us partition the set S into disjoint subsets $S_1 = \{\text{set of all elements of } S \text{ of the forms } 16f, 16f \pm 4 \text{ and } 16f \pm 8, f \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $S_2 = \{\text{set of all elements of } S \text{ of the forms } 16f \pm 1 \text{ and } 16f \pm 7, f \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $S_3 = \{\text{set of all elements of } S \text{ of the forms } 16f \pm 2 \text{ and } 16f \pm 6, f \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $S_4 = \{\text{set of all elements of } S \text{ of the forms } 16f \pm 3 \text{ and } 16f \pm 5, f \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Now, for any element e_1 of S_1 , $e_1 \equiv 0, \pm 4, \pm 8$ modulo 16 $\Rightarrow e_1^2 \equiv 0, 16, 64 \equiv 0$ modulo 16, for any element e_2 of S_2 , $e_2 \equiv \pm 1, \pm 7$ modulo 16 $\Rightarrow e_2^2 \equiv 1, 49 \equiv 1$ modulo 16, for any element e_3 of S_3 , $e_3 \equiv \pm 2, \pm 6$ modulo 16 $\Rightarrow e_3^2 \equiv 4, 36 \equiv 4$ modulo 16 and for any element e_4 of S_4 , $e_4 \equiv \pm 3, \pm 5$ modulo 16 $\Rightarrow e_4^2 \equiv 9, 25 \equiv 9$ modulo 16.

Let, the number of elements in S_1 be n_{e1} , the number of elements in S_2 be n_{e2} , the number of elements in S_3 be n_{e3} and the number of elements in S_4 be n_{e4} .

Then, $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i^{2m} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^t (a_i^2)^m \equiv n_{e1} \cdot (0^m) + n_{e2} \cdot (1^m) + n_{e3} \cdot (4^m) + n_{e4} \cdot (9^m) \equiv n_{e2} + n_{e3} \cdot (4^m) + n_{e4} \cdot (9^m)$ modulo 16.

Now, $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i^{2m} \equiv r$ modulo 16. Therefore, Lemma 1.2 $\Rightarrow n_{e2} + n_{e3} \cdot (4^m) + n_{e4} \cdot (9^m) \equiv r$ modulo 16.

Now, n_{e2} is the number of elements in S of the forms $16f \pm 1$ and $16f \pm 7$, n_{e3} is the number of elements in S of the forms $16f \pm 2$ and $16f \pm 6$ and n_{e4} is the number of elements in S of the forms $16f \pm 3$ and $16f \pm 5, f \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, the result.

4 THE PROOF PROPER

.Let us assume that there exists a non-trivial solution to the Erdős-Moser equation. We shall denote this solution by (k, n) . Evidently $k \in \mathbb{N}$. (∃)

Obviously, $3^n = (2 + 1)^n > 2^n + 1^n$ for any $n > 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, k must be greater than 2.

$$\text{Now, } 1^n + 2^n + 3^n + \dots + (k-1)^n + k^n = (k+1)^n \quad (1)$$

$$\Rightarrow 2^n + 3^n + \dots + (k-1)^n + k^n = (k+1)^n - 1^n$$

$$\Rightarrow k | (2^n + 3^n + \dots + (k-1)^n + k^n) \text{ (using Lemma 1.4)}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } 2^n + 3^n + \dots + (k-2)^n + (k-1)^n \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } k \quad (2)$$

Again, $k - x \equiv -x$ modulo k for any $x \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Rightarrow (k-x)^n \equiv (-x)^n \text{ modulo } k \quad (3)$$

$$\Rightarrow (k-x)^n + x^n \equiv x^n + (-x)^n \text{ modulo } k \quad (4)$$

Assume n is odd

If k is odd then $2^n + 3^n + \dots + (k-2)^n + (k-1)^n \equiv (k-1)^n + \sum_{x=2}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} x^n + (-x)^n$ modulo k

$$\text{but } \sum_{x=2}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} x^n + (-x)^n = \sum_{x=2}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} 0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2^n + 3^n + \dots + (k-2)^n + (k-1)^n \equiv (k-1)^n \text{ modulo } k$$

Therefore, (2) $\Rightarrow (k-1)^n \equiv 0$ modulo k which is absurd! (Using (3))

If k is even then $2^n + 3^n + \dots + (k-2)^n + (k-1)^n \equiv (k-1)^n + \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^n + \sum_{x=2}^{\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)} x^n + (-x)^n$ modulo k

$$\text{but } \sum_{x=2}^{\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)} x^n + (-x)^n = \sum_{x=2}^{\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)} 0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2^n + 3^n + \dots + (k-2)^n + (k-1)^n \equiv (k-1)^n + \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^n \text{ modulo } k$$

$$\text{Therefore, (2)} \Rightarrow (k-1)^n + \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^n \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } k \quad (5a)$$

$$(5a) \ \& \ (3) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^n + (-1)^n \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } k \quad \Rightarrow \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^n \equiv 1 \text{ modulo } k \quad (5b)$$

Let $k = 2b$ then (5b) $\Rightarrow b^n \equiv 1 \pmod{2b} \Rightarrow b^n \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ which is absurd!

Therefore, n must be even.

Let $n = 2n_1$, $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{Therefore, } 1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k-1)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1} = (k+1)^{2n_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k-3)^{2n_1} + (k-2)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1} = (k+1)^{2n_1} - (k-1)^{2n_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow 4k|(1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k-3)^{2n_1} + (k-2)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1})$$

$$\text{Therefore, } 1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k-3)^{2n_1} + (k-2)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1} \equiv 0 \pmod{4k} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{If } n_1 = 1 \text{ then (1) } \Rightarrow 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + (k-1)^2 + k^2 = (k+1)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} = (k+1)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow 2k^2 - 5k - 6 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k = \frac{5+\sqrt{73}}{4} \notin \mathbb{N}$$

Therefore, $n_1 > 1$

$$\Rightarrow 4^{n_1} = 16x(\text{some power of } 4) \Rightarrow 4^{n_1} \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \quad (7)$$

Case I : Assume k is odd.

$$\text{Now, (6)} \Rightarrow 1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k-3)^{2n_1} + (k-2)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$

Therefore, Lemma 5 implies that the number of odd elements in the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, (k-3), (k-2), k\}$ is a multiple of 4.

$$\text{Now, number of odd elements in the set } \{1, 2, 3, \dots, (k-3), (k-2), k\} = \frac{k-1}{2} + 1 = \frac{k+1}{2}$$

Therefore, $\frac{k+1}{2} = 4m$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow k = 8m - 1$ (8)

Now, (8) $\Rightarrow k + 1 \equiv 0$ modulo 8

Therefore, (1) $\Rightarrow 1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k-1)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1} \equiv 0$ modulo 8

Consider the set $S = \{1, 2, \dots, (8m-2), (8m-1)\}$.

Number of odd elements in $S = 4m$

Number of elements of the form $8f - 2$ in $S = m$

Number of elements of the form $8f + 2$ in $S = m - 1$ where $f \in \mathbb{N}$

Therefore, Lemma 6 $\Rightarrow 4^{n_1}(2m-1) + 4m \equiv 0$ modulo 8

Therefore, (7) $\Rightarrow 4m \equiv 0$ modulo 8 $\Rightarrow m$ is even.

Let $m = 2m_1$. Therefore, $k = 16m_1 - 1$ (9)

Now, (9) $\Rightarrow k + 1 \equiv 0$ modulo 16

Therefore, (1) $\Rightarrow 1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k-1)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1} \equiv 0$ modulo 16

Consider the set $S' = \{1, 2, \dots, (16m_1-2), (16m_1-1)\}$.

Number of elements of the form $16f - 1$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 1$ in $S' = m_1 - 1$

Number of elements of the form $16f - 7$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 7$ in $S' = m_1 - 1$

Number of elements of the form $16f - 2$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 2$ in $S' = m_1 - 1$

Number of elements of the form $16f - 6$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 6$ in $S' = m_1 - 1$

Number of elements of the form $16f - 3$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 3$ in $S' = m_1 - 1$

Number of elements of the form $16f - 5$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 5$ in $S' = m_1 - 1$ where $f \in \mathbb{N}$

Therefore, Lemma 7 $\Rightarrow (4m_1 - 2)(1^{n_1} + 4^{n_1} + 9^{n_1}) \equiv 0$ modulo 16

$$(7) \Rightarrow (4m_1 - 2)(1 + 9^{n_1}) \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } 16 \quad (10)$$

If n_1 is even then $n_1 = 2n_2$, $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Rightarrow 9^{n_1} \equiv 81^{n_2} \equiv 1^{n_2} \equiv 1 \text{ modulo } 16$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + 9^{n_1} \equiv 2 \text{ modulo } 16$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 + 9^{n_1})(4m_1 - 2) \equiv 2(4m_1 - 2) \text{ modulo } 16$$

Therefore, (10) $\Rightarrow 2(4m_1 - 2) \equiv 0$ modulo 16

$$\Rightarrow 2(4m_1 - 2) = 16t, t \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2m_1 - 1 = 4t \text{ which is absurd!}$$

Again, if n_1 is odd then $n_1 = 2n_2 + 1$, $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Rightarrow 9^{n_1} \equiv 81^{n_2} \cdot 9 \equiv 1^{n_2} \cdot 9 \equiv 9 \text{ modulo } 16$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + 9^{n_1} \equiv 10 \text{ modulo } 16$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 + 9^{n_1})(4m_1 - 2) \equiv 10(4m_1 - 2) \text{ modulo } 16$$

Therefore, (10) $\Rightarrow 10(4m_1 - 2) \equiv 0$ modulo 16

$\Rightarrow 10(4m_1 - 2) = 16t$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$

$\Rightarrow 5(2m_1 - 1) = 4t$ which is absurd!

Hence, k cannot be odd.

Case II :

Assume k is even.

Now, (6) $\Rightarrow 1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k-3)^{2n_1} + (k-2)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1} \equiv 0$ modulo 4

Therefore, Lemma 5 implies that the number of odd elements in the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, (k-3), (k-2), k\}$ is a multiple of 4.

Now, number of odd elements in the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, (k-3), (k-2), k\} = \frac{k}{2} - 1$

Therefore, $\frac{k}{2} - 1 = 4m$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow k = 8m + 2$ (11)

Now, (11) $\Rightarrow k \equiv 2$ modulo 8 $\Rightarrow 4k \equiv 8 \equiv 0$ modulo 8

Therefore, (6) and Lemma 1.5 $\Rightarrow 1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k-3)^{2n_1} + (k-2)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1} \equiv 0$ modulo 8

Consider the set $S = \{1, 2, \dots, (8m-2), (8m-1), 8m, (8m+2)\}$.

Number of odd elements in $S = 4m$

Number of elements of the form $8f - 2$ in $S = m$

Number of elements of the form $8f + 2$ in $S = m$ where $f \in \mathbb{N}$

Therefore, Lemma 6 $\Rightarrow 4^{n_1} \cdot 2m + 4m \equiv 0$ modulo 8

Therefore, (7) $\Rightarrow 4m \equiv 0$ modulo 8 $\Rightarrow m$ is even.

$$\text{Let } m = 2m_1. \text{ Therefore, } k = 16m_1 + 2 \quad (12)$$

$$\Rightarrow k \equiv 2 \text{ modulo } 16 \quad (13)$$

$$\Rightarrow k + 1 \equiv 3 \text{ modulo } 16 \Rightarrow (k + 1)^{2n_1} \equiv 9^{n_1} \text{ modulo } 16 \quad (14)$$

Again, (13) $\Rightarrow k - 1 \equiv 1 \text{ modulo } 16$

$$\Rightarrow (k - 1)^{2n_1} \equiv 1^{2n_1} \equiv 1 \text{ modulo } 16$$

Therefore, (1) $\Rightarrow (k + 1)^{2n_1} - \{1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k - 3)^{2n_1} + (k - 2)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1}\} \equiv 1 \text{ modulo } 16$

$$\Rightarrow 1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k - 3)^{2n_1} + (k - 2)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1} \equiv (k + 1)^{2n_1} - 1 \text{ modulo } 16$$

Therefore, (14) $\Rightarrow 1^{2n_1} + 2^{2n_1} + \dots + (k - 3)^{2n_1} + (k - 2)^{2n_1} + k^{2n_1} \equiv 9^{n_1} - 1 \text{ modulo } 16$

Consider the set $S' = \{1, 2, \dots, (16m_1 - 2), (16m_1 - 1), 16m_1, (16m_1 + 2)\}$.

Number of elements of the form $16f - 1$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 1$ in $S' = m_1 - 1$

Number of elements of the form $16f - 7$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 7$ in $S' = m_1 - 1$

Number of elements of the form $16f - 2$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 2$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f - 6$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 6$ in $S' = m_1 - 1$

Number of elements of the form $16f - 3$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 3$ in $S' = m_1 - 1$

Number of elements of the form $16f - 5$ in $S' = m_1$

Number of elements of the form $16f + 5$ in $S' = m_1 - 1$ where $f \in \mathbb{N}$

Therefore, Lemma 7 $\Rightarrow (4m_1 - 2)(1^{n_1} + 9^{n_1}) + 4^{n_1} \cdot (4m_1 - 1) \equiv 9^{n_1} - 1$ modulo 16

$$\text{Therefore, (7)} \Rightarrow (4m_1 - 2)(1 + 9^{n_1}) \equiv 9^{n_1} - 1 \text{ modulo } 16 \quad (15)$$

If n_1 is even then $n_1 = 2n_2$, $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Rightarrow 9^{n_1} \equiv 81^{n_2} \equiv 1^{n_2} \equiv 1 \text{ modulo } 16 \quad (16)$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + 9^{n_1} \equiv 2 \text{ modulo } 16$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 + 9^{n_1})(4m_1 - 2) \equiv 2(4m_1 - 2) \text{ modulo } 16 \quad (17)$$

$$\text{Now, (16)} \Rightarrow 9^{n_1} - 1 \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } 16 \quad (18)$$

Therefore, (15),(17) & (18) $\Rightarrow 2(4m_1 - 2) \equiv 0$ modulo 16

$$\Rightarrow 2(4m_1 - 2) = 16t, t \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2m_1 - 1 = 4t \text{ which is absurd!}$$

Again, if n_1 is odd then $n_1 = 2n_2 + 1$, $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Rightarrow 9^{n_1} \equiv 81^{n_2} \cdot 9 \equiv 1^{n_2} \cdot 9 \equiv 9 \text{ modulo } 16 \quad (19)$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + 9^{n_1} \equiv 10 \text{ modulo } 16$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 + 9^{n_1})(4m_1 - 2) \equiv 10(4m_1 - 2) \text{ modulo } 16 \quad (20)$$

$$\text{Now, (19)} \Rightarrow 9^{n_1} - 1 \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } 16 \quad (21)$$

Therefore, (15),(20) & (21) $\Rightarrow 10(4m_1 - 2) \equiv 0$ modulo 16

$$\Rightarrow 10(4m_1 - 2) = 16t, t \in \mathbb{N}$$

$\Rightarrow 5(2m_1 - 1) = 4t$ which is absurd!

Hence, k cannot be even.

Since, k is neither even nor odd, therefore $k \notin \mathbb{N}$. This contradicts (\exists) .

Therefore, there exists no $a \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a > 2$ such that it is a solution to the Erdős-Moser equation.

Hence, there exist no non-trivial solutions to the Erdős-Moser equation.

5 References

1. *The Erdős-Moser Equation* at Wolfram Mathworld : <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Erdos-MoserEquation.html>

6 Contact the Author

email address : arkoch@mail.com .